# A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR EVALUATING THE HYDRODYNAMIC PARAMETERS OF A MACROMOLECULE IN SOLUTION FOR ANY GIVEN VALUE OF ITS AXIAL DIMENSIONS* 

Stephen E. Harding<br>Department of Biochemistry, Bristol University, Bristol, England.

(Received 27 January 1981; in revised form 11 May 1981; received for publication 1 June 1981)


#### Abstract

A FORTRAN IV algorithm is given for determining the hydrodynamic parameters of a macromolecule in solution for any specified value of the two axial ratios $(a / b, b / c)$ of the equivalent triaxial ellipsoid model of semi-axes $a \geq b \geq c$ for its gross conformation.

Ellipsoid model Axial ratios Elliptic integrals Myoglobin


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The ellipsoid of revolution (i.e. an ellipsoid with two equal axes) has up to now been the most popular model for the gross conformation of biological macromolecules in solution. This has been until recently the most general model available for which it is possible to predict from a macromolecule's known hydrodynamic properties the shape in terms of its axial ratio without assumptions concerning hydrodynamic volume.

Although prediction of the two axial ratios from knowledge of the hydrodynamic parameters of the more general tri-axial ellipsoid presents great problems [1] the converse is not so difficult : it is relatively easy to predict the hydrodynamic parameters corresponding to a given value of the axial ratios. This would have immediate application in, for example, predicting the properties of proteins whose dimensions are known from crystallographic studies.
This paper presents a program which calculates the hydrodynamic parameters for specified values of the two axial ratios $(a / b, b / c)$ of a general ellipsoid, where $a, b, c$ are the three semi-axes and $a \geq b \geq c$. For an oblate ellipsoid of revolution $a=b$ and for a prolate ellipsoid $b=c$.

## 2. THE HYDRODYNAMIC SHAPE PARAMETERS

These can be divided into three basic classes:
(i) The viscosity increment $v$. This is based on the effect of the dissolved macromolecule on the bulk motion of the fluid when a shear gradient is applied.
(ii) The translational frictional ratio $f / f_{o}$. This concerns the resistance to motion of a macromolecule through its solution when some form of external force (e.g. centrifugal field, diffusion gradient) is applied.
(iii) The rotational frictional ratios $\zeta_{i} / \zeta_{o}(i=a, b, c$; " $o$ " refers to the corresponding coefficient for a hydrated sphere of the same volume) concerns the disorientating effect on the macromolecule by the local Brownian motion of the surrounding solvent molecules. Related to these are three dielectric dispersion relaxation ratios $\rho_{i} / \rho_{o}$ corresponding to rotation of a

[^0]particular axis about the other two, a harmonic mean relaxation time ratio $\tau_{h} / \tau_{o}$, five fluorescence anisotropy relaxation time ratios $T_{j} / T_{o}(j=1 \rightarrow 5)$ and two reduced birefringence decay constants, $\theta_{+}{ }^{\text {red }}$ and $\theta_{-}{ }^{\text {red }}$.

All the above parameters require for their experimental determination a knowledge of the swollen macromolecular volume due to solvent association or "swelling" in solution. This can be eliminated by combining pairs of the above parameters to give "derived" shape parameters which are volume independent: $\beta, R, \delta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \mu_{i}, \Psi, \Lambda, \delta_{+}, \delta_{-}, \gamma_{+}$and $\gamma_{-}$. Each of these derived parameters is defined in Table 1 in terms of the parameters listed in Sections (i)-(iii). The relation of all these parameters with axial ratio of a general ellipsoid ( $a \geq b \geq c$ ), is given in Table 1. Relations with experimental parameters have been given in [1, 2].

Table 1. Hydrodynamic parameters: mathematical formulation for tri-axial ellipsoids
Function Mathematical formulation Computer symbol


$+\theta_{ \pm}^{\text {red }} \frac{a b c}{12}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{Q_{a}}+\frac{1}{Q_{b}}+\frac{1}{Q_{c}}\right) \pm\left[\left(\frac{1}{Q_{a}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1}{Q_{b}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1}{Q_{c}{ }^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{Q_{a} Q_{b}}+\frac{1}{Q_{b} Q_{c}}+\frac{1}{Q_{c} Q_{a}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \quad$| $\theta_{+}^{\text {red }: ~ T H E T A ~}+$ or TPLS |
| :--- |
| $\theta_{-}^{\text {red }: ~ T H E T A ~}-$ or TMNS |

$\ddagger T_{1} / T_{0} \quad 1 /\left(X_{4}+X_{1}\right) \quad T_{1}$
$T_{2} / T_{0} \quad 1 /\left(X_{4}+X_{2}\right)$
$T_{1}$
$T_{2}$
$T_{3} / T_{0} \quad 1 /\left(X_{4}+X_{3}\right)$
$\begin{array}{ccc}T_{4} / T_{0} & 1 /\left(6 X_{4}-2 X_{5}\right) & T_{4}\end{array}$
$T_{5} / T_{0} \quad 1 /\left(6 X_{4}+2 X_{5}\right)$
$T_{5}$
$\S \beta \quad \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}^{1 / 3}}{\left(16200 \pi^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}} \frac{\nu^{1 / 3}}{f / f_{o}}$
R
$\frac{2}{v}\left(1+\left[\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right]^{3}\right)$
$\delta_{i} \quad \nu \zeta_{0} / \zeta_{i} \quad(i=a, b, c)$
DELA, DELB, DELC
$\gamma_{i} \quad\left(f / f_{o}\right)^{3} \rho_{\sigma} / \rho_{i}$
$\mu_{i} \quad\left(f_{0} / f\left(\zeta_{i} / \zeta_{0}\right)^{1 / 3}\right.$
MMA C
MUA, MUB, MUC

| $\Psi$ | $\left(f / f_{o}\right)\left(\tau_{o} / \tau_{h}\right)^{1 / 3}$ | PSI |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\Lambda$ | $v \tau_{o} / \tau_{h}$ | LAMBDA |
| $\delta_{+}$ | $6 v \theta_{+}^{\text {red }}$ | DPLS or DELTA + |
| $\delta_{-}$ | $6 v \theta_{-}^{\text {red }}$ | DMNS or DELTA - |
| $\gamma_{+}$ | $6\left(f / f_{o}\right)^{3} \theta_{+}^{\text {red }}$ | GPLS or GAMMA + |
| $\gamma_{-}$ | $6\left(f / f_{o}\right)^{3} \theta_{-}^{\text {red }}$ | GMNS or GAMMA - |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *This term is negligible for globular particles (axial ratios < 3). [8] } \\
& +Q_{a}=\frac{b^{2}+c^{2}}{b^{2} \alpha_{2}+c^{2} \alpha_{3}}, \quad Q_{b}=\frac{c^{2}+a^{2}}{c^{2} \alpha_{3}+a^{2} \alpha_{1}}, \quad Q_{c}=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a^{2} \alpha_{1}+b^{2} \alpha_{2}} \\
& \pm X_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{b}}+\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{c}}-\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{a}}\right\}, \quad X_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{c}}+\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{a}}-\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{b}}\right\}, \quad X_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{a}}+\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{b}}-\frac{\rho_{o}}{\rho_{c}}\right\} \\
& X_{4}=\frac{1}{3}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right), \quad X_{5}=\left\{X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+X_{3}^{2}-X_{1} X_{2}-X_{2} X_{3}-X_{3} X_{1}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \S \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\text { Avogadro's Number. In the program } \beta \text { is given } \times 10^{-6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

All the parameters involve an elliptic integral of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

(Appendix). There is at present no packaged or published numerical routine available for integrals of this type; only routines of the form

$$
\int_{A}^{B} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $A$ can be zero but $B$ must have finite value, specifiable by the user. One such routine used in this program is the Oxford Numerical Algorithms Group's FORTRAN IV NAG $\mathrm{D} \varnothing 1$ AGF[3] which uses an internal subdivision strategy developed by Oliver [4] and based on Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature [5].

A satisfactory value for $B$ was determined by using successively higher values until the integral converged to a limiting value. The number of the interval subdivisions (MAXDIV) is also specifiable by the user; the maximum number of fifty was used. The package also estimates the error on the integrals [6]. If this error is greater than the maximum allowable error specifiable by the user the routine will stop and print an error message. The maximum allowable error specified was $1.0 \times 10^{-8}$ (equivalent to $\sim 0.001 \%$ ). The integrands are specified as an external function (Table 3) and the integrals $\alpha_{k}(k=1 \rightarrow 10)$ when calculated are stored and called up in the calculation of each shape parameter in the main program, partly shown in Table 2.

## 4. RESULTS

The results of a sample run for values of $(a / b, b / c)$ of $(1.5,1.5)$ are given in Table 4. Provided the axial dimensions are known, for example, from X-ray crystallography, the hydrodynamic parameters for any protein can therefore be obtained, provided the general ellipsoid represents a reasonable model for the macromolecular shape, an assumption generally valid for globular proteins. These predicted parameters can be compared with experimentally determined parameters and deductions can be made about whether the crystallographic shape is the same in solution. For example, the crystallographically determined dimensions of myoglobin, a protein of biomedical importance-serving as a reserve supply of oxygen in the muscle tissue of mammals-have been given as $43 \times 35 \times 23 \AA(a / b=1.23, b / c=1.52)$.

Table 2. Evaluation of the hydrodynamic shape parameters for tri-axial ellipsoids. The WRITE statements at the end of the program have not been included
PROGRAM MAIN(INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPEE=INPUT, TAPEZ =OUTPUT)
COMMON/PARAM/A,C,NN
EXTERNAL FUN
DIMENSION ALPHA(10)
REAL NU,M,O,P
ENTER AS MUA, MUB, MUC, PSI, N1, NZ, RHOA, RHOB,RHOC
READ ( $2, *) N 1, N 2$
C COMPUTE THE ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS DO45 K=1,10 $A=N 1$ $8=1.0$
$C=1.0 / N 2$ $C=100 / N 2$
$N N=N N+1$
G SET LIMITS FOR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION (THESE LIMITS A $A=0.0$ HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY TESTED FOR CONVERGENCE) $B B=1000000$
IF (NN.EQ. 10) BB $=500000000$

| MAXOIV $=50$ |
| :--- |
| $E P S=1$ |

ACC=0.0
$C$
$\mathbf{C}$
CALL U.K. *NAG* LIBRAZY POUTINE FOR NUMERICAL EVALUATION
OF THE INTEGRALS ALPHA GIVEN IN THE SUSROUTINE BELOW
CALL DOIAGF(AA, BS, FUN, MAXDIV,EPS, ACC, ANS, ERROR,NOFUN, IFAIL)
$B=1.0$
C NOH COMPUTE THE FUNCTION VALUES USING THE STORED INTEGRAL VALUES $x_{1}=(A * A)-\left(B^{*} B\right)$
$\times 2=(C * C)-(A * A)$
$x 2=(C * C)-(A * A)$
$\times 3=(B * B)-(C * C)$
$\hat{Y}_{1}=(A * A)+(B * B)$
$Y 2=(C * C)+(A * A)$
$Y 3=(B * B)+(C * C)$
$Z 1=\{A * A * A L P H A(1))+(B * B * A L P H A(2))$
$22=(C * C F A L P H A(3))+(A * A F A L O H A(1))$
$Z 3=\left(B * B^{*} A L P H A(2)\right)+\left(C C^{*} C A L P H A(3)\right)$

$+/((Y 1 / Z 1)+(Y Z / Z 2)+(Y 3 / Z ?))$


+ +ALPHA (9)) /(ALPHA ( 8 ) FALPHA (9) +ALPHA (9)*ALPHA
$+(7)+A L$ PHA 7 ) FALPHA (3) ) $1+(1001500)=(1(A L P H A(2)$
+ $A L P H A(3)) /(A L P H A(4) *(3 * 3 * A L P H A(2)+C * C * A L P H A(3)$
$+1))+((A L P H A(3)+A L P H A(1)) /(A L P H A(5) * 1 C * C * A L P H A(3)$
$\left.\left.++A^{*} A * A L P H A(1)\right)\right)+((A L P H A(1)+A L P H A(2)) /(A L P H A(6)$
+     * (A*A*ALPHA(1) + $\left.\left.B^{*} B^{*} A L P H A(2)\right) \|\right)!+E X T$
$M=(B * B+C * C) /(B * B * A L P H A(2)+C * C * A L P H A(3))$
$0=(C * C+A * A)(C * C * A L P H A(3)+A * A * A L P H A(1))$
TPLS $=(1+8 * C)(12,0)+(1)$
$+)()+((1,0 / M * * 20 ;+(1,0 / 0 * * 2,0)+(1, j / P * * 2(1, J / P$
$+\quad)+((1.0 / M * * 2.0)+(1.0 / 0 * * 2.0)+(1.0 / P * * 2.0 i)-$
$+(1.0 /(M * 0))+(1.0 /(0 * P))+(1.0)(P * M$
$+\{(1 ; 0 /(M * 0\})+(1.0 /(0 * P))+(1.0 /(P * M$

TMNS $=\left(\left(A^{*} B^{*} C\right) /(12,0)\right) \neq((1(1.0 / M)+(1 . C / 0)+(1 . C / P$
+ ) $(-(1(1,0 / M * * 20)+(1.0 / 0 *+2.0)+(1.0 / P * * 2.0 i)-$
$+((1 ; 0 /(M * 0))+(1.0 /(0 * P))+(1.0 /(P * M$
+ ilj**0.5)
OPLS $=6.0 \%$ TPLS*NU
DMNS = 6.0*TMNS*NU
$F=2.0 /\left(\left(\left(A * B^{*} C\right)^{* *}(1.0 / 3.0)\right) * A L P H A(10)\right)$
$R=2.0 *(1.0+1 F * * 3.0) ; N U$
BETA $=(1.0 / 1000000.0) *(16.0249 * *(1.0 / 3.0)) *(10.0 * *(23.0 / 3.0$
$+)(1)((16200 \cdot 3 * 3.141592654 * 3.141592054) * *(1.0 / 3.0))) *(N U$
+**(1.0/3.0))/F
$C A=(2.0 /(3.0 * A * B * C)) * M$
$C B=(2 \cdot 0 /(3.0 * A * B * C)) * 0$
$C C=(2.0 /(3.0 * A * B * C)) * P$
RHOA $=2.0 /((1.0 / C B)+(1.0 / C C))$
RHOB $=2.0 \%(11: 0 / C C)+(1: 0 / C A))$
RHOC $=2.0 /(1.0 / C A)+(1.0 / C B))$
OELA $=N U / C A$
DELB=NU/CB
TAU=3.0/( $1.0 / C A)+(1.0 / C 3)+(1.0 / C C))$
PSI=F*i(1.0;TAU)**(1.0/3.0) $)$
$V=N U / T A U$
$Z Z=(1.0 / 2.0) *(F * * 3.0)$
GAMMAA $=Z Z *((1.0 / C B)+(1.0 / C C))$
GAMMAB=ZZ* $((1.0 / C C)+(1.0 / C A))$
GAMMAC $=2 Z *((1.0 / C A)+(1.0 / C B))$
MUA $=\left(C A^{* *}(1.013 .0)\right) / F$
$M \cup B=\left(C B^{* *}(1.0 / 3.0)\right) / F$
$M U C=(C C * *(1.0 / 3.0)) / F$
$G P L S=6.0 * T P L S *(F * * 3,0)$
GPLS $=6.0$ FTPLS* (F**3.0)
GMNS = $6: 0$ *TMNS*(F**3.0)
$\times 1=0.5^{*}((1.0 /$ RHOS $)+(1.0 / 2 H O C)-(1.0 /$ RHOA $))$
$\times 2=0.5^{*}((1.0 /$ RHOC $)+(1.0 /$ RHOA $)-(1.0 /$ RHOB $))$
$\times 3=0.5^{*}(1.0 /$ RHOA $)+(1.0 /$ RHOB $)-(1.0 /$ RHOC $\left.)\right)$
$x_{4}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right) / 3.0$

$+++5$
$T 1=1.0 /\left(x_{4}+\times 1\right)$
$\begin{aligned} & 2=1.0 /\left(x_{4}+\times 2\right) \\ & 3=1\end{aligned}$
$T 4=3.0 /((6.0 * \times 4)-(2.0 * \times 5))$
T $5=3.0 /((6.0 * \times 4)+(2.0 * \times 5))$

Table 3. A subroutine for specifying the integrands for numerical integration

```
    REAL FUNCTION FUN (X)
    COMMON/PARAM/A,C,NN
\(B=1\). 0
GOTO \(10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100), \mathrm{NN}\)
```



```
\(F U N=1 /((A * A+x) * * 0.5 *(B * B+x) * * 1.5 *(C * C+x) * * 0.5)\)
RETURN
\(30 \underset{R E T U R}{\operatorname{RUN}}=1((A * A+X) * * 0.5 *(B * B+X) * * 0.5 *(C * C+X) * * 1.5)\)
\(40 \mathrm{FUN}=1\)
RE TURN \((A * A+x) * * 0.5 *(B * B+x) * * 1.5 *(C * C+x) * * 1.5)\)
\(50 \underset{F}{F} \cup N=1 /((A * A+X) * * 1.5 *(B * B+X) * * 0.5 *(C * C+X) * * 1.5)\)
50 RETUNN
RETURN (A*A+X)**1.5*(B*B+x)**1.5*(C*(C+x)**0.5)
\(70 \mathcal{F} \cup N=X /((A * A+X) * * 0.5 *(B * B+X) * * 1.5 *(C * C+X) * * 1.5)\)
\(80 \mathrm{REUN}=X /((A * A+X) * * 1.5 *(B * B+X) * * 0.5 *(C * C+X) * * 1.5)\)
90 RETURN
\(90 \mathcal{F} \cup N=X /((A * A+X) * * 1.5 *(B * B+X) * * i .5 *(C * C+X) * * 0.5)\)
\(100 \begin{aligned} & \text { RETURN } \\ & \mathrm{FUN}=1 \\ & \text { RETURN }\end{aligned}(((A * A+x) *(B * B+x) *(C * C+x)) * * 0.5)\)
RETURN
END
```

Table 4. Sample results for a hypothetical protein of axial ratio $(a / b, b / c)=(1.5,1.5)$. The results are correct to three decimal places


This corresponds to a value of $v$, using the above alogrithm, of 2.729. That from experiment assuming no swelling is $4.40 \pm 0.08$ [7], the difference being ascribed either to significant swelling in solution or change of shape.

Prediction of a value for $(a / b, b / c)$ from experimentally measured values for the hydrodynamic parameters is much more difficult; this has been discussed in more detail in an earlier study [1].

## 5. SUMMARY

The theoretical relationships for the hydrodynamic parameters of a general tri-axial ellipsoid in solution in dominant Brownian motion are now available. A general program is presented which, for any given values of the two axial ratios which define a general ellipsoid, calculates the corresponding hydrodynamic parameters. Thus for any ellipsoidal macromolecules whose dimensions are known from crystallographic studies, the corresponding hydrodynamic parameters can be determined.
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## APPENDIX

The elliptic integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} ; \alpha_{2}=\int_{0}^{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} ; \alpha_{3}=\int_{0}^{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} \\
\alpha_{4} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} ; \alpha_{7}=\int_{0}^{\lambda} \frac{\lambda \mathrm{d} \lambda}{\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} \\
\alpha_{5} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} ; \alpha_{8}=\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\lambda \mathrm{d} \lambda}{\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} \\
\alpha_{6} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} ; \alpha_{9}=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\lambda \mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right) \Delta} \\
\alpha_{10} & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}{\Delta} \quad \text { where } \Delta=\left[\left(a^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(b^{2}+\lambda\right)\left(c^{2}+\lambda\right)\right]^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    *This work was completed whilst the author was at the Department of Biochemistry, Leicester University, England.

