



Relative Wages, Openness and Skill-Biased Technological Change in Ghana

by

Holger Görg and Eric Strobl

The Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade is based in the School of Economics at the University of Nottingham. It aims to promote research in all aspects of economic development and international trade on both a long term and a short term basis. To this end, CREDIT organises seminar series on Development Economics, acts as a point for collaborative research with other UK and overseas institutions and publishes research papers on topics central to its interests. A list of CREDIT Research Papers is given on the final page of this publication.

Authors who wish to submit a paper for publication should send their manuscript to the Editor of the CREDIT Research Papers, Professor M F Bleaney, at:

Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade,
School of Economics,
University of Nottingham,
University Park,
Nottingham, NG7 2RD,
UNITED KINGDOM

Telephone (0115) 951 5620
Fax: (0115) 951 4159

CREDIT Research Papers are distributed free of charge to members of the Centre. Enquiries concerning copies of individual Research Papers or CREDIT membership should be addressed to the CREDIT Secretary at the above address. Papers may also be downloaded from the School of Economics web site at:

www.nottingham.ac.uk/economics/research/credit



Relative Wages, Openness and Skill-Biased Technological Change in Ghana

by

Holger Görg and Eric Strobl

The Authors

Holger Görg is Research Fellow, School of Economics, University of Nottingham, and Eric Strobl is Lecturer, Department of Economics, University College, Dublin

Acknowledgements

We are particularly grateful to Francis Teal and the CSAE at Oxford for the provision of and advice on the data. Oliver Morrissey and Francis Teal provided helpful comments on an earlier draft of the paper. Any remaining errors are, of course, our own. Holger Görg gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Leverhulme Trust under Programme Grant No. F114/BF.

November 2001

Relative Wages, Openness and Skill-Biased Technological Change in Ghana

by
Holger Görg and Eric Strobl

Abstract

Standard neo-classical trade theory predicts that trade liberalisation should cause a fall in wage inequality in developing countries through a decrease in the relative demand for skilled labour. Recent studies of a number of developing countries, however, find evidence to the contrary. Using a panel of manufacturing firms in the 1990s we investigate whether skill-biased technological change induced through imports of technology-intensive capital goods or export activity may provide an explanation for the increase in relative wages of skilled workers in Ghana. Estimates of a skilled worker relative demand equation based on a translog cost function show that changes in technology through a greater inflow of foreign machinery is found to be indeed consistent with skill-biased technological change in Ghana.

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Trade Liberalisation and Wages in Ghana
3. Data Set and Summary Statistics
4. The Demand for Skilled Workers
5. Conclusion

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable interest in the recent literature in the effects of trade and technological change on wages, in particular, relative wages between skilled and unskilled workers. Most of this literature, which is discussed in the extensive surveys by Haskel (2000) and Slaughter (2000), considers developed countries, with the US and the UK being the most prominent case studies. In both countries recent decades have witnessed increases in relative wages of skilled relative to unskilled workers and it is argued that at least part of this is due to increased trade with relatively low skill abundant (relative to the US and UK) economies. Another explanation put forward to explain rising wage inequality is that the use of new technologies, for example computers, is skill biased, raises the relative demand for skilled labour and thus increases its relative wage (see, for example, Machin and Van Reenen, 1998 and Haskel and Heden, 1999).

The theoretical rationale for expecting an effect of trade on wage inequality is based on the standard Heckscher-Ohlin trade model or, more precisely, the Stolper-Samuelson theorem. As Slaughter (2000, p. 131) puts it, one version of this theorem asserts that “[free] trade lowers the real wage of the scarce factor and raises that of the abundant factor compared to autarky”. Assuming that developed countries are generally skilled labour abundant, increasing trade with unskilled labour abundant (developing) countries should raise the wage of skilled workers relative to unskilled workers in developed countries. There is some evidence that this is indeed what has happened in developed countries, although, as Haskel (2000) and Slaughter (2000) find, empirical support for the theorem is not as clear-cut as one might imagine.

Taking the Stolper-Samuelson theorem at face value also implies an impact on relative wages in developing countries, which are frequently assumed to be abundant in unskilled labour. Increasing trade should here lead to declines in wage inequality as the return to unskilled labour is raised relative to the return to skilled labour (see Krueger, 1990). However, recent evidence on the link of trade and relative wages for some developing countries casts doubt on the validity of this simple application of the Stolper-Samuelson theorem to the case of developing countries.¹ For instance, Robbins and Gindling (1999)

¹ There is, however, some evidence for East Asian countries during the 1970-1980s which appears to be in line with the Stolper-Samuelson predictions, see Wood (1997) for a discussion.

in examining time series evidence of relative wages from household survey data for Costa Rica find that wage inequality increased after trade liberalisation. Beyer et al. (1999) also find rising wage inequality between skilled and unskilled workers after trade liberalisation using household survey data for Chile. Similar discoveries were made by Robbins (1996) for Argentina, Colombia, Malaysia, Mexico, the Philippines, Taiwan and Uruguay where, in general, trade liberalisation in these countries went hand-in-hand with rising wage inequality. Using plant level data for Mexico, Hanson and Harrison (1999) also find evidence of rising wage inequality following reductions of trade barriers which were most dramatic in low skill intensive industries.

One of the main explanations put forward to deal with this discrepancy between traditional theory and empirics is that greater openness intrinsically also brings with it a greater inflow of technology that may be skill biased and thus act to increase the demand for skilled labour despite its relative scarcity (Robbins, 1996; Wood, 1997). This argument has been formalised by Pissarides (1997). He posits that developing countries (South) improve their technological base through learning from the technology available in developed countries (North). Learning takes place through technology transfers either through developing countries' efforts to imitate technologies or through importing capital goods available in the North.² Crucially, both of these activities are assumed to require only skilled labour in the South. Increasing trade between North and South opens up new opportunities for developing countries' producers to learn Northern technologies. Liberalised trade, on the one hand, allows easier imports of technology intensive capital goods from the North while, on the other hand, it allows Southern firms to export to Northern markets and thus, through competition with Northern firms, learn and imitate new technologies. Since the introduction of new technologies in the South requires skilled labour, demand for this skill group increases and, hence, skilled wages may rise. As Pissarides argues, however, the speed of technology transfer slows as the South learns more and more of the Northern technology. Hence, if the transferred production technology is skill neutral the relative advantage to skilled labour which is the only factor

² This is somewhat similar to the ideas developed by Vishwasrao and Bosshardt (2001) who look at technology transfer to developing countries and, in particular, estimate the factors that impact on firms' probability of adopting new technologies. Also, the latter channel for technology transfer discussed by Pissarides is similar, yet different to the idea developed by Feenstra and Hanson (1997). They argue that the flow of foreign direct investment (FDI) into a developing country can raise skill levels in that country. The argument here is, however, that imports (i.e., purchases) of capital goods from abroad which are owned by domestic firms can raise the demand for skilled labour.

used in imitating Northern technology is only temporary. Only if the new technology is skill-biased is there a positive long-term effect on skilled relative to unskilled labour.

Although a number of researchers now subscribe to some variant of this view, the evidence in support of this explanation is by in large speculative or indirect. Using pooled country level data for Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Malaysia and the Philippines, Robbins (1996) finds positive correlations between the relative demand for skilled labour and GDP as well as the ratio of imported capital stocks over GDP. Also using a time series of country level data, Robbins and Gindling (1999) also find positive correlations between relative demand and imported machinery, and total exports in Costa Rica. While both studies provide evidence suggestive of the importance of imported technology they have to be interpreted with caution due to the high level of aggregation of the analysis. Liu et al. (2001) provide evidence for Taiwanese manufacturing firms that firms which use more advanced production technologies pay higher wages to both non-production (skilled) and production (unskilled) workers, though they do not investigate changes in relative wages or distinguish whether or not technologies are imported from abroad. Tan and Betra (1997) examine the impact of technology on wage inequality across firm sizes in Columbia, Mexico and Taiwan and find that the employer size wage effect is higher for skilled workers than for unskilled workers in technology investing firms only (defined as firms that exported, conducted R&D, and/or provided training for their employees). They argue that the likely explanation for this is that technological change was skill-biased and that larger firms are more likely to be technology intensive.

Given the rather scant evidence on this issue this paper, to the best of our knowledge for the first time, sets out to provide a systematic analysis of the importance of skill-biased imported technology on relative wages in developing countries. We investigate directly whether the inflow of technology at the firm level acted to increase the relative demand for skilled labour in Ghanaian manufacturing. Using firm level data covering the period 1991 to 1997 we estimate whether imports of capital goods from abroad, and exports by a firm (which allow firms to learn and imitate technologies in the export destinations) affect the relative wage of skilled to unskilled workers at the level of the firm.

The Ghanaian economy should be an instructive case study for at least three reasons. First, given its relatively low level of development one would expect Ghana to be far from

saturated with new technologies and thus provide a fertile ground for effects *a la* Pissarides (1997). Second, previous evidence has shown that Ghana, contrary to what standard neo-classical trade theory would predict, has been subject to an increase in wage inequality, rather than a fall, after trade liberalization took place in the 1980s. Third, given that most of the papers analysing the determinants of wage inequality in developing countries as cited above focus on Latin American or South East Asian countries an examination of data for an African country provide an extension of the geographical scope of research on this issue.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section II describes briefly trade liberalisation and the trend in relative wages in Ghana. Section III presents the dataset used in the empirical analysis and some summary statistics. Section IV contains the econometric analysis. Finally, Section V concludes.

II. TRADE LIBERALISATION AND WAGES IN GHANA

After a rapid decline in economic performance and, in particular, in the development of external trade relations during the 1970s and early 1980s, which saw the ratio of imports and exports over GDP decline from 16.9 and 19.3 percent in 1970 to 1.9 and 1.9 percent in 1982 (Oduro, 2000, Table 9.2), the Ghanaian government launched a series of economic reforms in 1983. These reforms, known as the *Economic Recovery Programme* (ERP) and discussed in some detail by Oduro (2000) were intended to improve the performance of the external trade sector by introducing a number of measures aimed at liberalising external trade. As part of the ERP the exchange rate was adjusted downwards, import tariff rates were substantially lowered, import licenses abolished and export incentives, which had in some form been available since 1969 were made considerably more attractive. In the wake of this policy reform both imports and exports grew considerably; the ratio of imports and exports to GDP increased from the low values in 1982 to 31.8 and 22.6 percent respectively in 1994 (Oduro, 2000, Table 9.4).

Over the same period wage inequality between skilled and unskilled workers increased in Ghana. From the data presented in Teal (2000, Table 2) one can calculate that between 1992 and 1996 the real wage of unskilled workers decreased by 25.4 percent while the real wage of skilled labour increased by 16.0 percent in Ghanaian manufacturing. This widening of the relative wage differential appears not to be compatible with the standard

Stolper-Samuelson argument, as outlined above, which would predict that wages of unskilled workers should increase relative to skilled wages. We, therefore, evaluate the validity of the argument that imported technology may have led to an increase in the demand for skilled labour in the remainder of the paper.

III. DATA SET AND SUMMARY STATISTICS

The data used for our analysis are drawn from the *Regional Programme for Enterprise Development* (RPED) dataset kindly provided by Francis Teal at the Centre for Studies of African Economies (CSAE) at the University of Oxford. The data, which is described in some detail by Teal (2000) and Söderbom and Teal (2001) consists of seven waves of an annual sample of Ghanaian manufacturing firms, covering the years 1991-1997. The initial sample of 200 firms was drawn from the 1987 Ghana Census of Manufacturing Activities, stratified by size, sector and location.³ The sectors from which the firms were chosen are Food, Textiles and Garments, Wood, and Metal, which together comprise about 70 per cent of total manufacturing employment in Ghana.⁴ When firms closed down over the period they were replaced with firms in the same size, sector and location category.

While each firm was interviewed for information at the firm level, additionally up to ten of its workers, representative of the occupation categories in the firm, were interviewed each year.⁵ The data used in this paper at the firm level are the level of output, the level of exports, the replacement value of the capital stock, the level of investment and its source (foreign or domestic) and purpose, and the number of workers in total and by occupation category. Information from the worker surveys utilized was that related to earnings. All nominal variables were deflated using sector specific deflators available from the CSAE.

In order to calculate the relative wage of skilled labour at the firm level we proceeded as follows. Using worker level information on the number of hours worked per week and

3 In the sampling large firms were oversampled.

4 Since there is no reliable source on the actual population of firms, we were not able to use weights for either our summary statistics or econometric estimation; see Teal (2000).

5 For the latter four years firms and workers were interviewed only in the fifth and seventh wave, but information was asked respectively for the two years prior to these dates.

earnings we first calculated the hourly wage rate for each worker,⁶ and found the yearly average hourly wage rate of each occupation category employed in each firm.⁷ Using the number of employees in each occupation category reported at the firm level we then calculated the (employment weighted) average firm level skilled and unskilled wage rate, where those in management, sales, supervision, administration or technical jobs were categorised as skilled and all others as unskilled workers.

The annual means of the firm level average relative wage rate, skilled worker employment share, and skilled worker share of the wage bill are given in Table 1. As can be seen the relative wage rate of skilled workers more than doubled over our sample period. By 1997, skilled workers in a firm earned on average 3.3 times as much as their unskilled counterparts. In contrast, the average firm level relative share of skilled workers seems to have remained relatively stable. Overall these trends caused an overall rise, although not consistent, in the labour cost share of skilled workers over our sample period.

Table 1: Summary Statistics Of Skilled Workers

	Relative Wage	Employment Share	Wage Bill Share
1991	1.36	0.28	0.36
1992	1.47	0.23	0.32
1993	1.63	0.25	0.36
1994	2.26	0.24	0.42
1995	2.31	0.24	0.42
1996	3.10	0.26	0.48
1997	3.43	0.25	0.49

Most importantly for the purpose of this paper, we need proxies measuring the transfer of superior technology from abroad to Ghanaian firms that may have caused biases in the skill composition of labour. Wood (1997) suggests two possible proxies, namely the inflow of advanced foreign capital goods and greater participation on the international output market. Accordingly, greater openness is likely to allow domestic firms to increase imports of capital goods from abroad which, if these come from relatively more developed countries, are likely to embody greater levels of technology than indigenously

⁶ One should note that the hourly wage rate also includes all allowances and hence is a better proxy of compensation that normally available to researchers.

produced capital.⁸ Hence the use of capital inputs from abroad presumably requires more skilled labour. Greater export activity is likely to increase the level of technology in a firm since in order to compete on the global market in terms of product quality and prices, a firm is likely to have to use similar levels of technologies as firms in other countries. Competing on foreign export markets thus allows firms to assimilate and imitate technologies used in such markets.⁹

To capture these two channels of technology transfer we calculate two variables from the data available to us. First, the data allows one not only to identify whether the purpose of any investment in equipment undertaken was to improve productivity, quality of output, or produce a product, but also whether the equipment was imported from abroad. Hence, we calculate the first variable, INVFOR/Y, as the amount of investment in equipment sourced abroad for the purpose of technological progress relative to the level of output, in a firm in a particular year.¹⁰ Our second variable, PEXP, which captures the effect of export activity is defined as the percentage of output exported.

The yearly average firm means of these two proxies are given in Table 2. Accordingly, except for 1996, there appears to be a general increase in the amount of foreign machinery imported for technological progress purposes – at least relative to the earlier years. For PEXP we also find a clear rise in its mean over time. Over the seven years of our sample period, the average firm's percentage of output exported rose from 3 to 11 per cent.

Table 2: Summary Statistics of Technological Change Proxies

	InvFor (% of output)*	Exports (% of output)
1991	---	2.70

7 Where there was no representative worker in an occupation for which the firm reported employees, or the earnings variable was due to missing data not calculable, we used the sector level average in that year.

8 Such capital inputs may be both used and new. As shown recently by Barba Navaretti et al (2000), in certain instances it may be more efficient for firms in developing countries to acquire used rather than new machinery.

9 The issue of causality is not clear, however. It may also be the case that firms that use more skilled workers are more likely to export (see Bernard and Jensen, 2001). Our regression below therefore only allows us to discover whether or not exports and skill levels are correlated while we will be cautious about inferring causality.

10 In the data set the total level of investment in a year is either classified as foreign sourced or not. Clearly, however, some of the investment may also fall in the other category. Similarly whether the investment is for technological progress or not is a categorical variable. Whether these aspects under- or overestimate the level of investment sourced from abroad for technological progress is unfortunately not determinable from the data.

1992	0.91	3.45
1993	0.66	3.05
1994	1.41	11.42
1995	4.48	11.42
1996	0.55	10.16
1997	1.83	11.24

* A priori there is no obvious reason for the severe fall in 1996. Mean total investment in the same year did not experience a similar drop.

These increases in aggregate means of both foreign machinery imports and export activity are confirmed by a simple regression of INVFOR/Y and PEXP on a time trend at the firm level; the results of which are reported in Table 3. We find positive and statistically significant positive coefficients on the time trends for both variables suggesting that firms increased their imports of foreign machinery and their export shares over time. The inclusion of sectoral dummies do not change the results – in fact, for the case of INVFOR/Y an F-test suggests that we cannot reject the hypothesis that the sector dummies are jointly equal to zero and should, therefore, not be included.

Table 3: Regressions on time trends

	INVFOR/Y		PEXP	
	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)
trend	0.003 (0.002)*	0.003 (0.002)*	1.545 (0.351)***	1.430 (0.338)***
constant	0.003 (0.007)	0.003 (0.009)	1.428 (1.636)	14.232 (2.049)***
Sector dummies	no	yes	no	yes
p-value (F-test dummies jointly zero)		0.37		0.00

IV. THE DEMAND FOR SKILLED WORKERS

The results thus far have shown that the share of skilled workers in the wage bill, primarily driven by a rise in the relative wage rate, has risen noticeably in Ghana over the 1990s. This is in line with the findings by Teal (2000). We also find some evidence that at the same time the use of technology induced by greater openness has been on an upward trend. In order to investigate whether these two trends are related we employ the

by now standard approach to estimating the demand for skilled labour based on the estimation of a translog cost function. This approach has been used widely in the literature, recent examples are Machin and Van Reenan (1998), Haskel and Heden (1999) and Blonigen and Slaughter (2001). Accordingly, we assume that a firm minimises costs which are described by a translog cost function. Skilled and unskilled labour are the only variable factors of production while capital and output are (quasi-) fixed (in the short run).

Under these assumptions one can write a restricted variable translog cost function for firm i at time t which in general form is:

$$(1) \quad C_{it} = f(\log(W[\text{SK}]_{it}), \log(W[\text{US}]_{it}), \log(K_{it}), \log(Y_{it}), \text{TECH}_{it})$$

where C is the Cost function, $W[\text{SK}]$ is the skilled wage rate, $W[\text{US}]$ is the unskilled wage rate, K is the capital stock and Y is output. TECH is a structural parameter assumed to affect C and represents our two measures of technology transfer as described above.

Differentiating the translog cost function in its proper functional form with respect to $W[\text{SK}]$ yields (see, for example, Chennels and Van Reenen, 1999)

$$(2) \quad \text{SHARE}_{it} = \varphi_i + \alpha \log(K_{it}) + \beta \log(Y_{it}) + \gamma \text{TECH}_{it} + \delta \log(W[\text{SK}]_{it}/W[\text{US}]_{it})$$

where $\text{SHARE} = (\delta C / \delta W[\text{SK}]) * (W[\text{SK}] / C)$ is the share of skilled workers in the wage bill.

Obtaining the stochastic form of (2) by adding a random error term μ and first-differencing (2) in order to purge all time invariant sector and firm specific factors that may be correlated with the regressors we may write

$$(3) \quad \Delta \text{SHARE}_{it} = \varphi_i + \alpha \Delta \log(K_{it}) + \beta \Delta \log(Y_{it}) + \gamma \Delta \text{TECH}_{it} + \log \Delta (W[\text{SK}]_{it}/W[\text{US}]_{it}) + \mu_{it}$$

One should note that all variables are included in first differences except for when we use INVFOR/Y as an indicator of technology, which can be entered in levels since it is a flow rather than stock variable.

The first most obvious problem with estimating (3) is that the relative wage rate at the firm level is likely to be endogenous. We thus, in line with the literature as cited above, instead include sector specific time dummies. We thus implicitly assume that movements of the relative wage rate are determined by sector specific shocks and that these are exogenous to the firm level relative labour demand.¹¹ Additionally we also, in all specifications, include time specific dummies common to all firms to control for macroeconomic changes and labour supply changes. Their inclusion also allows to control crudely for other factors that have been put forward as possible explanations for the increases in wage inequalities in some developing countries. For example, Wood (1997) makes a strong argument for the role played by new, unskilled labour abundant entrants such as China, India and Pakistan, to the world market. Accordingly, these may be relatively unskilled labour abundant to many other developing countries. While we have no empirical data to investigate this issue further it appears, however, unlikely that these new entrants would have a comparative advantage in unskilled labour relative to Ghana given the low development of the Ghanaian Economy.

Our results of estimating (2) without placing any restrictions on the returns to scale of the underlying production technology are given in the first column of Table 4. As can be seen, the negative and significant sign on capital suggests that skilled labour and capital are substitutes in production, while changes in output have no apparent impact on the demand for skilled labour. Including our proxy for the inflow of foreign capital shows that this technological change proxy acts to increase the demand for skilled labour, thus providing support for the skill-biased technical change induced through openness.

In contrast, changes in the export share from year to year do not influence the skill structure of a firm significantly. Possible reasons for this may be that the export share is not a good indicator of the import of new technology, that it is correlated with other uncontrolled for firm specific factors, and/or that the export share only changes significantly over the very long run so that our relatively short panel in its first differenced version is not able to capture such changes.

¹¹ These dummy variables may of course capture other sector specific shocks that may impact on SHARE.

We also experimented with imposing a constant returns to scale restriction on (2) and (3). As can be seen the capital to output ratio is insignificant in the general specification; moreover, a simple test of constant returns to scale technology can be rejected. Nevertheless, the positive impact of the import foreign capital used for technological progress remains. We similarly find that the export share has no effect on the relative demand for skilled labour in this more restricted specification.

Table 4: Cost Share Equation – Annual Changes

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
$\Delta\log(K)$	-0.019*	-0.015	-0.019*	-0.015	---	---	---	---
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)				
$\Delta\log(Y)$	-0.016	-0.018	-0.017	-0.017	---	---	---	---
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)				
$\Delta\log(K/Y)$	---	---	---	---	0.005	-0.002	-0.004	-0.001
					(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)
INVFOR/Y	---	0.176*	---	0.176*	---	0.181*	---	0.181*
		(0.103)		(0.103)		(0.104)		(0.104)
ΔPEXP	---	---	-0.000	-0.001	---	---	-0.000	-0.000
			(0.001)	(0.001)			(0.001)	(0.001)
Constant	0.120**	-0.091	0.129	0.072	0.133**	0.072	0.137	0.200*
	(0.056)	(0.114)	(0.103)	(0.132)	(0.056)	(0.132)	(0.104)	(0.115)
Test CRS (χ^2)	7.81***	5.08**	5.97**	5.08**	---	---	---	---
Firms	144	145	141	140	144	145	141	140
Observations	520	482	509	472	520	482	509	472
R-squared	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.12
F-Test	2.43***	2.56***	2.28***	2.43***	2.25***	2.43***	2.12***	2.31***

Notes: (1) regressions include sector specific and general time dummies (2) *, **, and *** signify 10, 5, and 1 per cent significance levels, respectively.

To investigate the possible impact on the skill structure of Ghanaian firms arising from the use of more modern technology due to trade liberalization over the longer run we estimated (3) for two year rather than annual changes. While we would have ideally liked to use longer term changes, this was not a feasible option with our data which only cover seven years. Calculating three year or longer annual changes would have unfeasibly reduced our sample size. Calculating two year changes meant that, given the flow nature

of INVFOR, we used the sum of investment in foreign machinery for technological progress purpose between two year periods while for the other variables we calculated the differences between t and $t+2$. The results of the long run estimations, which are reported in Table 5 are in line with those found for the annual specification except for the fact that a constant returns to scale restriction cannot be rejected – namely, the inflow of foreign machinery acts to increase the relative demand for skilled labour while the firm’s export share has no significant effect.

Table 5: Cost Share Equation – Two Year Changes

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
$\Delta \log(K)$	-0.028 (0.018)	-0.027 (0.018)	-0.029 (0.018)	---	---	---
$\Delta \log(Y)$	0.008 (0.021)	0.001 (0.021)	0.008 (0.021)	---	---	---
$\Delta \log(K/Y)$	---	---	---	-0.020 (0.015)	-0.016 (0.015)	-0.020 (0.015)
INVFOR/Y	0.132* (0.074)	---	0.132* (0.074)	0.140* (0.073)	---	0.140* (0.073)
$\Delta PEXP$	---	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	---	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)
Constant	-0.161 (0.144)	-0.162 (0.145)	-0.168 (0.144)	0.209 (0.131)	-0.149 (0.144)	-0.158 (0.143)
Test CRS (χ^2)	0.70	1.11	0.65	---	---	---
Firms	102	96	96	102	96	96
Observations	197	191	191	197	191	191
R-squared	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.15
F-Test	2.16***	2.00***	2.10***	2.27***	2.07***	2.21***

Notes: (1) regressions include sector specific and general time dummies (2) *, **, and *** signify 10, 5, and 1 per cent significance levels, respectively.

V. CONCLUSION

Evidence on wage inequality in developing countries indicates that, contrary to predictions of neo-classical trade theory, returns to skill have increased after trade liberalization. Ghana is no exception to this finding – despite drastic changes in protection in the 1980s, relative wages of skilled workers continue to rise. Using an employer-employee matched data set of manufacturing firms we investigated whether the transfer of technology from abroad provides a plausible explanation for this phenomenon in Ghana.

Our empirical results show that the purchase of foreign machinery for technological progress purposes has indeed raised the relative demand for skilled labour, as measured

by its share in the wage bill. In contrast, greater participation in the world output market via exporting activity did not play a direct role in the skill composition of manufacturing firms in Ghana. Thus we find support for skill biased technological change only if we use a direct measure of the change in technology at the firm level.

Finally, one should note, however, that we are not able to rule out other explanations for the discrepancy between standard neo-classical trade theory and recent empirical evidence, as, for example, the relatively recent entry of a number of larger unskilled labour abundant economies onto the world market. Such explanations are not necessarily mutually exclusive to the one examined here, and thus assessing their relative role, if any, should be a direction of future research.

REFERENCES

- Barba Navaretti, G., I. Soloaga and W. Takacs (2000) "Vintage technologies and skill constraints: evidence from U.S. exports of new and used machines", *World Bank Economic Review*, 14, pp. 91-109.
- Bernard, A.B. and J.B. Jensen (2001) "Why some firms export", NBER Working Paper No. 8349.
- Beyer, H., P. Rojas and R. Vergara (1999) "Trade liberalization and wage inequality", *Journal of Development Economics*, 59, pp. 103-123.
- Blonigen, B.A. and M.J. Slaughter (2001) "Foreign-affiliate activity and U.S. skill upgrading", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83, 362-376.
- Chennels, L and J. Van Reenen (1999) "Has technology hurt less skilled workers? An econometric survey of the effects of technical change on the structure of pay and jobs", Working Paper W99/27, Institute for Fiscal Studies, London.
- Feenstra, R.C. and G.H. Hanson (1997) "Foreign direct investment and relative wages: evidence from Mexico's maquiladoras", *Journal of International Economics*, 42, 371-393.
- Hanson, G.H. and A. Harrison (1999) "Trade liberalization and wage inequality in Mexico", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 52, pp. 271-288.
- Haskel, J.E. (2000) "Trade and labor approaches to wage inequality", *Review of International Economics*, 8, 397-408.
- Haskel, J. and Y. Heden (1999) "Computers and the demand for skilled labour: industry- and establishment-level panel evidence for the UK", *Economic Journal*, 109, C68-C79.
- Krueger, A.O. (1990) "The relationship between trade, employment, and development" in G. Ranis and T.P. Schultz (eds.) *The State of Development Economics: Progress and Perspectives*, Cambridge M.A., Blackwell, pp. 357-385.
- Liu, J., M. Tsou and J.K. Hammitt (2001) "The impact of advanced technology adoption on wage structures: evidence from Taiwan manufacturing firms", *Economica*, 68, pp. 359-378.

- Machin, S. and J. Van Reenen (1998) "Technology and changes in skill structure: evidence from seven OECD countries", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113, pp. 1215-1244.
- Oduro, A.D. (2000) "Performance of the external trade sector since 1970" in E. Aryeetey, J. Harrigan and M. Nissanke (eds.) *Economic Reforms in Ghana: The Miracle & The Mirage*, Oxford, James Currey, pp. 168-184.
- Pissarides, C.A. (1997) "Learning by trading and the returns to human capital in developing countries", *World Bank Economic Review*, 11, pp. 17-32.
- Robbins, D.J. (1996) "HOS hits facts: facts win; evidence on trade and wages in the developing world", mimeo, Harvard Institute for International Development.
- Robbins, D. and T.H. Gindling (1999) "Trade liberalization and the relative wages for more-skilled workers in Costa Rica", *Review of Development Economics*, 3, pp. 140-154.
- Slaughter, M.J. (2000) "What are the results of product-price studies and what can we learn from their differences?" in R.C. Feenstra (ed.) *The Impact of International Trade on Wages*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press, pp. 129-165.
- Söderbom, M and F. Teal (2001) "Firm size and human capital as determinants of productivity and earnings", Working Paper WPS2001-09, Centre for the Study of African Economies, University of Oxford.
- Tan, H. and G. Batra (1997) "Technology and firm size-wage differentials in Columbia, Mexico, and Taiwan (China)", *World Bank Economic Review*, 11, pp. 59-83.
- Teal, F. (2000) "Real wages and the demand for skilled and unskilled male labour in Ghana's manufacturing sector: 1991-1995", *Journal of Development Economics*, 61, pp. 447-461.
- Vishwasrao, S. and W. Bosshardt (2001) "Foreign ownership and technology adoption: evidence from Indian firms", *Journal of Development Economics*, 65, pp. 367-387.
- Wood, A. (1997) "Openness and wage inequality in developing countries: the Latin American challenge to East Asian conventional wisdom", *World Bank Economic Review*, 11, pp. 33-57.

CREDIT PAPERS

- 99/1 **Ewen Cummins**, “Hey and Orme go to Gara Godo: Household Risk Preferences”
- 99/2 **Louise Grenier, Andrew McKay and Oliver Morrissey**, “Competition and Business Confidence in Manufacturing Enterprises in Tanzania”
- 99/3 **Robert Lensink and Oliver Morrissey**, “Uncertainty of Aid Inflows and the Aid-Growth Relationship”
- 99/4 **Michael Bleaney and David Fielding**, “Exchange Rate Regimes, Inflation and Output Volatility in Developing Countries”
- 99/5 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Women’s Employment, Intra-Household Bargaining and Distribution: A Two-Sector Analysis”
- 99/6 **Robert Lensink and Howard White**, “Is there an Aid Laffer Curve?”
- 99/7 **David Fielding**, “Income Inequality and Economic Development: A Structural Model”
- 99/8 **Christophe Muller**, “The Spatial Association of Price Indices and Living Standards”
- 99/9 **Christophe Muller**, “The Measurement of Poverty with Geographical and Intertemporal Price Dispersion”
- 99/10 **Henrik Hansen and Finn Tarp**, “Aid Effectiveness Disputed”
- 99/11 **Christophe Muller**, “Censored Quantile Regressions of Poverty in Rwanda”
- 99/12 **Michael Bleaney, Paul Mizen and Lesedi Senatla**, “Portfolio Capital Flows to Emerging Markets”
- 99/13 **Christophe Muller**, “The Relative Prevalence of Diseases in a Population of Ill Persons”
- 00/1 **Robert Lensink**, “Does Financial Development Mitigate Negative Effects of Policy Uncertainty on Economic Growth?”
- 00/2 **Oliver Morrissey**, “Investment and Competition Policy in Developing Countries: Implications of and for the WTO”
- 00/3 **Jo-Ann Crawford and Sam Laird**, “Regional Trade Agreements and the WTO”
- 00/4 **Sam Laird**, “Multilateral Market Access Negotiations in Goods and Services”
- 00/5 **Sam Laird**, “The WTO Agenda and the Developing Countries”
- 00/6 **Josaphat P. Kweka and Oliver Morrissey**, “Government Spending and Economic Growth in Tanzania, 1965-1996”
- 00/7 **Henrik Hansen and Finn Tarp**, “Aid and Growth Regressions”
- 00/8 **Andrew McKay, Chris Milner and Oliver Morrissey**, “The Trade and Welfare Effects of a Regional Economic Partnership Agreement”
- 00/9 **Mark McGillivray and Oliver Morrissey**, “Aid Illusion and Public Sector Fiscal Behaviour”
- 00/10 **C.W. Morgan**, “Commodity Futures Markets in LDCs: A Review and Prospects”
- 00/11 **Michael Bleaney and Akira Nishiyama**, “Explaining Growth: A Contest between Models”

- 00/12 **Christophe Muller**, “Do Agricultural Outputs of Autarkic Peasants Affect Their Health and Nutrition? Evidence from Rwanda”
- 00/13 **Paula K. Lorgelly**, “Are There Gender-Separate Human Capital Effects on Growth? A Review of the Recent Empirical Literature”
- 00/14 **Stephen Knowles and Arlene Garces**, “Measuring Government Intervention and Estimating its Effect on Output: With Reference to the High Performing Asian Economies”
- 00/15 **I. Dasgupta, R. Palmer-Jones and A. Parikh**, “Between Cultures and Markets: An Eclectic Analysis of Juvenile Gender Ratios in India”
- 00/16 **Sam Laird**, “Dolphins, Turtles, Mad Cows and Butterflies – A Look at the Multilateral Trading System in the 21st Century”
- 00/17 **Carl-Johan Dalgaard and Henrik Hansen**, “On Aid, Growth, and Good Policies”
- 01/01 **Tim Lloyd, Oliver Morrissey and Robert Osei**, “Aid, Exports and Growth in Ghana”
- 01/02 **Christophe Muller**, “Relative Poverty from the Perspective of Social Class: Evidence from The Netherlands”
- 01/03 **Stephen Knowles**, “Inequality and Economic Growth: The Empirical Relationship Reconsidered in the Light of Comparable Data”
- 01/04 **A. Cuadros, V. Orts and M.T. Alguacil**, “Openness and Growth: Re-Examining Foreign Direct Investment and Output Linkages in Latin America”
- 01/05 **Harold Alderman, Simon Appleton, Lawrence Haddad, Lina Song and Yisehac Yohannes**, “Reducing Child Malnutrition: How Far Does Income Growth Take Us?”
- 01/06 **Robert Lensink and Oliver Morrissey**, “Foreign Direct Investment: Flows, Volatility and Growth”
- 01/07 **Adam Blake, Andrew McKay and Oliver Morrissey**, “The Impact on Uganda of Agricultural Trade Liberalisation”
- 01/08 **R. Quentin Grafton, Stephen Knowles and P. Dorian Owen**, “Social Divergence and Economic Performance”
- 01/09 **David Byrne and Eric Strobl**, “Defining Unemployment in Developing Countries: The Case of Trinidad and Tobago”
- 01/10 **Holger Görg and Eric Strobl**, “The Incidence of Visible Underemployment: Evidence for Trinidad and Tobago”
- 01/11 **Abbi Mamo Kedir**, “Some Issues in Using Unit Values as Prices in the Estimation of Own-Price Elasticities: Evidence from Urban Ethiopia”
- 01/12 **Eric Strobl and Frank Walsh**, “Minimum Wages and Compliance: The Case of Trinidad and Tobago”
- 01/13 **Mark McGillivray and Oliver Morrissey**, “A Review of Evidence on the Fiscal Effects of Aid”
- 01/14 **Tim Lloyd, Oliver Morrissey and Robert Osei**, “Problems with Pooling in Panel Data Analysis for Developing Countries: The Case of Aid and Trade Relationships”
- 01/15 **Oliver Morrissey**, “Pro-Poor Conditionality for Aid and Debt Relief in East Africa”

- 01/16 **Zdenek Drabek and Sam Laird**, “Can Trade Policy help Mobilize Financial Resources for Economic Development?”
- 01/17 **Michael Bleaney and Lisenda Lisenda**, “Monetary Policy After Financial Liberalisation: A Central Bank Reaction Function for Botswana”
- 01/18 **Holger Görg and Eric Strobl**, “Relative Wages, Openness and Skill-Biased Technological Change in Ghana”

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS DISCUSSION PAPERS

In addition to the CREDIT series of research papers the School of Economics produces a discussion paper series dealing with more general aspects of economics. Below is a list of recent titles published in this series.

- 99/1 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Stochastic Production and the Law of Supply”
- 99/2 **Walter Bossert**, “Intersection Quasi-Orderings: An Alternative Proof”
- 99/3 **Charles Blackorby, Walter Bossert and David Donaldson**, “Rationalizable Variable-Population Choice Functions”
- 99/4 **Charles Blackorby, Walter Bossert and David Donaldson**, “Functional Equations and Population Ethics”
- 99/5 **Christophe Muller**, “A Global Concavity Condition for Decisions with Several Constraints”
- 99/6 **Christophe Muller**, “A Separability Condition for the Decentralisation of Complex Behavioural Models”
- 99/7 **Zhihao Yu**, “Environmental Protection and Free Trade: Indirect Competition for Political Influence”
- 99/8 **Zhihao Yu**, “A Model of Substitution of Non-Tariff Barriers for Tariffs”
- 99/9 **Steven J. Humphrey**, “Testing a Prescription for the Reduction of Non-Transitive Choices”
- 99/10 **Richard Disney, Andrew Henley and Gary Stears**, “Housing Costs, House Price Shocks and Savings Behaviour Among Older Households in Britain”
- 99/11 **Yongsheng Xu**, “Non-Discrimination and the Pareto Principle”
- 99/12 **Yongsheng Xu**, “On Ranking Linear Budget Sets in Terms of Freedom of Choice”
- 99/13 **Michael Bleaney, Stephen J. Leybourne and Paul Mizen**, “Mean Reversion of Real Exchange Rates in High-Inflation Countries”
- 99/14 **Chris Milner, Paul Mizen and Eric Pentecost**, “A Cross-Country Panel Analysis of Currency Substitution and Trade”
- 99/15 **Steven J. Humphrey**, “Are Event-splitting Effects Actually Boundary Effects?”
- 99/16 **Taradas Bandyopadhyay, Indraneel Dasgupta and Prasanta K. Pattanaik**, “On the Equivalence of Some Properties of Stochastic Demand Functions”
- 99/17 **Indraneel Dasgupta, Subodh Kumar and Prasanta K. Pattanaik**, “Consistent Choice and Falsifiability of the Maximization Hypothesis”
- 99/18 **David Fielding and Paul Mizen**, “Relative Price Variability and Inflation in Europe”
- 99/19 **Emmanuel Petrakis and Joanna Poyago-Theotoky**, “Technology Policy in an Oligopoly with Spillovers and Pollution”
- 99/20 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Wage Subsidy, Cash Transfer and Individual Welfare in a Cournot Model of the Household”
- 99/21 **Walter Bossert and Hans Peters**, “Efficient Solutions to Bargaining Problems with Uncertain Disagreement Points”
- 99/22 **Yongsheng Xu**, “Measuring the Standard of Living – An Axiomatic Approach”

- 99/23 **Yongsheng Xu**, “No-Envy and Equality of Economic Opportunity”
- 99/24 **M. Conyon, S. Girma, S. Thompson and P. Wright**, “The Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions on Profits and Employee Remuneration in the United Kingdom”
- 99/25 **Robert Breunig and Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Towards an Explanation of the Cash-Out Puzzle in the US Food Stamps Program”
- 99/26 **John Creedy and Norman Gemmell**, “The Built-In Flexibility of Consumption Taxes”
- 99/27 **Richard Disney**, “Declining Public Pensions in an Era of Demographic Ageing: Will Private Provision Fill the Gap?”
- 99/28 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Welfare Analysis in a Cournot Game with a Public Good”
- 99/29 **Taradas Bandyopadhyay, Indraneel Dasgupta and Prasanta K. Pattanaik**, “A Stochastic Generalization of the Revealed Preference Approach to the Theory of Consumers’ Behavior”
- 99/30 **Charles Blackorby, Walter Bossert and David Donaldson**, “Utilitarianism and the Theory of Justice”
- 99/31 **Mariam Camarero and Javier Ordóñez**, “Who is Ruling Europe? Empirical Evidence on the German Dominance Hypothesis”
- 99/32 **Christophe Muller**, “The Watts’ Poverty Index with Explicit Price Variability”
- 99/33 **Paul Newbold, Tony Rayner, Christine Ennew and Emanuela Marrocu**, “Testing Seasonality and Efficiency in Commodity Futures Markets”
- 99/34 **Paul Newbold, Tony Rayner, Christine Ennew and Emanuela Marrocu**, “Futures Markets Efficiency: Evidence from Unevenly Spaced Contracts”
- 99/35 **Ciaran O’Neill and Zoe Phillips**, “An Application of the Hedonic Pricing Technique to Cigarettes in the United Kingdom”
- 99/36 **Christophe Muller**, “The Properties of the Watts’ Poverty Index Under Lognormality”
- 99/37 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Stephen J. Leybourne and Paul Newbold**, “Spurious Rejections by Perron Tests in the Presence of a Misplaced or Second Break Under the Null”
- 00/1 **Tae-Hwan Kim and Christophe Muller**, “Two-Stage Quantile Regression”
- 00/2 **Spiros Bougheas, Panicos O. Demetrides and Edgar L.W. Morgenroth**, “International Aspects of Public Infrastructure Investment”
- 00/3 **Michael Bleaney**, “Inflation as Taxation: Theory and Evidence”
- 00/4 **Michael Bleaney**, “Financial Fragility and Currency Crises”
- 00/5 **Sourafel Girma**, “A Quasi-Differencing Approach to Dynamic Modelling from a Time Series of Independent Cross Sections”
- 00/6 **Spiros Bougheas and Paul Downward**, “The Economics of Professional Sports Leagues: A Bargaining Approach”
- 00/7 **Marta Aloi, Hans Jørgen Jacobsen and Teresa Lloyd-Braga**, “Endogenous Business Cycles and Stabilization Policies”
- 00/8 **A. Ghoshray, T.A. Lloyd and A.J. Rayner**, “EU Wheat Prices and its Relation with Other Major Wheat Export Prices”

- 00/9 **Christophe Muller**, “Transient-Seasonal and Chronic Poverty of Peasants: Evidence from Rwanda”
- 00/10 **Gwendolyn C. Morrison**, “Embedding and Substitution in Willingness to Pay”
- 00/11 **Claudio Zoli**, “Inverse Sequential Stochastic Dominance: Rank-Dependent Welfare, Deprivation and Poverty Measurement”
- 00/12 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Stephen Leybourne and Paul Newbold**, “Unit Root Tests With a Break in Variance”
- 00/13 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Stephen Leybourne and Paul Newbold**, “Asymptotic Mean Squared Forecast Error When an Autoregression With Linear Trend is Fitted to Data Generated by an I(0) or I(1) Process”
- 00/14 **Michelle Haynes and Steve Thompson**, “The Productivity Impact of IT Deployment: An Empirical Evaluation of ATM Introduction”
- 00/15 **Michelle Haynes, Steve Thompson and Mike Wright**, “The Determinants of Corporate Divestment in the UK”
- 00/16 **John Beath, Robert Owen, Joanna Poyago-Theotoky and David Ulph**, “Optimal Incentives for Incoming Generations within Universities”
- 00/17 **S. McCorrison, C. W. Morgan and A. J. Rayner**, “Price Transmission: The Interaction Between Firm Behaviour and Returns to Scale”
- 00/18 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Douglas Stone and Halbert White**, “Asymptotic and Bayesian Confidence Intervals for Sharpe Style Weights”
- 00/19 **Tae-Hwan Kim and Halbert White**, “James-Stein Type Estimators in Large Samples with Application to the Least Absolute Deviation Estimator”
- 00/20 **Gwendolyn C. Morrison**, “Expected Utility and the Endowment Effect: Some Experimental Results”
- 00/21 **Christophe Muller**, “Price Index Distribution and Utilitarian Social Evaluation Functions”
- 00/22 **Michael Bleaney**, “Investor Sentiment, Discounts and Returns on Closed-End Funds”
- 00/23 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley**, “Joint Production Games and Share Functions”
- 00/24 **Joanna Poyago-Theotoky**, “Voluntary Approaches, Emission Taxation and the Organization of Environmental R&D”
- 00/25 **Michael Bleaney, Norman Gemmell and Richard Kneller**, “Testing the Endogenous Growth Model: Public Expenditure, Taxation and Growth Over the Long-Run”
- 00/26 **Michael Bleaney and Marco Gundermann**, “Credibility Gains and Output Losses: A Model of Exchange Rate Anchors”
- 00/27 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, “Gender Biased Redistribution and Intra-Household Distribution”
- 00/28 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley**, “Rentseeking by Players with Constant Absolute Risk Aversion”
- 00/29 **S.J. Leybourne, P. Newbold, D. Vougas and T. Kim**, “A Direct Test for Cointegration Between a Pair of Time Series”
- 00/30 **Claudio Zoli**, “Inverse Stochastic Dominance, Inequality Measurement and Gini Indices”

- 01/01 **Spiros Bougheas**, “Optimism, Education, and Industrial Development”
- 01/02 **Tae-Hwan Kim and Paul Newbold**, “Unit Root Tests Based on Inequality-Restricted Estimators”
- 01/03 **Christophe Muller**, “Defining Poverty Lines as a Fraction of Central Tendency”
- 01/04 **Claudio Piga and Joanna Poyago-Theotoky**, “Shall We Meet Halfway? Endogenous Spillovers and Locational Choice”
- 01/05 **Ilias Skamnelos**, “Sunspot Panics, Information-Based Bank Runs and Suspension of Deposit Convertibility”
- 01/06 **Spiros Bougheas and Yannis Georgellis**, “Apprenticeship Training, Earnings Profiles and Labour Turnover: Theory and German Evidence”
- 01/07 **M.J. Andrews, S. Bradley and R. Upward**, “Employer Search, Vacancy Duration and Skill Shortages”
- 01/08 **Marta Aloi and Laurence Lasselle**, “Growing Through Subsidies”
- 01/09 **Marta Aloi and Huw D. Dixon**, “Entry Dynamics, Capacity Utilisation, and Productivity in a Dynamic Open Economy”
- 01/10 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley**, “Asymmetric Contests with General Technologies”
- 01/11 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley**, “Disguised Aggregative Games”

Members of the Centre

Director

Oliver Morrissey - aid policy, trade and agriculture

Research Fellows (Internal)

Simon Appleton – poverty, education, households

Adam Blake – CGE models of low-income countries

Mike Bleaney - growth, international macroeconomics

Indraneel Dasgupta – development theory

Norman Gemmell – growth and public sector issues

Ken Ingersent - agricultural trade

Tim Lloyd – agricultural commodity markets

Paula Lorgelly – health, gender and growth

Andrew McKay - poverty, peasant households, agriculture

Chris Milner - trade and development

Wyn Morgan - futures markets, commodity markets

Christophe Muller – poverty, household panel econometrics

Tony Rayner - agricultural policy and trade

Research Fellows (External)

V.N. Balasubramanyam (*University of Lancaster*) – foreign direct investment and multinationals

David Fielding (*Leicester University*) - investment, monetary and fiscal policy

Göte Hansson (*Lund University*) – trade, Ethiopian development

Stephen Knowles (*University of Otago*) – inequality and growth

Robert Lensink (*University of Groningen*) – aid, investment, macroeconomics

Scott McDonald (*Sheffield University*) – CGE modelling, agriculture

Mark McGillivray (*RMIT University*) - aid allocation, human development

Doug Nelson (*Tulane University*) - political economy of trade

Shelton Nicholls (*University of West Indies*) – trade, integration

David Sapsford (*University of Lancaster*) - commodity prices

Eric Strobl (*University College Dublin*) – labour markets

Finn Tarp (*University of Copenhagen*) – aid, CGE modelling

Howard White (*IDS*) - aid, poverty