Critical appraisal – Prognosis

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Introduction** |  |
| Are the aims clearly stated? |  |
| **Methods** |
| Is the study design suitable for the aims? |  |
| What population of animals was being studied? |  |
| Were patients at a uniformly early stage in their disease? |  |
| Is it clear what measurements were carried out in the study? |  |
| Were the correct measurements chosen? Do they that reflect (or are they strongly related to) the outcome of interest? |  |
| Were previously established validated methods used to make the measurements?(e.g. Glasgow pain score, International Units etc) |  |
| Was follow up sufficiently long and complete? |  |
| Are the statistical methods described? |  |
| Was the statistical significance level stated? |  |
| Was the sample size justified? |  |
| Was ethical approval obtained? |  |
| Overall, are the methods described in enough detail that you could repeat them?  |  |
| **Results** |
| Were the basic data adequately described? |  |
| Do the numbers add up?Are all subjects accounted for? |  |
| Are survival curves presented? |  |
| Was the statistical significance (p value) stated in the results?Is this consistent with the methods? (It should be stated in the sample size or power calculation) |  |
| What were the main findings/key results? |  |
| **Discussion and conclusion** |
| What do the main findings/key results mean? |  |
| Are the negative findings discussed?How are the negative findings interpreted? |  |
| Does the discussion reflect the results? |  |
| **Interpretation** |
| What are the clinical implications of this study?Are the subjects in the study similar to those in the BET/your own? |  |
| **General** |
| Who funded this study? |  |