External Advice for New Programmes at the University of Nottingham

Guidance on external advice can be found on the University of Nottingham’s Quality Manual at: <http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/academicservices/qualitymanual/curriculum/externaladviceinprogrammeapproval.aspx>

|  |
| --- |
| **Name of Reviewer:** [Type text] |
| **Institution/Company and Role:** [Type text] |
| **Relationship as Reviewer, e.g. Academic Peer, External Examiner, Collaborative Partner, industry expert, PSRB representative etc:** [Type text] |
| **Programme Under Review:** [Type text] |

# Review

**What documents have you seen to which you are basing any comments upon?** [Type text]

The review should be broken down into the following 8 sub headers; there is also a section at the end for any other comments.

|  |
| --- |
| **The existence of a market for the new programme:**  [Type text] |
| **The likelihood of the programme recruiting to stated targets:**  [Type text] |
| **The reasonableness of the resources required for the programme:**  [Type text] |
| **Validity and relevance of the proposed programme with reference to external reference points (such as Subject Benchmark Statements) and/or the intended profession:**  [Type text] |
| **Definition and appropriateness of standards in accordance with the level and title of the award:**  [Type text] |
| **Effectiveness of the curriculum and of assessment in relation to the intended learning outcomes and/or the intended profession:**  [Type text] |
| **Relationship between the proposed curriculum and current research in the same area:**  [Type text] |
| **Overall coherence and intellectual integrity of the programme:**  [Type text] |
| **Any other comments about the programme which might help to provide a better curriculum, student experience or better prepare graduates for the intended profession:**  [Type text] |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signature:** | **Date:** [Type text] |