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## Glossary

| HC | Headcount |
| :--- | :--- |
| \% | Percentage |
| Level | Defined grade Level within the salary scales |
| Occupational Group | Referred to within the University as 'job family' |
|  | - APM |
| - APPREN | - Administrative, Professional \& Managerial |
| - C\&M | - Apprentices |
| - CCS | - Clinical \& Medical Related |
| - O\&F | - Operations \& Facilities |
| - R\&T | - Research \& Teaching |

## 1. Employee Profile Data

## Overview

Employee profile figures are based on data from the academic year 2022-2023 and taken on a $1^{\text {st }}$ June census date. This is the latest point in the academic year when sessional staff remain in post. Figures are given by headcount (headcount $>5$ have been rounded) unless otherwise stated and are only provided for staff groups with a large enough representation ( $>5$ ). Headcount figures that are fewer than 5 are shown as $<5$. Percentages are based on actual unrounded headcount figures.

## Gender

Headcount
The gender balance at the university in 2022-2023 has shifted marginally from 2021-22, with a small proportional increase in female staff. Overall, $55 \%$ of staff were female, continuing the trend of a fairly stable and roughly even gender balance at an institutional level over the last three years.

Figure 1.1 Table: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage)

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| Female | 4,310 | $54 \%$ | 4,435 | $54 \%$ | 4,870 | $55 \%$ |
| Male | 3,665 | $46 \%$ | 3,745 | $46 \%$ | 3,970 | $45 \%$ |
| Total | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 8,180 | $100 \%$ | 8,845 | $100 \%$ |

Figure 1.2 Graph: Gender Breakdown (percentage)


Mode of Employment
Over the past three years, the percentage of staff working part-time has decreased marginally or remained static, with just under one third of staff working part-time (28\%). The percentage of women working part-time has fallen by two percentage points to $38 \%$ since 2020-2021, while the percentage of men working part-time has increased by one percentage point to $16 \%$, but the difference in mode of employment between female and male staff remains marked.

Figure 1.3 Table: Mode of Employment by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Full-Time |  | Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | Female | 2,580 | 60\% | 1,730 | 40\% |
|  | Male | 3,125 | 85\% | 535 | 15\% |
|  | Total | 5,705 | 72\% | 2,265 | 28\% |
| 2022 | Female | 2,710 | 61\% | 1,725 | 39\% |
|  | Male | 3,170 | 85\% | 570 | 15\% |
|  | Total | 5,885 | 72\% | 2,295 | 28\% |
| 2023 | Female | 3,000 | 62\% | 1,870 | 38\% |
|  | Male | 3,345 | 84\% | 630 | 16\% |
|  | Total | 6,345 | 72\% | 2,500 | 28\% |

Figure 1.4 Graph: Mode of Employment by Gender (percentage)


## Contract Status

More employees at the University work on permanent contracts (81\%) than on fixedterm contracts (19\%). The proportion of staff working on a fixed-term basis has decreased slightly over the past three years from $20 \%$ to $19 \%$. The percentage of male employees on fixed-term contracts has decreased (three percentage points less than the previous two years), whilst female employees on fixed-term contracts has remained relatively static.

Figure 1.5 Table: Contract Status by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Fixed-Term |  | Permanent |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |  |
| 2021 | Female | 820 | $19 \%$ | 3,485 | $81 \%$ |
|  | Male | 800 | $22 \%$ | 2,860 | $78 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1,625 | $20 \%$ | 6,350 | $80 \%$ |
| 2023 | Female | 815 | $18 \%$ | 3,620 | $82 \%$ |
|  | Male | 750 | $20 \%$ | 2,990 | $80 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1,570 | $19 \%$ | 6,610 | $81 \%$ |
|  | Female | 905 | $19 \%$ | 3,970 | $81 \%$ |
|  | Male | 800 | $20 \%$ | 3,175 | $80 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1,700 | $19 \%$ | 7,140 | $81 \%$ |

Figure 1.6 Graph: Contract Status by Gender (percentage)


Level
At Level 3, significantly more women (68\%) are employed than men. The proportion of female staff at Level 7 increased from 27\% in 2020-2021 to 30\% in 2021-2022 and has since remained static.

Figure 1.7 Table: Level by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | 1 | 545 | 61\% | 355 | 39\% |
|  | 2 | 585 | 69\% | 260 | 31\% |
|  | 3 | 675 | 68\% | 310 | 32\% |
|  | 4 | 1,140 | 54\% | 975 | 46\% |
|  | 5 | 825 | 51\% | 805 | 49\% |
|  | 6 | 360 | 44\% | 460 | 56\% |
|  | 7 | 180 | 27\% | 495 | 73\% |
|  | Total | 4,310 | 54\% | 3,665 | 46\% |
| 2022 | 1 | 570 | 62\% | 345 | 38\% |
|  | 2 | 520 | 66\% | 260 | 34\% |
|  | 3 | 735 | 69\% | 335 | 31\% |
|  | 4 | 1,150 | 54\% | 960 | 46\% |
|  | 5 | 865 | 52\% | 810 | 48\% |
|  | 6 | 375 | 42\% | 525 | 58\% |
|  | 7 | 215 | 30\% | 505 | 70\% |
|  | Total | 4,435 | 54\% | 3,745 | 46\% |
| 2023 | 1 | 555 | 64\% | 310 | 36\% |
|  | 2 | 635 | 64\% | 355 | 36\% |
|  | 3 | 820 | 68\% | 380 | 32\% |
|  | 4 | 1,290 | 56\% | 1,000 | 44\% |
|  | 5 | 955 | 52\% | 875 | 48\% |
|  | 6 | 400 | 42\% | 545 | 58\% |
|  | 7 | 220 | 30\% | 500 | 70\% |
|  | Total | 4,870 | 55\% | 3,970 | 45\% |

Figure 1.8 Graph: Level by Gender (percentage)


Occupational Group
The gender profile differs across occupational groups. Aside from Child Care Services (CCS), significantly more women work within the Administrative, Professional and Managerial group (69\% in 2022-2023) than men.

The proportion of women working in Operations and Facilities has remained relatively static (O\&F 54\%). The proportion of women working in Clinical and Medical (C\&M 39\% in 2021-2022) increased from 37\% in 2020-21 and has remained static since then. The proportion of women in Research and Teaching has increased by two percentage points (R\&T 45\%) over the last three years, whereas the proportion of women working in Technical Services (TS 41\%) has remained relatively static.

Figure 1.9. Table: Occupational Group by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | APM \& APPREN | 2,000 | 70\% | 860 | 30\% |
|  | C\&M | 60 | 37\% | 105 | 63\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 550 | 54\% | 470 | 46\% |
|  | R\&T | 1,455 | 43\% | 1,895 | 57\% |
|  | TS | 240 | 42\% | 330 | 58\% |
|  | Total | 4,310 | 54\% | 3,665 | 46\% |
| 2022 | APM \& APPREN | 2,075 | 69\% | 920 | 31\% |
|  | C\&M | 65 | 39\% | 105 | 61\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 575 | 56\% | 460 | 44\% |
|  | R\&T | 1,500 | 44\% | 1,930 | 56\% |
|  | TS | 215 | 40\% | 330 | 60\% |
|  | Total | 4,435 | 54\% | 3,745 | 46\% |
| 2023 | APM \& APPREN | 2,360 | 69\% | 1,050 | 31\% |
|  | C\&M | 70 | 39\% | 110 | 61\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 605 | 54\% | 505 | 46\% |
|  | R\&T | 1,600 | 45\% | 1,965 | 55\% |
|  | TS | 240 | 41\% | 335 | 59\% |
|  | Total | 4,870 | 55\% | 3,970 | 45\% |

Figure 1.10. Graph: Occupational Group by Gender (percentage)


## Ethnicity

## Headcount

The University has a predominately white workforce (79\%) with Racially Minoritised Staff ${ }^{1}$ making up 18\% of the workforce, a two-percentage point increase over the last three years. The percentage of employees whose ethnicity is unknown has remained at 3\%.

Figure 1.11. Table: Ethnicity Breakdown (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |  |
| White | White | 6,530 | $82 \%$ | 6,600 | $81 \%$ | 6,995 | $79 \%$ |  |
|  | Total | 6,530 | $82 \%$ | 6,600 | $81 \%$ | 6,995 | $79 \%$ |  |
|  | Asian / Asian British | 455 | $6 \%$ | 525 | $6 \%$ | 620 | $7 \%$ |  |
| Minoritised | Black / Black British | 235 | $3 \%$ | 245 | $3 \%$ | 310 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Staff | Chinese / Chinese British | 265 | $3 \%$ | 290 | $4 \%$ | 335 | $4 \%$ |  |
|  | Mixed | 165 | $2 \%$ | 180 | $2 \%$ | 225 | $3 \%$ |  |
|  | Other | 120 | $2 \%$ | 130 | $2 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ |  |
|  | Total | 1,245 | $16 \%$ | 1,370 | $17 \%$ | 1,625 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Unknown | Unknown | 200 | $3 \%$ | 210 | $3 \%$ | 225 | $3 \%$ |  |
|  | Total | 200 | $3 \%$ | 210 | $3 \%$ | 225 | $3 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 8,180 | $100 \%$ | 8,845 | $100 \%$ |  |

Figure 1.12. Graph: Ethnicity Breakdown (percentage)


In 2022-2023 within the Racially Minoritised Staff population, 38\% are Asian/ Asian British, $19 \%$ are Black/ Black British, $20 \%$ are Chinese/ Chinese British, $14 \%$ are mixed heritage and $9 \%$ are of another ethnicity. These proportions are broadly consistent with previous years.

[^0]Figure 1.13. Table: Ethnicity Profile (headcount and percentage)

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| Asian / Asian British | 455 | $36 \%$ | 525 | $38 \%$ | 620 | $38 \%$ |
| Black / Black British | 235 | $19 \%$ | 245 | $18 \%$ | 310 | $19 \%$ |
| Chinese / Chinese British | 265 | $21 \%$ | 290 | $21 \%$ | 335 | $20 \%$ |
| Mixed | 165 | $13 \%$ | 180 | $13 \%$ | 225 | $14 \%$ |
| Other | 120 | $10 \%$ | 130 | $10 \%$ | 140 | $9 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 1,245 | $100 \%$ | 1,370 | $100 \%$ | 1,625 | $100 \%$ |

Figure 1.14. Graph: Ethnicity Profile (percentage)


## Mode of Employment

A higher percentage of Black/Black British employees work part-time (38\%) compared to other Racially Minoritised Staff (the next largest category being Asian/Asian British at $27 \%$ ), but this has decreased over the three-year period by seven-percentage points. Overall, the proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff working part-time slightly increased by two-percentage points from 2020-2021 to 2021-22 and has remained static since then. The proportion of White employees working part-time (29\%) has remained fairly static.

Figure 1.15. Table: Mode of Employment by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | Full-Time |  | Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | White | White | 4,640 | 71\% | 1,890 | 29\% |
|  |  | Total | 4,640 | 71\% | 1,890 | 29\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 340 | 75\% | 110 | 25\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 130 | 55\% | 105 | 45\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 235 | 89\% | 30 | 11\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 120 | 73\% | 45 | 27\% |
|  |  | Other | 100 | 82\% | 20 | 18\% |
|  |  | Total | 925 | 75\% | 315 | 25\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 140 | 69\% | 60 | 31\% |
|  |  | Total | 140 | 69\% | 60 | 31\% |
|  | Total |  | 5,705 | 72\% | 2,265 | 28\% |
| 2022 | White | White | 4,730 | 72\% | 1,870 | 28\% |
|  |  | Total | 4,730 | 72\% | 1,870 | 28\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 380 | 73\% | 140 | 27\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 140 | 57\% | 105 | 43\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 245 | 84\% | 45 | 16\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 135 | 75\% | 45 | 25\% |
|  |  | Other | 105 | 79\% | 25 | 21\% |
|  |  | Total | 1,005 | 73\% | 365 | 27\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 150 | 71\% | 60 | 29\% |
|  |  | Total | 150 | 71\% | 60 | 29\% |
|  | Total |  | 5,885 | 72\% | 2,295 | 28\% |
| 2023 | White | White | 5,000 | 71\% | 1,995 | 29\% |
|  |  | Total | 5,000 | 71\% | 1,995 | 29\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 450 | 72\% | 170 | 28\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 190 | 62\% | 115 | 38\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 265 | 79\% | 70 | 21\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 165 | 73\% | 60 | 27\% |
|  |  | Other | 115 | 81\% | 25 | 19\% |
|  |  | Total | 1,180 | 73\% | 445 | 27\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 165 | 74\% | 60 | 26\% |
|  |  | Total | 165 | 74\% | 60 | 26\% |
|  | Total |  | 6,345 | 72\% | 2,500 | 28\% |

Figure 1.16. Graph: Mode of Employment by Ethnicity (percentage)


Contract Status
A higher proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff (30\%) work on a fixed-term contract than White staff (17\%), consistent with previous years. The proportion of Racially Minoritised staff on fixed-term contracts has fallen slightly by two-percentage points over the last three years, whereas the proportion of White staff on fixed-term contracts has fallen by one-percentage point over the same period.

Figure 1.17. Table: Contract Status by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | Fixed-Term |  | Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | White | White | 1,180 | 18\% | 5,350 | 82\% |
|  |  | Total | 1,180 | 18\% | 5,350 | 82\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 155 | 34\% | 300 | 66\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 50 | 21\% | 185 | 79\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 100 | 38\% | 165 | 62\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 45 | 28\% | 120 | 72\% |
|  |  | Other | 50 | 39\% | 75 | 61\% |
|  |  | Total | 400 | 32\% | 845 | 68\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 45 | 22\% | 155 | 78\% |
|  |  | Total | 45 | 22\% | 155 | 78\% |
|  | Total |  | 1,625 | 20\% | 6,350 | 80\% |
| 2022 | White | White | 1,105 | 17\% | 5,495 | 83\% |
|  |  | Total | 1,105 | 17\% | 5,495 | 83\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 165 | 32\% | 355 | 68\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 55 | 22\% | 190 | 78\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 90 | 30\% | 200 | 70\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 55 | 31\% | 125 | 69\% |
|  |  | Other | 45 | 34\% | 85 | 66\% |
|  |  | Total | 410 | 30\% | 960 | 70\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 55 | 26\% | 155 | 74\% |
|  |  | Total | 55 | 26\% | 155 | 74\% |
|  | Total |  | 1,570 | 19\% | 6,610 | 81\% |
| 2023 | White | White | 1,155 | 17\% | 5,840 | 83\% |
|  |  | Total | 1,155 | 17\% | 5,840 | 83\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 185 | 30\% | 435 | 70\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 80 | 26\% | 230 | 74\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 110 | 33\% | 225 | 67\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 60 | 27\% | 165 | 73\% |
|  |  | Other | 45 | 33\% | 95 | 67\% |
|  |  | Total | 480 | 30\% | 1,145 | 70\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 65 | 30\% | 155 | 70\% |
|  |  | Total | 65 | 30\% | 155 | 70\% |
|  | Total |  | 1,700 | 19\% | 7,140 | 81\% |

Figure 1.18. Graph: Contract Status by Ethnicity (percentage)


Level
There continues to be a higher proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff at Levels 1 (15\%), $4(33 \%)$ and $5(20 \%)$ within the University than at other Levels. This is consistent across all Racially Minoritised Staff ethnicity categories at Levels 4 and 5, but is mainly driven by Black/Black British (33\%) and Mixed staff (15\%) at Level 1.

Three-year trends indicate broadly consistent proportions of ethnicity at all Levels, with the notable exception of Black/Black British staff at Level 1 who have fallen tenpercentage points over the reporting period, accompanied by moderate increases in the same group at Levels 3, 4, and 5. Staff in the "Other" ethnicity group at Level 4 have also decreased in proportion from $49 \%$ to $37 \%$ over the last three years, while the same group has increased from $20 \%$ to $27 \%$ at Level 5 .

Figure 1.19 Table: Level by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | White | White | 685 | 10\% | 725 | 11\% | 875 | 13\% | 1,620 | 25\% | 1,335 | 20\% | 690 | 11\% | 600 | 9\% |
|  |  | Total | 685 | 10\% | 725 | 11\% | 875 | 13\% | 1,620 | 25\% | 1,335 | 20\% | 690 | 11\% | 600 | 9\% |
|  | Racially <br> Minoritised <br> Staff | Asian / Asian British | 50 | 11\% | 45 | 10\% | 45 | 10\% | 155 | 34\% | 90 | 20\% | 40 | 9\% | 25 | 5\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 105 | 43\% | 20 | 9\% | 15 | 6\% | 55 | 23\% | 25 | 11\% | 10 | 4\% | 5 | 3\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 10 | 4\% | 10 | 4\% | 10 | 4\% | 110 | 42\% | 75 | 28\% | 25 | 10\% | 20 | 7\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 20 | 13\% | 20 | 13\% | 20 | 12\% | 55 | 32\% | 25 | 16\% | 15 | 9\% | 5 | 4\% |
|  |  | Other | 10 | 7\% | <5 | 3\% | 5 | 6\% | 60 | 49\% | 25 | 20\% | 15 | 11\% | 5 | 5\% |
|  |  | Total | 195 | 16\% | 105 | 8\% | 95 | 8\% | 435 | 35\% | 245 | 20\% | 105 | 9\% | 65 | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 25 | 12\% | 15 | 7\% | 10 | 6\% | 60 | 30\% | 55 | 27\% | 25 | 12\% | 15 | 7\% |
|  |  | Total | 25 | 12\% | 15 | 7\% | 10 | 6\% | 60 | 30\% | 55 | 27\% | 25 | 12\% | 15 | 7\% |
|  | Total |  | 905 | 11\% | 840 | 11\% | 985 | 12\% | 2,115 | 27\% | 1,635 | 20\% | 820 | 10\% | 675 | 8\% |
| 2022 | White | White | 660 | 10\% | 660 | 10\% | 935 | 14\% | 1,605 | 24\% | 1,360 | 21\% | 755 | 11\% | 625 | 9\% |
|  |  | Total | 660 | 10\% | 660 | 10\% | 935 | 14\% | 1,605 | 24\% | 1,360 | 21\% | 755 | 11\% | 625 | 9\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 70 | 14\% | 45 | 9\% | 55 | 11\% | 175 | 33\% | 95 | 18\% | 50 | 10\% | 30 | 6\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 100 | 41\% | 20 | 9\% | 15 | 7\% | 55 | 23\% | 30 | 11\% | 15 | 6\% | 5 | 3\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 20 | 7\% | 15 | 6\% | 15 | 6\% | 105 | 36\% | 80 | 27\% | 30 | 11\% | 20 | 7\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 30 | 15\% | 25 | 13\% | 20 | 12\% | 55 | 30\% | 30 | 17\% | 15 | 9\% | 5 | 4\% |
|  |  | Other | 10 | 9\% | <5 | 2\% | 10 | 8\% | 55 | 41\% | 30 | 22\% | 15 | 12\% | 10 | 6\% |
|  |  | Total | 235 | 17\% | 110 | 8\% | 125 | 9\% | 440 | 32\% | 260 | 19\% | 130 | 9\% | 75 | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 25 | 11\% | 15 | 7\% | 15 | 6\% | 70 | 33\% | 55 | 27\% | 20 | 9\% | 15 | 8\% |
|  |  | Total | 25 | 11\% | 15 | 7\% | 15 | 6\% | 70 | 33\% | 55 | 27\% | 20 | 9\% | 15 | 8\% |
|  | Total |  | 920 | 11\% | 780 | 10\% | 1,070 | 13\% | 2,110 | 26\% | 1,675 | 20\% | 905 | 11\% | 715 | 9\% |
| 2023 | White | White | 610 | 9\% | 815 | 12\% | 1,015 | 15\% | 1,690 | 24\% | 1,455 | 21\% | 785 | 11\% | 620 | 9\% |
|  |  | Total | 610 | 9\% | 815 | 12\% | 1,015 | 15\% | 1,690 | 24\% | 1,455 | 21\% | 785 | 11\% | 620 | 9\% |
|  | Racially <br> Minoritised <br> Staff | Asian / Asian British | 75 | 12\% | 70 | 11\% | 70 | 11\% | 200 | 33\% | 115 | 19\% | 50 | 8\% | 35 | 6\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 100 | 33\% | 30 | 10\% | 30 | 9\% | 80 | 26\% | 45 | 15\% | 15 | 4\% | 10 | 3\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 20 | 6\% | 20 | 7\% | 25 | 8\% | 125 | 37\% | 85 | 26\% | 35 | 11\% | 20 | 6\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 35 | 15\% | 20 | 10\% | 35 | 15\% | 75 | 32\% | 40 | 17\% | 15 | 7\% | 10 | 4\% |
|  |  | Other | 5 | 4\% | 5 | 5\% | 10 | 6\% | 50 | 37\% | 40 | 27\% | 20 | 14\% | 10 | 6\% |
|  |  | Total | 240 | 15\% | 155 | 9\% | 165 | 10\% | 530 | 33\% | 325 | 20\% | 135 | 8\% | 80 | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 15 | 8\% | 20 | 8\% | 15 | 8\% | 70 | 32\% | 55 | 24\% | 25 | 12\% | 20 | 9\% |
|  |  | Total | 15 | 8\% | 20 | 8\% | 15 | 8\% | 70 | 32\% | 55 | 24\% | 25 | 12\% | 20 | 9\% |
|  | Total |  | 865 | 10\% | 990 | 11\% | 1,200 | 14\% | 2,290 | 26\% | 1,830 | 21\% | 950 | 11\% | 720 | 8\% |

Figure 1.20. Graph: Level by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Occupational Group

There is a higher representation of Racially Minoritised Staff in the Clinical and Medical occupational group (31\%), Operations and Facilities (23\%) and Research and Teaching (22\%) occupational groups than within other occupational groups (13\%); this proportion has grown over the last three years for all occupational groups except Technical Services. NOTE: within the table in this section some occupational groups have been merged due to low numbers and to preserve data protection.

Figure 1.21. Table: Occupational Group by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | APM \& APPREN |  | C\&M |  | CCS \& O\&F |  | R\&T |  | TS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | White | White | 2,550 | 89\% | 115 | 67\% | 795 | 78\% | 2,585 | 77\% | 485 | 85\% |
|  |  | Total | 2,550 | 89\% | 115 | 67\% | 795 | 78\% | 2,585 | 77\% | 485 | 85\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 120 | 4\% | 30 | 18\% | 55 | 5\% | 220 | 7\% | 30 | 5\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 45 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 105 | 11\% | 70 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 35 | 1\% | <5 | 1\% | 10 | 1\% | 205 | 6\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 60 | 2\% | <5 | 2\% | 20 | 2\% | 70 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% |
|  |  | Other | 15 | 1\% | <5 | 2\% | 10 | 1\% | 90 | 3\% | 5 | 1\% |
|  |  | Total | 270 | 9\% | 45 | 28\% | 200 | 20\% | 655 | 20\% | 75 | 13\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 45 | 2\% | 10 | 5\% | 25 | 2\% | 110 | 3\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  |  | Total | 45 | 2\% | 10 | 5\% | 25 | 2\% | 110 | 3\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  | Total |  | 2,860 | 100\% | 170 | 100\% | 1,020 | 100\% | 3,355 | 100\% | 570 | 100\% |
| 2022 | White | White | 2,620 | 87\% | 115 | 68\% | 780 | 75\% | 2,615 | 76\% | 465 | 85\% |
|  |  | Total | 2,620 | 87\% | 115 | 68\% | 780 | 75\% | 2,615 | 76\% | 465 | 85\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 150 | 5\% | 35 | 21\% | 70 | 7\% | 240 | 7\% | 30 | 5\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 50 | 2\% | <5 | 3\% | 100 | 10\% | 75 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 45 | 2\% | $<5$ | 1\% | 20 | 2\% | 210 | 6\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 65 | 2\% | <5 | 2\% | 25 | 2\% | 80 | 2\% | 10 | 2\% |
|  |  | Other | 15 | 1\% | <5 | 2\% | 15 | 1\% | 90 | 3\% | <5 | 1\% |
|  |  | Total | 325 | 11\% | 50 | 28\% | 230 | 22\% | 695 | 20\% | 70 | 13\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 50 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 25 | 2\% | 115 | 3\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  |  | Total | 50 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 25 | 2\% | 115 | 3\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  | Total |  | 2,995 | 100\% | 170 | 100\% | 1,035 | 100\% | 3,430 | 100\% | 550 | 100\% |
| 2023 | White | White | 2,910 | 85\% | 115 | 65\% | 835 | 75\% | 2,645 | 74\% | 490 | 85\% |
|  |  | Total | 2,910 | 85\% | 115 | 65\% | 835 | 75\% | 2,645 | 74\% | 490 | 85\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | Asian / Asian British | 200 | 6\% | 35 | 21\% | 80 | 7\% | 275 | 8\% | 25 | 5\% |
|  |  | Black / Black British | 80 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 110 | 10\% | 100 | 3\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  |  | Chinese / Chinese British | 70 | 2\% | <5 | 2\% | 20 | 2\% | 225 | 6\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  |  | Mixed | 85 | 2\% | <5 | 1\% | 30 | 3\% | 95 | 3\% | 10 | 2\% |
|  |  | Other | 20 | 1\% | <5 | 3\% | 10 | 1\% | 105 | 3\% | <5 | 1\% |
|  |  | Total | 450 | 13\% | 55 | 31\% | 255 | 23\% | 795 | 22\% | 70 | 13\% |
|  | Unknown | Unknown | 55 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 20 | 2\% | 125 | 4\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  |  | Total | 55 | 2\% | 5 | 4\% | 20 | 2\% | 125 | 4\% | 15 | 3\% |
|  | Total |  | 3,415 | 100\% | 180 | 100\% | 1,110 | 100\% | 3,565 | 100\% | 575 | 100\% |

Figure 1.22. Graph: Occupational Group by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Disability

Headcount
In 2022-2023, the percentage of employees who have declared a disability has risen slightly to $7 \%$. The percentage of those whose disabilities are unknown has also risen slightly to $3 \%$.

Figure 1.23. Table: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage)

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| Declared Disabled | 450 | $6 \%$ | 530 | $6 \%$ | 660 | $7 \%$ |
| Declared Non-Disabled | 7,340 | $92 \%$ | 7,460 | $91 \%$ | 7,960 | $90 \%$ |
| Unknown | 185 | $2 \%$ | 195 | $2 \%$ | 225 | $3 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 8,180 | $100 \%$ | 8,845 | $100 \%$ |

Figure 1.24. Graph: Disability Breakdown (percentage)


## Mode of Employment

The percentage of employees who have declared they are disabled and who work fulltime has increased by four percentage points between 2020-2021 (66\%) and 2022-2023 ( $70 \%$ ). The percentage of employees in this group who work part-time has decreased over the same period by four percentage points ( $34 \%$ to $30 \%$ ).

Figure 1.25. Table: Mode of Employment by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Full-Time |  | Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | Declared Disabled | 295 | 66\% | 150 | 34\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 5,285 | 72\% | 2,050 | 28\% |
|  | Unknown | 125 | 66\% | 65 | 34\% |
|  | Total | 5,705 | 72\% | 2,265 | 28\% |
| 2022 | Declared Disabled | 365 | 69\% | 165 | 31\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 5,395 | 72\% | 2,065 | 28\% |
|  | Unknown | 130 | 66\% | 65 | 34\% |
|  | Total | 5,885 | 72\% | 2,295 | 28\% |
| 2023 | Declared Disabled | 460 | 70\% | 200 | 30\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 5,730 | 72\% | 2,230 | 28\% |
|  | Unknown | 155 | 69\% | 70 | 31\% |
|  | Total | 6,345 | 72\% | 2,500 | 28\% |

Figure 1.26. Graph: Mode of Employment by Disability (percentage)


Contract Status
The proportion of staff who have declared they are disabled has risen moderately over the three-year period for staff on a fixed-term contract ( $16 \%$ to $18 \%$ ) and fallen slightly for staff on a permanent contract ( $84 \%$ to $82 \%$ ).

Figure 1.27. Table: Contract Status by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Fixed-Term |  | Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | Declared Disabled | 70 | 16\% | 375 | 84\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 1,530 | 21\% | 5,805 | 79\% |
|  | Unknown | 20 | 11\% | 165 | 89\% |
|  | Total | 1,625 | 20\% | 6,350 | 80\% |
| 2022 | Declared Disabled | 90 | 17\% | 435 | 83\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 1,455 | 19\% | 6,005 | 81\% |
|  | Unknown | 25 | 12\% | 170 | 88\% |
|  | Total | 1,570 | 19\% | 6,610 | 81\% |
| 2023 | Declared Disabled | 115 | 18\% | 545 | 82\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 1,555 | 20\% | 6,405 | 80\% |
|  | Unknown | 30 | 14\% | 195 | 86\% |
|  | Total | 1,700 | 19\% | 7,140 | 81\% |

Figure 1.28. Graph: Contract Status by Disability (percentage)


Level
Overall, more employees across all Levels have declared a disability over the last three years, with the biggest proportional increase at Level 2 ( $8 \%$ to $12 \%$ ).

Figure 1.29. Table: Level by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Declared Disabled |  | Declared NonDisabled |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | 1 | 60 | 7\% | 805 | 89\% | 35 | 4\% |
|  | 2 | 70 | 8\% | 760 | 90\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  | 3 | 60 | 6\% | 900 | 91\% | 25 | 2\% |
|  | 4 | 120 | 6\% | 1,950 | 92\% | 45 | 2\% |
|  | 5 | 70 | 4\% | 1,535 | 94\% | 30 | 2\% |
|  | 6 | 45 | 5\% | 750 | 92\% | 25 | 3\% |
|  | 7 | 30 | 4\% | 635 | 94\% | 10 | 1\% |
|  | Total | 450 | 6\% | 7,340 | 92\% | 185 | 2\% |
| 2022 | 1 | 65 | 7\% | 815 | 89\% | 40 | 4\% |
|  | 2 | 70 | 9\% | 695 | 89\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  | 3 | 85 | 8\% | 960 | 90\% | 25 | 2\% |
|  | 4 | 135 | 6\% | 1,930 | 91\% | 45 | 2\% |
|  | 5 | 85 | 5\% | 1,555 | 93\% | 35 | 2\% |
|  | 6 | 55 | 6\% | 825 | 91\% | 25 | 3\% |
|  | 7 | 35 | 5\% | 670 | 94\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  | Total | 530 | 6\% | 7,460 | 91\% | 195 | 2\% |
| 2023 | 1 | 65 | 7\% | 760 | 88\% | 40 | 4\% |
|  | 2 | 115 | 12\% | 850 | 86\% | 25 | 3\% |
|  | 3 | 105 | 9\% | 1,065 | 89\% | 30 | 3\% |
|  | 4 | 155 | 7\% | 2,085 | 91\% | 50 | 2\% |
|  | 5 | 125 | 7\% | 1,670 | 91\% | 40 | 2\% |
|  | 6 | 55 | 6\% | 865 | 91\% | 25 | 3\% |
|  | 7 | 40 | 6\% | 665 | 92\% | 15 | 2\% |
|  | Total | 660 | 7\% | 7,960 | 90\% | 225 | 3\% |

Figure 1.30. Graph: Level by Disability (percentage)


Occupational Group
Over the three-year period there has been a modest increase in staff declaring a disability within each occupational group.

Figure. 1.31. Table: Occupational Group by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Declared Disabled |  | Declared NonDisabled |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | APM \& APPREN | 210 | 7\% | 2,605 | 91\% | 50 | 2\% |
|  | C\&M | <5 | 2\% | 165 | 97\% | <5 | 1\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 60 | 6\% | 920 | 90\% | 40 | 4\% |
|  | R\&T | 140 | 4\% | 3,140 | 94\% | 75 | 2\% |
|  | TS | 40 | 7\% | 510 | 89\% | 20 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 450 | 6\% | 7,340 | 92\% | 185 | 2\% |
| 2022 | APM \& APPREN | 245 | 8\% | 2,700 | 90\% | 50 | 2\% |
|  | C\&M | <5 | 2\% | 165 | 96\% | <5 | 1\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 60 | 6\% | 935 | 90\% | 40 | 4\% |
|  | R\&T | 175 | 5\% | 3,175 | 93\% | 80 | 2\% |
|  | TS | 45 | 8\% | 480 | 88\% | 20 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 530 | 6\% | 7,460 | 91\% | 195 | 2\% |
| 2023 | APM \& APPREN | 320 | 9\% | 3,025 | 89\% | 70 | 2\% |
|  | C\&M | <5 | 3\% | 170 | 96\% | <5 | 2\% |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 75 | 7\% | 995 | 90\% | 45 | 4\% |
|  | R\&T | 205 | 6\% | 3,270 | 92\% | 90 | 2\% |
|  | TS | 55 | 10\% | 495 | 86\% | 25 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 660 | 7\% | 7,960 | 90\% | 225 | 3\% |

Figure 1.32. Graph: Occupational Group by Disability (percentage)


Age
Headcount
The age profile of the university workforce has remained relatively constant over the last three years, with small numbers of staff in the 16-24 (4\%) and 65+ age bands (3\%).

Figure 1.33. Table: Age Breakdown (headcount and percentage)

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| $16-24$ | 220 | $3 \%$ | 240 | $3 \%$ | 315 | $4 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | 1,790 | $22 \%$ | 1,820 | $22 \%$ | 2,020 | $23 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | 2,255 | $28 \%$ | 2,300 | $28 \%$ | 2,460 | $28 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 2,060 | $26 \%$ | 2,095 | $26 \%$ | 2,195 | $25 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 1,430 | $18 \%$ | 1,495 | $18 \%$ | 1,580 | $18 \%$ |
| $65-74$ | 205 | $3 \%$ | 220 | $3 \%$ | 255 | $3 \%$ |
| $75+$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 15 | $0 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 8,180 | $100 \%$ | 8,845 | $100 \%$ |

Figure 1.34. Graph: Age Breakdown (percentage)


Mode of Employment
Most full-time employees (83\%) are aged 25-34 years old. More than half of 65-74 age employees and all 75+ age employees work part-time, although the proportion of 65-74 age staff working full-time has increased over the three years from $39 \%$ to $45 \%$ (with a corresponding decrease of the proportion of staff in this age group working part-time from $61 \%$ to $55 \%$ ). Staff aged 16-24 saw a decrease of seven-percentage points over the three years in the full-time category ( $65 \%$ to $58 \%$ ) and an increase of sevenpercentage points in the part-time category ( $35 \%$ to $42 \%$ ).

Figure 1.35. Table: Mode of Employment by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Full-Time |  | Part-Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | 16-24 | 145 | 65\% | 75 | 35\% |
|  | 25-34 | 1,480 | 83\% | 310 | 17\% |
|  | 35-44 | 1,605 | 71\% | 645 | 29\% |
|  | 45-54 | 1,460 | 71\% | 600 | 29\% |
|  | 55-64 | 940 | 66\% | 495 | 34\% |
|  | 65-74 | 80 | 39\% | 125 | 61\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 9\% | 10 | 91\% |
|  | Total | 5,705 | 72\% | 2,265 | 28\% |
| 2022 | 16-24 | 135 | 57\% | 105 | 43\% |
|  | 25-34 | 1,510 | 83\% | 310 | 17\% |
|  | 35-44 | 1,640 | 71\% | 655 | 29\% |
|  | 45-54 | 1,500 | 72\% | 595 | 28\% |
|  | 55-64 | 1,010 | 67\% | 485 | 33\% |
|  | 65-74 | 85 | 37\% | 140 | 63\% |
|  | 75+ |  |  | 10 | 100\% |
|  | Total | 5,885 | 72\% | 2,295 | 28\% |
| 2023 | 16-24 | 180 | 58\% | 135 | 42\% |
|  | 25-34 | 1,635 | 81\% | 385 | 19\% |
|  | 35-44 | 1,790 | 73\% | 670 | 27\% |
|  | 45-54 | 1,575 | 72\% | 625 | 28\% |
|  | 55-64 | 1,050 | 66\% | 530 | 34\% |
|  | 65-74 | 115 | 45\% | 140 | 55\% |
|  | 75+ |  |  | 15 | 100\% |
|  | Total | 6,345 | 72\% | 2,500 | 28\% |

Figure 1.36. Graph: Mode of Employment by Age (percentage)


## Contract Status

Staff in the 16-24 or 25-34 age bands are more likely to be employed on fixed-term contracts, although these proportions have decreased since 2021 ( $31 \%$ to $23 \%$ and $44 \%$ to $39 \%$ respectively). Staff aged between 35-74 are the most likely to hold a permanent contract.

Figure 1.37. Table: Contract Status by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Fixed-Term |  | Permanent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | 16-24 | 70 | 31\% | 150 | 69\% |
|  | 25-34 | 785 | 44\% | 1,005 | 56\% |
|  | 35-44 | 465 | 21\% | 1,790 | 79\% |
|  | 45-54 | 190 | 9\% | 1,870 | 91\% |
|  | 55-64 | 90 | 6\% | 1,345 | 94\% |
|  | 65-74 | 30 | 14\% | 180 | 86\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 27\% | 10 | 73\% |
|  | Total | 1,625 | 20\% | 6,350 | 80\% |
| 2022 | 16-24 | 55 | 24\% | 185 | 76\% |
|  | 25-34 | 755 | 41\% | 1,065 | 59\% |
|  | 35-44 | 430 | 19\% | 1,865 | 81\% |
|  | 45-54 | 200 | 9\% | 1,895 | 91\% |
|  | 55-64 | 105 | 7\% | 1,390 | 93\% |
|  | 65-74 | 20 | 10\% | 200 | 90\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 13\% | 5 | 88\% |
|  | Total | 1,570 | 19\% | 6,610 | 81\% |
| 2023 | 16-24 | 75 | 23\% | 240 | 77\% |
|  | 25-34 | 785 | 39\% | 1,235 | 61\% |
|  | 35-44 | 470 | 19\% | 1,990 | 81\% |
|  | 45-54 | 225 | 10\% | 1,975 | 90\% |
|  | 55-64 | 120 | 7\% | 1,465 | 93\% |
|  | 65-74 | 25 | 10\% | 230 | 90\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 20\% | 10 | 80\% |
|  | Total | 1,700 | 19\% | 7,140 | 81\% |

Figure 1.38. Graph: Contract Status by Age (percentage)


Level
There has been a decrease in the proportion of staff aged 55-64 at Level 1 (by five percentage points) and staff aged $35-44$ at Level 3 (by four percentage points) in the three-year period, and an increase in the proportion of staff aged 55-64 at Level 6 (by two percentage points); 16-24 at Level 1 (by six percentage points); and 16-24 at Level 2 (by three percentage points). Figures within other age brackets and Level have remained relatively static.

Figure 1.39. Table: Level by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  |  | 16-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | 1 | HC | 100 | 140 | 150 | 195 | 270 | 50 | <5 |
|  |  | \% | 11\% | 15\% | 17\% | 21\% | 30\% | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | 2 | HC | 60 | 245 | 165 | 185 | 170 | 15 | <5 |
|  |  | \% | 7\% | 29\% | 19\% | 22\% | 20\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | 3 | HC | 30 | 300 | 270 | 235 | 135 | 10 |  |
|  |  | \% | 3\% | 31\% | 28\% | 24\% | 14\% | 1\% |  |
|  | 4 | HC | 30 | 815 | 640 | 405 | 205 | 20 |  |
|  |  | \% | 1\% | 39\% | 30\% | 19\% | 10\% | 1\% |  |
|  | 5 | HC |  | 270 | 680 | 465 | 195 | 25 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  | 16\% | 42\% | 29\% | 12\% | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | 6 | HC |  | 20 | 265 | 325 | 185 | 20 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  | 2\% | 33\% | 40\% | 23\% | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | 7 | HC |  |  | 80 | 250 | 275 | 65 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  |  | 12\% | 37\% | 41\% | 9\% | 1\% |
|  | Total | HC | 220 | 1,790 | 2,255 | 2,060 | 1,430 | 205 | 10 |
|  |  | \% | 3\% | 22\% | 28\% | 26\% | 18\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| 2022 | 1 | HC | 120 | 140 | 155 | 205 | 245 | 55 | <5 |
|  |  | \% | 13\% | 15\% | 17\% | 22\% | 27\% | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | 2 | HC | 65 | 220 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 15 | <5 |
|  |  | \% | 8\% | 28\% | 19\% | 20\% | 22\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | 3 | HC | 35 | 345 | 270 | 265 | 145 | 10 |  |
|  |  | \% | 3\% | 32\% | 25\% | 25\% | 13\% | 1\% |  |
|  | 4 | HC | 20 | 820 | 635 | 400 | 215 | 20 |  |
|  |  | \% | 1\% | 39\% | 30\% | 19\% | 10\% | 1\% |  |
|  | 5 | HC |  | 265 | 700 | 465 | 215 | 30 | $<5$ |
|  |  | \% |  | 16\% | 42\% | 28\% | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | 6 | HC |  | 25 | 305 | 340 | 210 | 25 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  | 3\% | 34\% | 38\% | 23\% | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | 7 | HC |  |  | 85 | 260 | 300 | 70 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  |  | 12\% | 37\% | 42\% | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | Total | HC | 240 | 1,820 | 2,300 | 2,095 | 1,495 | 220 | 10 |
|  |  | \% | 3\% | 22\% | 28\% | 26\% | 18\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| 2023 | 1 | HC | 135 | 135 | 135 | 180 | 220 | 50 | 5 |
|  |  | \% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 21\% | 25\% | 6\% | 1\% |
|  | 2 | HC | 100 | 280 | 185 | 195 | 200 | 30 |  |
|  |  | \% | 10\% | 28\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 3\% |  |
|  | 3 | HC | 55 | 395 | 295 | 280 | 160 | 20 |  |
|  |  | \% | 4\% | 33\% | 24\% | 23\% | 13\% | 2\% |  |
|  | 4 | HC | 25 | 890 | 700 | 425 | 225 | 25 | <5 |
|  |  | \% | 1\% | 39\% | 31\% | 18\% | 10\% | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | 5 | HC |  | 300 | 765 | 485 | 245 | 30 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  | 16\% | 42\% | 27\% | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | 6 | HC |  | 20 | 295 | 375 | 235 | 25 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  | 2\% | 31\% | 39\% | 25\% | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | 7 | HC |  |  | 80 | 260 | 300 | 75 | <5 |
|  |  | \% |  |  | 11\% | 36\% | 41\% | 11\% | 1\% |
|  | Total | HC | 315 | 2,020 | 2,460 | 2,195 | 1,580 | 255 | 15 |
|  |  | \% | 4\% | 23\% | 28\% | 25\% | 18\% | 3\% | 0\% |

Figure 1.40. Graph: Level by Age (percentage)


Occupational Group
The proportion of different age bands has remained broadly consistent across the Administrative, Professional and Managerial (APM), Operations and Facilities (O\&F) and Research and Teaching (R\&T) staff groups over the last three years. Technical Services (TS) has seen the largest changes, employing a higher proportion of staff aged 25-34 (increasing by four percentage points) and 45-54 (increasing by three percentage points) and a lower proportion of staff aged 35-44 (decreasing by six percentage points) and 5564 (decreasing by six percentage points) over the three-year period. Figures in the remaining staff groups are too low to discern a meaningful trend.

Figure 1.41. Table: Occupational Group by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | 16-24 |  | 25-34 |  | 35-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  | 65-74 |  | 75+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| 2021 | APM \& APPREN | 95 | 3\% | 685 | 24\% | 835 | 29\% | 805 | 28\% | 405 | 14\% | 35 | 1\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | C\&M |  |  | 20 | 11\% | 50 | 30\% | 45 | 28\% | 45 | 27\% | 5 | 4\% |  |  |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 80 | 8\% | 150 | 15\% | 185 | 18\% | 235 | 23\% | 320 | 31\% | 50 | 5\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | R\&T | 15 | 1\% | 825 | 25\% | 1,030 | 31\% | 830 | 25\% | 545 | 16\% | 100 | 3\% | 5 | 0\% |
|  | TS | 30 | 5\% | 110 | 19\% | 155 | 27\% | 145 | 25\% | 120 | 21\% | 10 | 2\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Total | 220 | 3\% | 1,790 | 22\% | 2,255 | 28\% | 2,060 | 26\% | 1,430 | 18\% | 205 | 3\% | 10 | 0\% |
| 2022 | APM \& APPREN | 105 | 3\% | 720 | 24\% | 880 | 29\% | 805 | 27\% | 460 | 15\% | 30 | 1\% |  |  |
|  | C\&M |  |  | 15 | 9\% | 55 | 32\% | 50 | 29\% | 45 | 25\% | 10 | 5\% |  |  |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 100 | 10\% | 150 | 15\% | 180 | 17\% | 250 | 24\% | 295 | 28\% | 60 | 6\% | < 5 | 0\% |
|  | R\&T | 15 | 0\% | 820 | 24\% | 1,050 | 31\% | 840 | 24\% | 585 | 17\% | 115 | 3\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | TS | 25 | 4\% | 110 | 20\% | 135 | 24\% | 150 | 28\% | 115 | 21\% | 10 | 2\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Total | 240 | 3\% | 1,820 | 22\% | 2,300 | 28\% | 2,095 | 26\% | 1,495 | 18\% | 220 | 3\% | 10 | 0\% |
| 2023 | APM \& APPREN | 140 | 4\% | 845 | 25\% | 1,005 | 29\% | 870 | 26\% | 510 | 15\% | 45 | 1\% |  |  |
|  | C\&M |  |  | 20 | 11\% | 50 | 29\% | 55 | 31\% | 40 | 23\% | 10 | 6\% |  |  |
|  | CCS \& O\&F | 115 | 10\% | 175 | 16\% | 185 | 17\% | 260 | 23\% | 305 | 28\% | 60 | 6\% | 5 | 1\% |
|  | R\&T | 15 | 0\% | 850 | 24\% | 1,100 | 31\% | 850 | 24\% | 620 | 17\% | 120 | 3\% | 10 | 0\% |
|  | TS | 40 | 7\% | 130 | 23\% | 120 | 21\% | 160 | 28\% | 105 | 18\% | 15 | 3\% |  |  |
|  | Total | 315 | 4\% | 2,020 | 23\% | 2,460 | 28\% | 2,195 | 25\% | 1,580 | 18\% | 255 | 3\% | 15 | 0\% |

Figure 1.42. Graph: Occupational Group by Age (percentage)


## 2. Recruitment

## Gender

The proportion of female job applicants has fluctuated but returned to 48\% from 202021, with minimal changes to the proportion of women shortlisted and offered positions. This compares to a $55 \%$ female workforce, a proportion which has marginally increased over the same period.

Figure 2.1. Table: Recruitment by Gender (applications and percentage)

|  |  | No. <br> Applications | \% <br> Applications | No. Shortlisted | \% <br> Shortlisted | No. Offered | \% Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-21 | Female | 13,360 | 48\% | 3,260 | 54\% | 1,065 | 58\% |
|  | Male | 14,085 | 51\% | 2,705 | 45\% | 755 | 41\% |
|  | Unknown | 395 | 1\% | 75 | 1\% | 20 | 1\% |
|  | Total | 27,840 | 100\% | 6,040 | 100\% | 1,840 | 100\% |
| 2021-22 | Female | 13,605 | 46\% | 4,645 | 55\% | 1,585 | 59\% |
|  | Male | 15,370 | 52\% | 3,620 | 43\% | 1,035 | 39\% |
|  | Unknown | 540 | 2\% | 160 | 2\% | 50 | 2\% |
|  | Total | 29,510 | 100\% | 8,425 | 100\% | 2,665 | 100\% |
| 2022-23 | Female | 18,700 | 48\% | 5,100 | 55\% | 1,680 | 57\% |
|  | Male | 20,005 | 51\% | 4,025 | 43\% | 1,180 | 40\% |
|  | Unknown | 575 | 1\% | 175 | 2\% | 65 | 2\% |
|  | Total | 39,280 | 100\% | 9,300 | 100\% | 2,925 | 100\% |

Figure 2.2. Graph: Recruitment by Gender (percentage)


## Ethnicity

The proportion of Racially Minoritised job applicants increased to 54\% in 2022-2023 from $43 \%$ in 2020-2021. 36\% of Racially Minoritised candidates were shortlisted in 2022-2023, up from 29\% in 2020-2021. The percentage of Racially Minoritised candidates offered a role increased from 23\% in 2020-2021 to $29 \%$ in 2022-2023. Whilst percentages generally remain higher for White applicants, there has been a downward trend during the three-year period, for example an 11\% decrease in applications, a $7 \%$ decrease in shortlisting and a $6 \%$ decrease in receiving an offer.

Figure 2.3. Table: Recruitment by Ethnicity (applications and percentage)

|  |  | No. <br> Applications | \% Applications | No. Shortlisted | \% <br> Shortlisted | No. Offered | \% Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-21 | White | 14,780 | 53\% | 4,110 | 68\% | 1,350 | 73\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised | 11,940 | 43\% | 1,730 | 29\% | 430 | 23\% |
|  | Unknown | 1,120 | 4\% | 200 | 3\% | 60 | 3\% |
|  | Total | 27,840 | 100\% | 6,040 | 100\% | 1,840 | 100\% |
| 2021-22 | White | 13,110 | 44\% | 5,470 | 65\% | 1,895 | 71\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised | 15,035 | 51\% | 2,625 | 31\% | 675 | 25\% |
|  | Unknown | 1,360 | 5\% | 330 | 4\% | 100 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 29,510 | 100\% | 8,425 | 100\% | 2,665 | 100\% |
| 2022-23 | White | 16,325 | 42\% | 5,635 | 61\% | 1,970 | 67\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised | 21,375 | 54\% | 3,330 | 36\% | 850 | 29\% |
|  | Unknown | 1,580 | 4\% | 335 | 4\% | 100 | 3\% |
|  | Total | 39,280 | 100\% | 9,300 | 100\% | 2,925 | 100\% |

Figure 2.4. Graph: Recruitment by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Disability

The proportion of applicants who have declared they are disabled has risen marginally over the last three years to $6 \%$. The proportion of applicants from this group who are shortlisted has increased from $7 \%$ to $9 \%$ over this period, and the proportion who are offered a role has risen marginally from $7 \%$ to $8 \%$ over the same period.

Figure 2.5. Table: Recruitment by Disability (applications and percentage)

|  |  | No. <br> Applications | \% <br> Applications | No. Shortlisted | \% <br> Shortlisted | No. Offered | \% Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-21 | Declared Disabled | 1,340 | 5\% | 440 | 7\% | 120 | 7\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 25,720 | 92\% | 5,410 | 90\% | 1,650 | 90\% |
|  | Unknown | 780 | 3\% | 190 | 3\% | 70 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 27,840 | 100\% | 6,040 | 100\% | 1,840 | 100\% |
| 2021-22 | Declared Disabled | 1,500 | 5\% | 670 | 8\% | 185 | 7\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 26,965 | 91\% | 7,395 | 88\% | 2,365 | 89\% |
|  | Unknown | 1,040 | 4\% | 360 | 4\% | 120 | 4\% |
|  | Total | 29,510 | 100\% | 8,425 | 100\% | 2,665 | 100\% |
| 2022-23 | Declared Disabled | 2,350 | 6\% | 885 | 9\% | 245 | 8\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 35,600 | 91\% | 8,025 | 86\% | 2,540 | 87\% |
|  | Unknown | 1,330 | 3\% | 390 | 4\% | 140 | 5\% |
|  | Total | 39,280 | 100\% | 9,300 | 100\% | 2,925 | 100\% |

Figure 2.6. Graph: Recruitment by Disability (percentage)


Age
Applications by age range are relatively consistent across all three years, with some percentages fluctuating but little evidence of trends. The main exception is in offers made to people aged 16-24 which have increased from $10 \%$ to $13 \%$.

Figure 2.7. Table: Recruitment by Age (applications and percentage)

|  |  | No. Applications | \% Applications | No. Shortlisted | \% Shortlisted | No. Offered | \% Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-21 | 16-24 | 4,035 | 14\% | 745 | 12\% | 190 | 10\% |
|  | 25-34 | 11,545 | 41\% | 2,455 | 41\% | 825 | 45\% |
|  | 35-44 | 7,415 | 27\% | 1,545 | 26\% | 445 | 24\% |
|  | 45-54 | 3,450 | 12\% | 890 | 15\% | 260 | 14\% |
|  | 55-64 | 1,250 | 4\% | 370 | 6\% | 100 | 5\% |
|  | 65-74 | 80 | 0\% | 30 | 1\% | 10 | 1\% |
|  | 75+ | 60 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% |  |  |
|  | Unknown | 5 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Total | 27,840 | 100\% | 6,040 | 100\% | 1,840 | 100\% |
| 2021-22 | 16-24 | 3,940 | 13\% | 1,275 | 15\% | 355 | 13\% |
|  | 25-34 | 11,630 | 39\% | 3,080 | 37\% | 1,060 | 40\% |
|  | 35-44 | 8,605 | 29\% | 2,145 | 25\% | 650 | 24\% |
|  | 45-54 | 3,865 | 13\% | 1,340 | 16\% | 405 | 15\% |
|  | 55-64 | 1,315 | 4\% | 540 | 6\% | 180 | 7\% |
|  | 65-74 | 105 | 0\% | 35 | 0\% | 15 | 1\% |
|  | 75+ | 45 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Unknown | 10 | 0\% | 10 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Total | 29,510 | 100\% | 8,425 | 100\% | 2,665 | 100\% |
| 2022-23 | 16-24 | 5,685 | 14\% | 1,320 | 14\% | 370 | 13\% |
|  | 25-34 | 15,715 | 40\% | 3,610 | 39\% | 1,215 | 42\% |
|  | 35-44 | 10,820 | 28\% | 2,335 | 25\% | 730 | 25\% |
|  | 45-54 | 5,205 | 13\% | 1,415 | 15\% | 405 | 14\% |
|  | 55-64 | 1,700 | 4\% | 555 | 6\% | 170 | 6\% |
|  | 65-74 | 125 | 0\% | 40 | 0\% | 25 | 1\% |
|  | 75+ | 15 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% | <5 | 0\% |
|  | Unknown | 20 | 0\% | 20 | 0\% | 10 | 0\% |
|  | Total | 39,280 | 100\% | 9,300 | 100\% | 2,925 | 100\% |

Figure 2.8. Graph: Recruitment by Age (percentage)


## 3. Promotions

Promotions data relate to the process for R\&T staff progression. There is no equivalent process for other staff groups, whose data are included in the Recruitment and Regrading datasets.

Usually there is one round of promotions per academic year. During 2021-2022 there were two due to one being delayed from 2020-2021.

## Gender

In 2023 a higher proportion of promotion applicants were approved for female staff (89\%) than male staff (81\%), although this gap narrowed from seventeen-percentage points the previous year. In parallel, the gap widened for declined applications with female staff decreasing to $11 \%$ and male staff increasing to $19 \%$.

Figure 3.1. Table: Promotions by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | Application <br> Approved |  | Application <br> Declined | Application <br> Approved |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application <br> Declined |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | Female | 105 | 20 | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
|  | Male | 125 | 20 | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 2023 | Female | 50 | 5 | $88 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
|  | Male | 50 | 20 | $71 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
|  | Female | 100 | 15 | $89 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
|  | Male | 80 | 20 | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ |

Figure 3.2. Graph: Promotions by Gender (percentage)


Ethnicity
A lower proportion of promotion applications have been approved for Racially Minoritised Staff over the last three years compared to White staff, although the gap has narrowed from eight- to four-percentage points. The proportion of declined applications for Racially Minoritised staff remains higher than for White staff, although it is lower in comparison to previous years.

Figure 3.3. Table: Promotions by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Application Approved | Application Declined | Application Approved | Application Declined |
| 2021 | White | 175 | 25 | 87\% | 13\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | 45 | 10 | 79\% | 21\% |
|  | Unknown | 10 | <5 | 73\% | 27\% |
| 2022 | White | 85 | 20 | 82\% | 18\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | 15 | 5 | 73\% | 27\% |
|  | Unknown | <5 | <5 | 33\% | 67\% |
| 2023 | White | 145 | 25 | 86\% | 14\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff | 30 | 5 | 82\% | 18\% |
|  | Unknown | 5 | <5 | 86\% | 14\% |

Figure 3.4. Graph: Promotions by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Disability

Due to small numbers of promotion applicants who have declared they are disabled (headcount ranging from 10-20), it is difficult to draw firm conclusions about the significance of shifts in the data. The data show that approved applications for this group increased from 71\% to 93\% from 2021 to 2022 before decreasing again to 77\% in 2023.

Figure 3.5. Table: Promotions by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Application Approved | Application Declined | Application Approved | Application Declined |
| 2021 | Declared Disabled | 10 | <5 | 71\% | 29\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 210 | 35 | 86\% | 14\% |
|  | Unknown | 5 | <5 | 67\% | 33\% |
| 2022 | Declared Disabled | 15 | <5 | 93\% | 7\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 85 | 25 | 78\% | 22\% |
|  | Unknown | <5 | <5 | 50\% | 50\% |
| 2023 | Declared Disabled | 10 | <5 | 77\% | 23\% |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 170 | 30 | 86\% | 14\% |
|  | Unknown | <5 |  | 100\% |  |

Figure 3.6. Graph: Promotions by Disability (percentage)


Age
Due to small numbers of promotion applicants in some age ranges it is difficult to draw firm conclusions about the significance of shifts in the data. Notable changes include the decrease of approved applications for staff aged 45-54 from 82\% to $78 \%$ to $86 \%$ and staff aged $55-64$ from $76 \%$ to $57 \%$ to $79 \%$. The age range $65-74$ is not showing as a row in 2022 or 2023 because there is no data.

Figure 3.7. Table: Promotions by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Application Approved | Application Declined | Application Approved | Application Declined |
| 2021 | 25-34 | 35 | <5 | 87\% | 13\% |
|  | 35-44 | 105 | 10 | 90\% | 10\% |
|  | 45-54 | 65 | 15 | 82\% | 18\% |
|  | 55-64 | 25 | 10 | 76\% | 24\% |
|  | 65-74 |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
| 2022 | 25-34 | 10 | <5 | 80\% | 20\% |
|  | 35-44 | 50 | 10 | 85\% | 15\% |
|  | 45-54 | 30 | 10 | 78\% | 23\% |
|  | 55-64 | 10 | 5 | 57\% | 43\% |
| 2023 | 16-24 | < 5 |  | 100\% |  |
|  | 25-34 | 25 | <5 | 87\% | 13\% |
|  | 35-44 | 85 | 15 | 86\% | 14\% |
|  | 45-54 | 50 | 10 | 86\% | 14\% |
|  | 55-64 | 20 | <5 | 79\% | 21\% |

Figure 3.8. Graph: Promotions by Age (percentage)


## 4. Regrading

The regrading process is available to staff in the Administrative, Professional and Managerial (APM) and Technical Services occupational groups. It is carried out with reference to the occupational group level descriptors, underpinned by the Hay analytical job evaluation scheme implemented at the University. The regrading process is intended to recognise the changes in a role that have already happened.

## Gender

Although numbers are small, men appear to be more likely than women to be successful in their regrading applications, although the proportions became more balanced in 2023.

Figure 4.1. Table: Regrading by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | No | Yes | No | Yes |
|  | Male |  | 30 | $9 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2022 | Female | 5 | 15 |  | $100 \%$ |
|  | Male |  | 35 | $16 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
|  | Female | $<5$ | 35 |  | $100 \%$ |
|  | Male | $<5$ | 10 | $9 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

Figure 4.2. Graph: Regrading by Gender (percentage)


Ethnicity
Although numbers are small, Racially Minoritised Staff appear to be more likely than White staff to be successful in their regrading applications in each of the last three years.

Figure 4.3. Table: Regrading by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| 2021 | White | <5 | 45 | 7\% | 93\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
|  | Unknown |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
| 2022 | White | 5 | 60 | 9\% | 91\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
|  | Unknown | <5 |  | 100\% |  |
| 2023 | White | <5 | 40 | 9\% | 91\% |
|  | Racially Minoritised Staff |  | <5 |  | 100\% |

Figure 4.4. Graph: Regrading by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Disability

Staff without a declared disability have a relatively consistent success rate in their regrading activity over the three-year period. Data for staff who have declared they are disabled shows a higher rate of fluctuation due to extremely small numbers (consistently five or less per year).

Figure 4.5. Table: Regrading by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |  |
| 2022 | Declared Disabled |  | $<5$ |  | $100 \%$ |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | $<5$ | 40 | $7 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
|  | Declared Disabled | $<5$ | $<5$ | $33 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | 5 | 60 | $9 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2023 | Unknown |  | $<5$ |  | $100 \%$ |
|  | Declared Disabled |  | 5 |  | $100 \%$ |
|  | Declared Non-Disabled | $<5$ | 40 | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
|  | Unknown |  | $<5$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Figure 4.6. Graph: Regrading by Disability (percentage)


Age
No staff aged 16-24 applied for regrading in 2023, and no staff aged 65-74 applied for regrading in the previous two years. Data for staff in the other age groups shows a high rate of fluctuation due to small numbers, although there appears to be a decrease in approved applications for staff aged 25-44 and an increase for staff aged 45-64.

Figure 4.7. Table: Regrading by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC |  | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| 2021 | 16-24 |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
|  | 25-34 |  | 15 |  | 100\% |
|  | 35-44 |  | 10 |  | 100\% |
|  | 45-54 | <5 | 15 | 7\% | 93\% |
|  | 55-64 | <5 | 5 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 2022 | 16-24 |  | <5 |  | 100\% |
|  | 25-34 | <5 | 20 | 9\% | 91\% |
|  | 35-44 | <5 | 15 | 12\% | 88\% |
|  | 45-54 | <5 | 20 | 10\% | 90\% |
|  | 55-64 | <5 | <5 | 17\% | 83\% |
| 2023 | 25-34 | <5 | 10 | 8\% | 92\% |
|  | 35-44 | <5 | 15 | 11\% | 89\% |
|  | 45-54 |  | 10 |  | 100\% |
|  | 55-64 | <5 | <5 | 17\% | 83\% |
|  | 65-74 |  | <5 |  | 100\% |

Figure 4.8. Graph: Regrading by Age (percentage)


## 5. Leavers

## Gender

Women are consistently more likely to leave the university than men, which can be explained by the higher proportion of women in the workforce.

Figure 5.1. Table: Leavers by Gender (headcount and percentage)

|  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| 2021 | 530 | $52 \%$ | 485 | $48 \%$ |
| 2022 | 765 | $57 \%$ | 585 | $43 \%$ |
| 2023 | 670 | $54 \%$ | 560 | $46 \%$ |

Figure 5.2. Graph: Leavers by Gender (percentage)


Ethnicity
The percentage of Racially Minoritised Staff leavers has risen over the three-year period from $24 \%$ to $28 \%$. This figure is higher than the proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff in the university (18\%) and might be partially explained by the higher proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff undertaking fixed-term contracts which have natural end dates (30\% compared to $17 \%$ for White staff). The proportion of Racially Minoritised Staff employed on fixed-term contracts has decreased by two percentage points over the three-year period.

Figure 5.3. Table: Leavers by Ethnicity (headcount and percentage)

|  | White |  | Racially <br> Minoritised Staff |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| 2021 | 740 | $73 \%$ | 245 | $24 \%$ | 30 | $3 \%$ |
| 2022 | 1,020 | $75 \%$ | 290 | $22 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ |
| 2023 | 840 | $68 \%$ | 350 | $28 \%$ | 45 | $4 \%$ |

Figure 5.4. Graph: Leavers by Ethnicity (percentage)


## Disability

The proportion of staff who have declared they are disabled leaving the university has increased from $4 \%$ in 2021-2022 to $6 \%$ in 2022-2023. This is consistent with a modest increase in the proportion of staff who have declared they are disabled in the university workforce over the same period.

Figure 5.5. Table: Leavers by Disability (headcount and percentage)

|  | Declared Disabled |  | Declared |  | Non-Disabled |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unknown |  |  |  |  |
|  | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ | HC | $\%$ |
| 2021 | 40 | $4 \%$ | 960 | $95 \%$ | 15 | $1 \%$ |
| 2022 | 85 | $6 \%$ | 1,240 | $92 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ |
| 2023 | 70 | $6 \%$ | 1,130 | $92 \%$ | 25 | $2 \%$ |

Figure 5.6. Graph: Leavers by Disability (percentage)


Age
There are no apparent trends in the proportions of leavers split by age range.

Figure 5.7. Table: Leavers by Age (headcount and percentage)

|  |  | HC | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | 16-24 | 95 | 9\% |
|  | 25-34 | 375 | 37\% |
|  | 35-44 | 240 | 23\% |
|  | 45-54 | 115 | 11\% |
|  | 55-64 | 130 | 13\% |
|  | 65-74 | 60 | 6\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 0\% |
| 2022 | 16-24 | 130 | 10\% |
|  | 25-34 | 480 | 35\% |
|  | 35-44 | 335 | 25\% |
|  | 45-54 | 185 | 14\% |
|  | 55-64 | 165 | 12\% |
|  | 65-74 | 55 | 4\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 0\% |
| 2023 | 16-24 | 120 | 10\% |
|  | 25-34 | 440 | 36\% |
|  | 35-44 | 300 | 24\% |
|  | 45-54 | 165 | 13\% |
|  | 55-64 | 140 | 11\% |
|  | 65-74 | 65 | 5\% |
|  | 75+ | <5 | 0\% |

Figure 5.8. Graph: Leavers by Age (percentage)



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the purposes of this report, we define "Racially Minoritised" as including Asian/Asian British, Black/Black British, Chinese/Chinese British, and Mixed staff, as well as staff who identify with any other non-white ethnicity.

