



OpenNESS Glossary (V2.0)

Prepared by the “Glossary editorial team”

Marion Potschin (UNOTT, coordinating), Roy Haines-Young (UNOTT), Ulrich Heink (UFZ), Kurt Jax (UFZ)

with contributions from

Pam Berry (UOXF), Peter Bezak (ILESAS) Marina García Llorente (UAM), Erik Gomez Baggethun (UAB/NINA), Christoph Görg (UFZ), Jennifer Hauck (UFZ), Paula Harrison (UOXF), Zita Izakovicova (ILESAS), Conor Kretsch (UNOTT), Anders L Madsen (HUGIN), Joachim Maes (JRC), Berta Martín-López (UAM), Guillermo Martinez Pastur (CONICET), Peter Mederly (ILESAS), Jari Niemelä (UH), Jörg Priess (UFZ), Eeva Primmer (SYKE), Graciela Rusch (NINA), Erik Stange (NINA), Mette Termansen (AU); Francis Turkelboom (INBO), Vesa Yli-Pelkonen (UH)

This Version 2.0 of the OpenNESS Glossary represents agreed “working definitions” to be tested by the OpenNESS consortium.

Provide your feedback via:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/openness_glossary.

The consultation is open till the end of 2016.

Notes:

“The aim of OpenNESS (<http://www.openness-project.eu/>) is to “operationalize the concepts of Ecosystem Services (ES) and Natural Capital (NC)”. Besides other on-going activities, designed to investigate this operationalization, the purpose of this glossary is to provide some working definitions of ES and NC related terms and to “test” them in the context of OpenNESS-related research.

The Glossary was circulated to the OpenNESS consortium and put online on 05.12.2014, and will stay open for comment until the end of 2016. The OpenNESS consortium should respond to this consultation via survey monkey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/openness_glossary

If you refer to this glossary please quote as:

Potschin, M.; Haines-Young, R.; Heink, U. and K. Jax (2014): OpenNESS Glossary (V2.0). Grant Agreement No 308428. Available from: <http://www.openness-project.eu/library/reference-book>

Contact: Marion.Potschin@Nottingham.ac.uk

File History

Version	Date	Action
V1.0	08.10.2014	Sent to OpenNESS consortium for immediate discussion/change of terms and to add term
V1.1	04.12.2014	All suggestions for changes/additions, and Glossary team and “Term Patrons” responses incorporated. This file is a confidential (OpenNESS internal document and not for wider circulation). To keep the process transparent for OpenNESS partners, this version is available on the extranet.
V2.0	04.12.2014	Working definitions. Circulated 05.12.2014 to be tested by the OpenNESS consortium till end of 2016.

Introduction

Background

The aim of OpenNESS (<http://www.openness-project.eu/>) is to “operationalize the concepts of Ecosystem Services (ES) and Natural Capital (NC)”. In addition to other activities designed to advance this operationalization, we have developed this glossary to provide some working definitions of terms related to ES and NC and their applications so that they can be “tested” in the context of OpenNESS.

In using and reviewing the terms it is important to note the scope and purpose of the work:

- The starting point was the set of ES/NC related terms developed through other initiatives such as the MA¹, TEEB², the UK NEA³, and Rubicode⁴. We consolidated the terms they defined into one list in early 2014, so that the similarities and differences could be reviewed and the applicability to OpenNESS discussed.
- Through a three-month consultation process within the OpenNESS consortium, we asked for comments on the range of terms included in the glossary, the definitions themselves and suggestions for any additional terms.
- As a result of the consultation the glossary now contains about 200 terms. It should be noted, however, that the terms included do not just reflect the ES literature but also the particular subjects that OpenNESS is focusing on covering; there are no clear boundaries. The original list was constructed through a dialogue in the consortium – and in the future we can add or drop terms as required.
- The major changes made were to improve consistency and clarity, especially with the work done through the development of the OpenNESS Synthesis Papers⁵.

Structure of the Glossary

The entries in the glossary are arranged in a tabular format so that users can see the background to the terms covered. The columns deal with the:

- *‘Term’*: some terms are ‘starred’ [*]: the term has been accepted as a working definition but internal discussions are already ongoing and “patrons” (patron teams) have been formed to discuss those further and changes on the definition are likely to be expected in V3.0.
- *‘Definition’* reflects agreed working definition for the OpenNESS consortium.
- *‘Source’* (‘Quelle’ in German), that is where we took the direct quote from. Note, this does not mean origin (‘Herkunft’ in German), that is where it was first used or suggested.
- In the source column, where there is an attribution to TEEB, MA or UK NEA the term appears in their glossary. When we took terms from other sources we mainly acknowledge the first one to define it. So if, for example, TEEB or UK NEA has kept the same definition as MA we have put MA in as source. If UK NEA has changed it, or Rubicode added to the MA or other definitions, we added those as the source.

¹ The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA): <http://millenniumassessment.org/>

² The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB): <http://www.teebweb.org/>

³ UK National Ecosystem Assessment: <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/>

⁴ Rationalising Biodiversity Conservation in Dynamic Ecosystems (RUBICODE): <http://www.rubicode.net/rubicode/index.html>

⁵ <http://www.openness-project.eu/library/reference-book>, see also Appendix A for overview and status of Synthesis Papers

- Where the word 'New' is used in the source column, we mean that the wording has been changed or different definitions merged to better reflect what has been discussed in OpenNESS. Sometimes the definition is new but sometimes it is a modification of another source.
- '*Comment*': 'see also SP ...' refers to synthesis papers developed with in OpenNESS. They are available via the reference book on the OpenNESS website, see footnote 5.
- The other columns in the glossary V1.1 (on the extranet) cover the notes on the term collected during the consultation process and the responses of the working group who were responsible for finalising this draft of the glossary.

Developing the glossary

In preparing the glossary we do not imply that all the terms listed were developed from the ES community, but that they are often used in the ES literature, and potentially within OpenNESS. Although some terms may have been used by other people in other disciplines our main concern is not to trace their origins but to identify their relevance and applicability for OpenNESS. The purpose of the exercise was not to reinvent anything, but to provide a set of working definitions for the consortium. Ultimately we want to develop more of a narrative for each term, picking out the different thinking and the evolution of the concept. Therefore if you have any insights that we could add to expand this column then please add those. Or even want to be involved actively in the more elaborated version, please let me know

The current consultation process

The draft glossary was initially circulated to the OpenNESS consortium between the beginning of October and mid-November 2014. The aim was to ask for instant reactions to the working definitions. Comments were received via email and via an on-line survey tool. Altogether 18 people participated in the consultation and comments were considered on 74 terms.

The comments received were discussed within the 'Glossary Working Group' and suggestions, responses on decisions noted. In order to make the consultation process as transparent as possible, Version 1.1 has now been posed on the OpenNESS Intranet with the notes on the editing process. The same terms without the editorial comments have now also been uploaded onto the OpenNESS public website in December 2014 as Version 2.0.

Comments are therefore now invited on the working definitions contained in Version 2.0. The consultation will stay open until the end of 2016. It is envisaged that all OpenNESS partners will test the terms and report back with examples of where they work and where they don't. Members of the OpenNESS consortium and others should respond to this consultation via:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/openness_glossary

A finalised version of the glossary will be prepared in the last few months of the OpenNESS project, in spring 2017. The glossary working group encourages all OpenNESS partners to engage in the process of revision and become part of the working team – perhaps by taking responsibility for managing the development of particular concepts or terms that is close to their specialist area of expertise.

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Abatement Cost	See 'Marginal Abatement costs'	MA (2005)	
Abiotic	Referring to the physical (non-living) environment, for example, temperature, moisture and light, or natural mineral substances.	Modified from Lincoln et al. (1998: 1)	
Abundance	The total number of individuals of a taxon or taxa in an area, population, or community. Relative abundance refers to the total number of individuals of one taxon compared with the total number of individuals of all other taxa in an area, volume, or community.	MA (2005)	
Adaptation	Adjustment in natural or human systems to a new or changing environment.	MA (2005)	
Adaptive Capacity	The ability of ecosystems and social systems, to adjust and renew as a response to contextual changes. The term can be distinguished from coping capacity, which is defined as the ability to deal with changes, especially those relating to climate, as they actually happen.	New, draws on Gunderson and Holling (2002); Primmer (2011), Dunford et al. (2014)	See also SP "Institutional Analysis"
Adaptive Management	A systematic process for continually improving management policies and practices by learning from the outcomes of previously employed policies and practices. In active adaptive management, management is treated as a deliberate experiment for purposes of learning and achieving a desired goal.	Adapted from the MA (2005)	
Afforestation	Planting of forests on land that has historically not contained forests (as opposed to Reforestation).	MA (2005)	
Agro-biodiversity (or agricultural biodiversity)	The biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems (including domestic animals and cultivated plants, e.g. crop plants)	MA (2005)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Agro-ecosystem	An ecosystem, in which usually domesticated plants and animals and other life forms are managed for the production of food, fibre and other materials that support human life.	Common usage	
Alien Species	A plant or animal whose distribution is outside its natural range; alien species are frequently introduced by human activity.	Common usage and consistent with MA (2005)	
Alien Invasive Species	See 'invasive alien species'		
Aquaculture	Breeding and rearing of aquatic organisms (fish, molluscs, crustaceans and aquatic plants) in ponds, enclosures, or other forms of confinement in either fresh or marine waters for direct harvest of the product.	Adapted from MA (2005)	extended by FAO yearbook Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics (2011)
Assemblage	A group of organisms from either one taxon (e.g. birds) or from different taxa.	Common usage	
Assessment	A social process whereby researchers and policy makers come together to review the available evidence and information with a view to identifying the status and trends in some system of interest, and in order to identify appropriate response options. In contrast to pure research, assessments aim to communicate existing knowledge, so that it is made relevant and helpful to an inexperienced decision maker.	New, draws on UK NEA (2011), van der Sluijs (2002)	
Asset, ecological	Ecological assets are the stocks of potential services which the ecosystem, conditioned by structure and processes, might provide. In economic terms these represent the 'wealth' of the ecosystem.	UK NEA (2011); Bateman et al. (2011: 182)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Bayesian Belief Network [a.k.a. Bayesian Network]	A probabilistic graphical model for reasoning under uncertainty, consisting of an acyclic, directed graph describing a set of dependence and independence properties between the variables of the model represented as nodes, and a set of (conditional) probability distributions that quantify the dependence relationship.	Adapted from Kjærulff & Madsen (2013)	
Beneficiary	A person or group whose well-being is changed in a positive way by an ecosystem service.	New	
Beneficiary Approach	The classification of ES according to beneficiary (sub-)categories	New	
Benefits*	The direct and indirect outputs from ecosystems that have been turned into goods or experiences that are no longer functionally connected to the systems from which they were derived. Benefits are things that can be valued either in monetary or social terms.	New, OpenNESS	See also SP "Classification" See also term 'goods'
Biodiversity	The variability among living organisms from all sources including, inter alia, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part; this includes diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems. Biodiversity is a contraction of 'biological diversity'.	CBD	See also SP "Link between BD and ES"
Biodiversity offsets	Conservation activities that are designed to give biodiversity benefits to compensate for losses - ensuring that when a development damages nature (and this damage cannot be avoided via prevention or mitigation) new, bigger or better nature sites will be created. They are different from other types of ecological compensation as they need to show measurable outcomes that are sustained over time.	modified from Gov. UK	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Bioenergy	Renewable energy made available from materials derived from biological sources.	Common usage	
Biofuel	A fuel that contains energy from geologically recent carbon fixation, produced from living organisms, usually plants.	Common usage	
Biogeographic Realm	A large spatial region, within which ecosystems share a broadly similar biota. Eight terrestrial biogeographic realms are typically recognised, corresponding roughly to continents (e.g. Afrotropical realm).	UK NEA (2011)	
Biological Diversity	See Biodiversity		
Biomass	The mass of tissues in living organisms in a population, ecosystem, or spatial unit derived by the fixation of energy through organic processes.	Common usage and MA (2005)	
Biome	The largest unit of ecological classification that is convenient to recognize below the entire globe. Terrestrial biomes are typically based on dominant vegetation structure (e.g. forest, grassland). Ecosystems within a biome function in a broadly similar way, although they may have very different species composition. For example, all forests share certain properties regarding nutrient cycling, disturbance, and biomass that are different from the properties of grasslands. Marine biomes are typically based on biogeochemical properties. The WWF biome classification is used in the MA.	MA (2005)	
Biophysical Structure	The architecture of an ecosystem that results from the interaction between the abiotic, physical environment and organisms or whole biotic communities.	Modified MA (2005)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Biophysical Valuation	A method that derives values from measurements of the physical costs (e.g., in terms of labour, surface requirements, energy and material inputs) of producing a given good or service.	TEEB	
Capacity Building	A process of strengthening or developing human resources, institutions, organisations, or networks. Also referred to as capacity development or capacity enhancement.	UK NEA (2011)	
Carbon Sequestration	The process of increasing the carbon content of a reservoir other than the atmosphere.	MA (2005)	
Choice experiment	A method of valuing goods and services based on their attributes. It is a stated preference technique whereby respondents trade-off different levels of the attributes with payments to reveal the value of changes in the attributes.	Modified according to Hanley et al. (1998)	
Classification System [for ES]	An organised structure for identifying and organising ES into a coherent scheme.	Common usage	See SP "Classifications an CICES"
Coastal System	Systems containing terrestrial areas dominated by ocean influences such as tides and marine aerosols, plus near shore marine areas. The inland extent of coastal ecosystems is the line where land based influences dominate, up to a maximum of 100 kilometres from the coastline or 100-meter elevation (whichever is closer to the sea), and the outward extent is the 50-meter-depth contour.	Adapted from UK NEA (2011)	
Community (Ecological)	An assemblage of species occurring in the same space or time, often linked by biotic interactions such as competition or predation.	UK NEA (2011), and common usage	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Community (Human, Local)	A group of people who have something in common. A local community is a fairly small group of people who share a common place of residence and a set of institutions based on this fact, but the word 'community' is also used to refer to larger collections of people who have something else in common (e.g., national community, donor community)	Adapted from MA (2005) and UK NEA (2011)	
Competitiveness*	The relative advantage of one place, region or country over another, usually in economic and social terms, but in the context of OpenNESS also in terms of access to natural capital and the benefits derived from ecosystem services.	Definition used in WP1	See also SP "Competitiveness"
Conceptual Framework [for ecosystem services and biodiversity]*	See Term "Framework"		See SP "Conceptual Frameworks and CICES"
Conservation Status	The sum of the influence acting on a habitat and its typical species that may affect its long-term natural distribution, structure and functions as well as the long-term survival of its typical species.	EEC	
Contingent Valuation	Stated preference-based economic valuation technique based on a survey of how much respondents would be willing to pay for specified benefits	Adapted from MA (2005) and MA (2005)ES	
Cost-Benefit Analysis	A technique designed to determine the economic feasibility of a project or plan by quantifying its economic costs and benefits	MA (2005)	
Cost-Effectiveness analysis/Approach	Analysis to identify the least cost option that meets a particular goal	MA (2005)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Critical Natural Capital	That set of environmental resources which performs important environmental functions essential to human well-being, and for which no substitutes in terms of human, manufactured or other natural capital currently exist.	New, modified version of Ekins (2003)	
Critically Endangered Species	A species which has been categorised by the International Union for Conservation of Nature as facing) faces a very high risk of extinction in the wild. It is the highest risk category assigned by the IUCN Red List for wild species	IUCN	
Cultural Landscape	See term 'Landscape'		
Cultural Ecosystem Service (CES)	All the non-material, and normally non-consumptive, outputs of ecosystems that affect physical and mental states of people. CES are primarily regarded as the physical settings, locations or situations that give rise to changes in the physical or mental states of people, and whose character are fundamentally dependent on living processes; they can involve individual species, habitats and whole ecosystems. The settings can be semi-natural as well as natural settings (i.e. can include cultural landscapes) providing they are dependent on in situ living processes. In the classification we make the distinction between settings that support interactions that are used for physical activities such as hiking and angling, and intellectual or mental interactions involving analytical, symbolic and representational activities. Spiritual and religious settings are also recognised. The classification also covers the 'existence' and 'bequest' constructs that may arise from people's beliefs or understandings.	As defined in CICES	See SP "Classifications and Cascade"

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Decision-Maker	A person, group or an organisation that has the authority or ability to decide about actions of interest. (NEW, EP)	MA (2005)	
Degradation of an Ecosystem Service	Reduction in the contribution that an ecosystem service, or bundles of services, makes to human well-being as a result of loss of a stock of natural capital or its condition (capacity) to generate service output.	New	
Direct Use Value (of Ecosystems)	The economic or social value of the goods or benefits derived from the services provided by an ecosystem that are used directly by an economic agent. These include consumptive uses (e.g., harvesting goods) and non-consumptive uses (e.g., enjoyment of scenic beauty). Agents are often physically present in an ecosystem to receive direct use value.	New, adapted from MA (2005) and Rubicode (2010)	
Disservice*	Negative contributions of ecosystems to human well-being; undesired negative effects resulting for the generation of ecosystem services.	New, modified TEEB	
Diversity	See 'Biodiversity'		
Drivers of Change [Direct & Indirect]	Any natural or human-induced factor that directly or indirectly causes a change in the structure or function of an ecosystem. A direct driver of change unequivocally influences ecosystem structure or processes and can therefore be identified and measured to differing degrees of accuracy. An indirect driver operates by altering the strength of impact of 1 or more direct drivers.	adapted from UK NEA (2011)	
Ecological Character	See term 'Ecosystem properties'		
Ecological Damage	See term 'Degradation of ecosystems'		

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Ecological Degradation	See 'Degradation of ecosystems'		
Ecological Process	An interaction among organisms, and/or their abiotic environment.	shortened from Mace et al. (2012)	
Ecological Status	A classification of ecosystem state among several, well-defined value categories	Maes et al. (2013)	
Ecological Value*			We suggest not to use the term
Economic Valuation	See terms 'monetary valuation' and 'non-monetary valuation'.		
Ecosystem	Dynamic complex of plant, animal, and microorganisms communities and their non-living environment interacting as a functional unit. Humans may be an integral part of an ecosystem, although 'socio-ecological system' is sometimes used to denote situations in which people play a significant role, or where the character of the ecosystem is heavily influenced by human action.	Modified MA (2005)	
Ecosystem Accounting	The process of organising information about natural capital stocks and ecosystem service flows, so that the contributions that ecosystems make to human well-being can be understood by decision makers and any changes tracked over time. Accounts can be organised in either physical or monetary terms.	New	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Ecosystem Approach*	A strategy for the integrated management of land, water, and living resources that promotes conservation and sustainable use. An ecosystem approach is based on the application of appropriate scientific methods focused on levels of biological organisation, which encompass the essential structure, processes, functions, and interactions among organisms and their environment. It recognises that humans, with their cultural diversity, are an integral component of many ecosystems.	MA (2005)	
Ecosystem Assessment	A social process through which the findings of science concerning the causes of ecosystem change, their consequences for human well-being, and management and policy options are brought to bear on the needs of decision-makers.	UK NEA (2011)	
Ecosystem Attribute	A biological, physical, or chemical characteristic or feature of an ecosystem.	Modified, after Nahlik et al. (2012)	
Ecosystem Change	Any variation in the state, process rates, outputs, or structure of an ecosystem.	MA (2005)	
Ecosystem Condition	see term "condition of an ecosystem"		
Ecosystem Function*	The subset of the interactions between biophysical structures, and ecosystem processes that underpin the capacity of an ecosystem to provide ecosystem services. See ecosystem capacity and ecosystem condition.	defined for OpenNESS	see SP "CF and Cascade"

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Ecosystem Functioning	The operating of an ecosystem. Very often, there is a normative component involved, insofar as ecosystem functioning not only refers to (any) functioning/performance of the system but to 'proper functioning' and thus implies a normative choice on what is considered as a properly functioning ecosystem (operating within certain limits).	Based on Jax (2010)	There are manifold ways in which this is assessed and conceptualised, e.g. as (see this glossary) good ecological status, ecosystem health, ecosystem integrity, or implied by the desired state of ecosystem services delivered by the systems. Sometimes used as synonymous with ecosystem function, sometimes not. When using ecosystem functioning the emphasis should be on the overall performance of the system and not as much on selected processes or purposes.
Ecosystem Health*	A state of nature (whether managed or pristine) that is characterized by systems integrity: that is, a healthy nature is a largely self-organized system.	Rapport (1992: 145)	
Ecosystem Integrity	Integrity is often defined as an environmental condition that exhibits little or no human influence, maintaining the structure, function, and species composition present, prior to, and independent of human intervention [i.e., integrity is closely associated with ideas of naturalness, particularly the notion of pristine wilderness (Angermeier and Karr 1994, Callicott and others 1999)]	Hull et al. (2003: 2)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Ecosystem Management	A direct and conscious intervention (or agreement to refrain from interventions) in an ecosystem by people that is intended to change its structure or functioning for some benefit.	Adapted from MA (2005)	
Ecosystem Process	A dynamic ecosystem characteristic measured as a rate, that is essential for the ecosystem to operate and develop, such as decomposition, production, nutrient cycling, and fluxes of nutrients and energy. (See also ecosystem structure and biophysical characteristic).	New	
Ecosystem Properties	Attributes which characterize an ecosystem, such as its size, biodiversity, stability, degree of organization, as well as its functions and processes (i.e., the internal exchanges of materials, energy and information among different pools).	MA (2005) and UK NEA (2011)	
Ecosystem Service*	The direct and indirect contributions of ecosystems to human well-being.	TEEB	
Ecosystem Service Antagoniser	An organism, species, population, functional group, or community which by virtue of their traits can disrupt the provision of ecosystem services.	New, adapted from Harrington et al. (2010)	
Ecosystem Service Bundle	A set of associated ecosystem services that are supplied by or demanded from a given ecosystem or are associated with a particular place and appear together repeatedly in time and space.	New	See also SP "Bundles of ES"
Ecosystem Service Flow	The rate at which ecosystem services are supplied to some beneficiary.	New	
Ecosystem Service Provider	The ecosystems, component populations, communities, functional groups, etc. as well as abiotic components such as habitat type, that are the main contributors to ES output.	Modified from Harrington et al. (2010) after Kremen (2005)	See also term 'service providing unit'

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Ecosystem Service Typology	A classification of ecosystem services that defines the various types and subtypes of service (e.g.MA, TEEB, CICES)	New	See also SP "Classifications and CICES"
Ecosystem State*	The physical, chemical and biological character of an ecosystem at a particular point of time.	New	
Ecosystem Status*	A description of the structure or functioning of an ecosystem according to some predefined criteria.	New	
Ecosystem Structure	A static characteristic of an ecosystem that is measured as a stock or volume of material or energy, or the composition and distribution of biophysical elements. Examples include standing crop, leaf area, % ground cover, species composition (cf. ecosystem process)	New	
Enabling Condition	Critical preconditions for success of responses, including political, institutional, social, economic, and ecological factors.	MA (2005)	
Flow	See 'Ecosystem Service Flow'		
Endangered Species	See term "threatened species"		
Environmental Accounting*	See term "Natural Capital Accounting"		see also SP "Natural Capital Accounting"
Environmental Liability	Obligation based on the principle that a polluting party should pay for any and all damage caused to the environment by its activities (also known as polluter pays principle).	New	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Environmental Policy Integration	The incorporation of environmental objectives into all stages of policy making in non-environmental policy sectors, with a specific recognition of this goal as a guiding principle for the planning and execution of policy, accompanied by an attempt to aggregate presumed environmental consequences into an overall evaluation of policy, and a commitment to minimize contradictions between environmental and sectoral policies by giving principled priority to the former over the latter.	Lafferty and Hovden (2003)	
Environmental Settings	Locations or places where humans interact with each other and nature that give rise to the cultural goods and benefits that people obtain from ecosystems.	UK NEA (2011)	
Equity	Fairness of rights, distribution, and access. Depending on context, this can refer to resources, services or power.	MA (2005)	
Evolutionary Process	A series of events that produce changes in gene frequencies within a population. Such changes can result in the appearance of new species (speciation) or new infraspecific taxa.	Modified from Mace et al. (2012)	
Existence Value	The value that individuals place on knowing that a resource exists, even if they never use that resource (also sometimes known as conservation value or passive use value).	MA (2005)	
Explorative Scenario	The projection of the state and condition of an ecosystem into the future, based on the anticipated impacts of the direct and indirect drivers of change, designed to help people understand the consequences of different sets of assumptions. See 'normative scenarios'.	New	See also SP "scenarios"

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Externality	A consequence of an action that affects someone other than the agent undertaking that action and for which the agent is neither compensated nor penalized through the markets. Externalities can be positive or negative.	MA (2005) definition	
Extrapolation	A projection, extension, or expansion of information from what is known into an area not known or experienced, providing conjectural knowledge of the unknown area.	New	
Final Ecosystem Service*	The outcomes from ecosystems that directly lead to goods or benefits that are valued by people.	See also Term 'Goods'	See also SP "CF and Cascade"
Framework	A structure that includes the relationship amongst a set of assumptions, concepts, and practices that establish an approach for accomplishing a stated objective or objectives.	Nahlik et al. (2012)	See also SP "CF and Cascade"
Functional Diversity	The value, range, and relative abundance of traits present in the organisms in an ecological community.	UK NEA (2011)	
Functional Group	A collection of organisms with similar functional trait attributes. Some authors use 'Functional Type' in the same way. Groups can be associated with similar responses to pressures and/or effects on ecosystem processes. A functional group is often referred to as a guild, especially when referring to animals, e.g. the feeding types of aquatic organisms having the same function within the trophic chain, e.g. the group (guild) of shredders or grazers.	Harrington et al. (2010)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Functional Richness	This includes two components, which authors have used selectively or jointly to denote: a) the range of trait attributes represented in the community, i.e. the amount of niche space filled by species in the community (Mason et al. 2005); or, b) the number of functional groups or trait attributes in the community (Petchey et al., 2004).	Harrington et al. (2010)	
Functional Traits	Those characteristics (e.g. morphological, physiological etc.) of organisms that either related to the effect of organisms on community and ecosystem processes or their response to these processes and the physical environment	New	
Futures Thinking	Thinking about how our understandings of the past and present can be used to understand the future, using a range of approaches such as forecasts, projections, predictions and scenarios. See exploratory and normative scenarios.	New	
Geographic Information System	A computer-based system for the storage, analysis and display of spatially referenced data.	New	
Goods*	The objects from ecosystems that people value through experience, use or consumption, whether that value is expressed in economic, social or personal terms. Note that the use of this term here goes well beyond a narrow definition of goods simply as physical items bought and sold in markets, and includes objects that have no market price (e.g. outdoor recreation). The term is synonymous with benefit (as proposed by the UK NEA), and not with service (as proposed by the MA).	UK NEA (2011)	See SP “Conceptual Frameworks and Cascade”

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Governance	The process of formulating decisions and guiding the behaviour of humans, groups and organisations in formally, often hierarchically organised decision-making systems or in networks that cross decision-making levels and sector boundaries.	Adapted from Rhodes (1991) and Saarikoski et al. (2013)	
Green Infrastructure (GI)	A strategically planned network of natural and semi-natural areas with other environmental features designed and managed to deliver a wide range of ecosystem services (ES). It incorporates green spaces (or blue if aquatic ecosystems are concerned) and other physical features in terrestrial (including coastal) and marine areas. On land, GI is present in rural and urban settings.	EC (2013: 3)	see also SP “Green Infrastructure”
Habitat	The physical location or type of environment in which an organism or biological population lives or occurs. Terrestrial or aquatic areas distinguished by geographical, abiotic and biotic feature, whether entirely natural or semi-natural. Note the Council of Europe definition is more specific: the habitat of a species, or population of a species, is the sum of the abiotic and biotic factors of the environment, whether natural or modified, which are essential to the life and reproduction of the species within its natural geographic range.	MA (2005)	
Health (Human)	A state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. The health of a whole community or population is reflected in measurements of disease incidence and prevalence, age-specific death rates, and life expectancy.	UK NEA (2011)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Heritage [Cultural and Natural]*	Our legacy from the past, what we live with today, and what we pass on to future generations. Physical objects produced and used by past generations, ranging from small-scale domestic utensils to large-scale buildings, monuments, places and landscapes, may become valued as cultural heritage by their descendants. Equally, symbolic products of human creativity and imagination such as music, visual arts, poetry and prose, knowledge and know-how contribute to a society or group's understanding of its cultural heritage.	UK NEA (2011)	
Human Well-Being	A state that is “intrinsically and not just instrumentally valuable” (or good) for a person or a societal group In the MA components (or drivers) of human well-being have been classified into: basic material for a good life, freedom and choice, health and bodily well-being, good social relations, security, peace of mind, and spiritual experience, not precluding other classifications.	Adapted from Alexandrova (2012) and MA (2005)	
Impact	Negative or positive effect on individuals, society and/or environmental resources resulting from environmental change.	Modified after Harrington et al. (2010)	
Indicator	An indicator in policy is a metric of a policy-relevant phenomenon used to set environmental goals and evaluate their fulfilment.” (cf. Heink & Kowarik, 2010). An indicator in science is a quantifiable metric which reflects a phenomenon of interest (the indicandum).	New, modified from Heink & Kowarik (2010)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Indirect Use Value	The benefits derived from the goods and services provided by an ecosystem that are used indirectly by an economic agent. For example, an agent at some distance from an ecosystem may derive benefits from drinking water that has been purified as it passed through the ecosystem. (Compare Direct use value.)	MA (2005)	
Intensification / disintensification	Intensification of agricultural land use aims at raising crop yields per unit area and per unit time, in other words to increase productivity. To achieve this goal, usually the inputs, labour or capital (in terms of fertilizers, pesticides, energy, or technology) are increased. To raise crop yields, a broad range of methods is being applied, often in combinations, including breeding, irrigation, organic and inorganic fertilization, green manure and cover crops, pest and weed management, multi-cropping, crop rotation and the reduction of fallow periods.	Geist (2006)	
Intermediate Ecosystem Service	An ecological function or process not used directly by a beneficiary, but which underpins those final ecosystem services which are used directly. See also supporting services and ecological functions.	New	Term not used in OpenNESS - see 'Final Ecosystem Service' and SP "CF and Cascade"
Interdisciplinarity	The act of combining of two or more academic disciplines into one integrated activity to create new insights by crossing knowledge boundaries and linking ideas.	New	
Institution (Informal)	The conventions, norms and rules that formally or informally regulate the interactions between people and between people and their environment.	Vatn (2005)	See also SP "Institutional Analysis"

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Institutional analysis	An analysis of the rules regulating the behaviour people, groups or organizations, paying attention to formal regulations and laws and/or informal rules about customs and practices. The interest lies in what rules have produced current behaviour, or what rules might produce targeted behaviour. Institutional analysis merges approaches from law, economics and organizational studies.	New	draws on Ostrom, (1990), Scott, (2001); Vatn, (2005); Paavola, (2007); Primmer, 2011). See also SP "Institutional Analysis"
Instrumental Value*	Value that something has as a means to an end (e.g. game animals used for food).	Modified after Harrington et al. (2010)	
Integrated Coastal Zone Management	Approaches that integrate economic, social, and ecological perspectives for the management of coastal resources and areas.	UK NEA (2011)	
Integrated Responses	Responses that address degradation of ecosystem services across a number of systems simultaneously or that also explicitly include objectives to enhance human well-being.	UK NEA (2011)	
Interventions	See 'Response'		
Intrinsic Value*	Intrinsic value is the value something has independent of any interests attached to it by an observer or potential user. This does not necessarily mean that such values are independent of a valuer (i.e. values which exist 'as such'), they may also require a (human) valuer (but this is a matter of disagreement among philosophers).	New, adapted from various sources.	
Land Cover	The physical coverage of land, usually expressed in terms of vegetation cover or lack of it. Related to, but not synonymous with, land use.	UK NEA (2011)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Landscape*	A heterogeneous mosaic of land cover, habitat patches, physical conditions or other spatially variable elements viewed at scales relevant to ecological, cultural-historical, social or economic considerations.	Adapted from Wiens (1995)	
Land Use	The human use of a piece of land for a certain purpose such as irrigated agriculture or recreation. Influenced by, but not synonymous with, land cover.	UK NEA (2011)	
Limit (regulatory)	<i>Regulatory limits</i> refer to points in some variable or state which should not be exceeded or underrun (like in regulations of nitrate or pesticides levels in drinking water). While ecological thresholds (see threshold, ecological) are largely descriptive, regulatory limits involve societal choices and negotiation of values and aims.	New, following Johnson (2013)	See SP “Thresholds”
Marginal Abatement Costs	The cost of reducing an incremental unit of an undesirable substance, such as a pollutant or carbon.	Modified UK NEA (2011)	
Market-Based Instruments	Mechanisms that create a market for ecosystem services in order to improve the efficiency in the way the service is used. The term is used for mechanisms that create new markets, but also for instruments such as taxes, subsidies, or regulations that affect existing markets.	Adapted from MA (2005) and UK NEA (2011)	
Market Failure*	The inability of a market to capture the full value of ecosystem services and/or the costs of their loss/degradation.	New	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Marine System	Marine waters from the low-water mark to the high seas that support marine capture fisheries, as well as Deepwater (>50 meters) habitats. Four sub-divisions (marine biomes) are recognized: the coastal boundary zone; trade-winds; westerlies; and, polar.	MA (2005) and UK NEA (2011)	
Mitigation	The action of making the consequence of an impact less severe.	New	
Monetary Valuation*	The process whereby people express the importance or preference they have for the service or benefits that ecosystems provides in monetary terms. See 'Economic valuation'.	Defined for OpenNESS from TEEB	See also SP 'Non-monetary valuation'
Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) is a non-monetary valuation method for simultaneously embracing, combining, and structuring often incommensurable diversity: diversity of information (e.g. qualitative and quantitative data, as well as uncertainty), diversity of opinion (also among experts), diversity in actor perspectives (stakes), and diversity in assessment/decision-making criteria. MCDA also supports deliberation and helps to pave the way to decision making and communication about the decisions taken.	Keune and Dendoncker (2014)	See also SP 'MCDA'
Multi-Disciplinarity	Linking several academic disciplines or professional specializations in an approach to a topic or problem; however, the disciplines retain their identity and perspective, unlike the situation with interdisciplinary approaches.	New	
Natural Asset	A component of Natural Capital.	New	see SP9 "Natural Capital Accounting"

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Natural Capital	The elements of nature that directly or indirectly produce value for people, including ecosystems, species, freshwater, land, minerals, air and oceans, as well as natural processes and functions. The term is often used synonymously with natural asset, but in general implies a specific component.	Modified after MA (2005)	see SP9 "Natural Capital Accounting" Note: ecosystem capital and ecosystem assets are sometimes used to refer to the parts of nature that produce benefits for people.
Natural Capital Accounting	A way of organising information about natural capital so that the state and trends in natural assets can be documented and assessed in a systematic way by decision makers.	New	see SP9 "Natural Capital Accounting"
Natural Capital Stock	The tangible biotic and abiotic structures that make up the natural world and which support processes and functions that can contribute to human well-being. Stocks can be represented in various ways, but are more often measured in terms of the areas, volumes or numbers.	Modified after MA (2005)	
Net Primary Production	See 'production, biological'		
Non-Monetary Valuation*	The process whereby people express the importance or preference they have for the service or benefits that ecosystems provide in terms other than money. See monetary or economic valuation.	New	See SP 6 "Non-Monetary Evaluation"
Normative	Relating to values or prescriptions.	New	
Operationalization	The process by which concepts are made usable by decision makers.	new	
Opportunity Costs	The benefits forgone by undertaking one activity instead of another.	MA (2005)	
Participatory Approach	Family of approaches and methods to enable (rural) people to share, enhance, and analyse their knowledge of life and conditions, to plan and to act, to monitor and evaluate.	Chambers (1997)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES)	Conditional payments offered to providers (e.g., farmers or landowners) in exchange for employing management practices that enhance ES provision	Modified from Tacconi (2012)	
Policy Coherence	An attribute of policy that systematically reduces conflicts and promotes synergies between and within different policy areas to achieve the outcomes associated with jointly agreed policy objectives.	Nilsson et al. 2012: 396	
Policy Consensus	Agreement on an overall plan that embraces goals and procedures.	New	
Policy Maker	A person with the authority to influence or determine policies and practices at an international, national, regional or local level.	Modified UK NEA (2011)	
Population (Biological)	A group of organisms, all of the same species, which occupies a particular area (geographic population), is genetically distinct (genetic population) or fluctuates synchronously (demographic population).	Harrington et al. (2010)	
Precautionary Principle	The management concept stating that in cases 'where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation'.	Modified from UK NEA (2011)	
Prediction* [in the context of scenarios]	A description or estimate of the state of a variable or system in the future with a high degree of certainty (in contrast to ->projection).	New	See SP "Scenarios" See terms Projection, Forecast, Scenario
Pressure*	The endogenous variables that quantify the effect of drivers within an ecosystem. They are equivalent to the 'direct drivers' and 'endogenous drivers' of the MA (2005]	Harrington, et al. (2010)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Production (biological)	Rate of biomass produced by an ecosystem, generally expressed as biomass produced per unit of time per unit of surface or volume. Net primary productivity is defined as the energy fixed by plants minus their respiration.	UK NEA (2011)	
Production (economic)	Output of a system	New	
Program Theory	Program theory is a systematic configuration of stakeholders' prescriptive assumptions (what actions are required to solve a problem) and descriptive assumptions (why the problem will respond to the action) underlying a program – whether explicit or implicit assumptions are made by stakeholders. As the success of a program in reaching its goals depends on the validity of its program theory, an evaluation based on the conceptual framework of program theory provides information not only on whether a program is effective or ineffective but the reasons for either.	Chen (2005: 340)	
Projection*	A potential future evolution of a quantity or set of quantities, often computed with the aid of a model. Projections are distinguished from 'predictions' in order to emphasise that projections involve assumptions concerning, for example, future socioeconomic and technological developments that may or may not be realised; they are therefore subject to substantial uncertainty.	UK NEA (2011)	
Provisioning Services	Those material and energetic outputs from ecosystems that contribute to human well-being.	Shortened from CICES	see also SP 'Classification and CICES'

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Public Good	A good where access to the good cannot be restricted.	Modified from UK NEA (2011)	See SP 'Public Good'
Reforestation	Action to restocking the forest cover, either through artificial planting, natural seeds or agamic propagation, in an area that previously had a natural forest cover.	New for OpenNESS	
Regime Shift	A large, persistent change in the structure and function of (social-) ecological systems, with substantive impacts on the suite of ecosystem services provided by these systems. The transition is characterised by a lack of re-tractability or hysteresis.		See SP "Thresholds, tipping points and limits"
Regulating Services	All the ways in which ecosystems and living organisms can mediate or moderate the ambient environment so that human well-being is enhanced. It therefore covers the degradation of wastes and toxic substances by exploiting living processes.	Modified after CICES	see also SP 'Classification and CICES'
Resilience	An measure of an (eco)system's ability to recover and retain its structure and processes following an exogenous change or disturbance event. If a stress or disturbance does alter the ecosystem, then it should be able to bounce back quickly to resume its former ability to yield a service or utility rather than transform into a qualitatively different state that is controlled by a different set of processes. In order for ecosystem resilience to be defined, the ecosystem must have a degree of stability prior to the perturbation. Resilience relates to return to stability following a specified perturbation.	Modified from Holling (1973); Dawson et al. (2010) and Harrington et al. (2010)	See Brand & Jax (2007) for the variety of definitions of this concept.
Resistance	The capacity of an ecosystem to withstand the impacts of drivers without displacement from its present state	UK NEA (2011)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Responses (in the context of scenarios)	Human actions, including policies, strategies, and interventions, to address specific issues, needs, opportunities, or problems. In the context of ecosystem management, responses may be of legal, technical, institutional, economic, and behavioural nature and may operate at various spatial and time scales. Such responses aim to minimise negative impacts or maximise positive impacts by acting on some pressure or driver of change.	New, based on UK NEA (2011) and Harrington, et al. (2010)	
Rich Picture Modelling	A qualitative method designed to explore, acknowledge and define a situation and express it through diagrams to create a preliminary mental model. A rich picture helps to open discussion and come to a broad, shared understanding of a situation.	Following Checkland (2000)	
Risk [Uncertainty]	The product of the probability of an occurrence and the magnitude of the damage.	Klöpffer (1994: 49)	
Robustness*	An ecosystem's ability to adapt to or maintain its function under chronic exogenous drivers and pressures. An ecosystem is robust when it is capable of resisting changes caused by long-term drivers or pressures that are external to the ecosystem, such as global warming, nutrient loading or hunting pressure. Robust ecosystems demonstrate adaptability to external forces, for example if a keystone species goes extinct, surviving species can compensate for the loss of function over physiological, demographic, or evolutionary time scales.	Harrington et al. (2010), after Lenski et al. (2006); Dawson et al. (2010)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Scenario	Plausible, but simplified descriptions of how the future may develop, based on a coherent and internally consistent set of assumptions about key driving forces and relationships. Scenarios are no predictions of what will happen, but ore projections on what might happen or could happen given certain assumptions about which there might be great uncertainty.	New, modified from UK NEA (2011)	See also SP “Scenario”
Security	Access to resources, safety, and the ability to live in a predictable and controllable environment.	UK NEA (2011)	
Service	See 'Ecosystem service'		
Service-Providing Unit*	The collection of individuals from a given species and the metrics of trait attributes (e.g., abundance, phenology, distribution) that are necessary for delivery of an ecosystem service at a desired level. The SPU must be quantified in terms of metrics such as abundance, phenology and distribution.	After Luck et al. (2003) and Harrington et al. (2010).	
Shared Social Value	The fulfilment, meaning or significance of the collective needs of society in relation to social, health and cultural services.	UK NEA (2011)	
Societal Choice	Collective decisions based on a decision-making process that identifies preferences or processes arguments.	New	
Socio-Economic System	A system consisting of individuals, groups and organizations and their economic and social interactions.	New	
Social–Ecological System	Interwoven and interdependent ecological and social structures and their associated relationships.	New	Sometimes referred to as ‘Socio-Ecological System’

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Species [taxonomic rank only]	A taxon of the rank of species; in the hierarchy of biological classification the category below genus; the basic unit of biological classification	Lincoln et al. (1998: 280)	
Species Diversity	Biodiversity at the species level, often combining aspects of species richness, their relative abundance, and their dissimilarity.	UK NEA (2011)	
Species Richness	The number of species within a given sample, community, or area.	MA (2005), UK NEA (2011)	
Stability	“[A] kind of overarching meta-concept, comprising very different and more specific concepts such as persistence, resilience, constancy, elasticity [also robustness], etc., each of which also has several different meanings.” (Jax 2010: 168). Precise meaning should be specified for each use.	Jax (2010: 168) and Grimm and Wissel (1997)	
Stakeholder	Any group, organisation or individual who can affect or is affected by the ecosystem’s services”.	New: definition developed by OpenNESS	See also SP “Stakeholder”
Stakeholder Analysis	Stakeholder analysis can be defined as a process that: i) defines aspects of a social and natural phenomenon affected by a decision or action; ii) identifies individuals, groups and organisations who are affected by or can affect those parts of the phenomenon (this may include nonhuman and non-living entities and future generations); and iii) prioritises these individuals and groups for involvement in the decision-making process.	Reed et al. (2009)	See also SP “Stakeholder”
Stakeholder Typology	Classification of stakeholders according to the attributes: power, legitimacy, and urgency.	Mitchell et al. (1997).	See also SP “Stakeholder”

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
State [of a social-ecological system]	Collection of variables that describe the overall physical condition of a social ecological system, including attributes of both ecosystem service providers and ecosystem service beneficiaries.	Modified from Harrington et al. (2010)	
Story Boarding	A verbal description of a problem or situation or system usually developed though qualitative, deliberative methods.	New	See also term 'Rich Picture Modelling'.
Storyline	A narrative description of a scenario, which highlights its main features and the relationships between the scenario's driving forces and its main features.	UK NEA (2011)	
Structure [of an Ecosystem, Habitat, Community]	The aggregate of elements of an entity in their relationships to each other. The component parts of an ecosystem; see 'natural capital asset' or 'natural capital stock'.	Common usage, adapted.	
Supporting Services	Ecological processes and functions that are necessary for the production of final ecosystem services. See also 'intermediate services'.	Term not used in OpenNESS, see function.	see SP 'Conceptual Framework and Cascade'
Sustainable Use of ES	Human use of an ecosystem so that it may yield a continuous benefit to present generations while maintaining its potential to meet the needs and aspirations of future generations.	UK NEA (2011)	
Sustainability	A characteristic or state whereby the needs of the present and local population can be met without compromising the ability of future generations or populations in other locations to meet their needs. Weak sustainability assumes that needs can be met by the substitution of different forms of capital (i.e. through trade-offs); strong sustainability posits that substitution of different forms of capital is seriously limited.	UK NEA (2011)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
System	A construct for a reporting unit at a level of aggregation generally above that which is applied to an ecosystem. Systems may include many ecosystems with varying degrees of interaction and spatial connectivity, in addition to their associated social and economic components. Systems are not mutually exclusive and can overlap both spatially and conceptually.	Modified from MA (2005)	
Taxon (Pl. Taxa)	The named classification unit to which individuals or sets of species are assigned. Higher taxa are those above the species level. For example, the common mouse, <i>Mus musculus</i> , belongs to the Genus <i>Mus</i> , the Family Muridae, and the Class Mammalia.	UK NEA (2011)	
Threatened Species	Species that face a high (vulnerable species), very high (endangered species), or extremely high (critically endangered species) risk of extinction in the wild.	UK NEA (2011)	
Threshold, ecological	A point at which an ecological system experiences a qualitative change, mostly in an abrupt and discontinuous way. In the context of OpenNESS we use ecological threshold and tipping points as synonyms. See also 'regime shift' and the distinction with 'limit'.	New	See also SP "Threshold"
Tipping Point	Used here as being synonymous with 'ecological threshold'.	New	See SP "Threshold"
Total Economic Value (TEV)	A widely used framework to disaggregate the components of utilitarian value in monetary terms, including direct use value, indirect use value, option value, quasi-option value, and existence value.	New	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Trade-off	Situations in which one service increases and another one decreases. This may be due to simultaneous response to the same driver or due to true interactions among services.	New	See also SP “Trade-offs”
Transdisciplinarity	A reflexive, integrative, method-driven scientific principle aiming at the solution or transition of societal problems and concurrently of related scientific problems by differentiating and integrating knowledge from various scientific and societal bodies of knowledge.	Lang et al. (2012)	See also SP “Transdisciplinarity”
Travel Costs Analysis	Economic valuation techniques that use observed costs to travel to a destination to derive demand functions for that destination.	MA (2005)	
Uncertainty	An expression of the degree to which a condition or trend (e.g. of an ecosystem) is unknown. Uncertainty can result from lack of information or from disagreement about what is known or even knowable. It may have many types of sources, from quantifiable errors in the data to ambiguously defined terminology or uncertain projections of human behaviour. Uncertainty can therefore be represented by quantitative measures (e.g. a range of values calculated by various models) or by qualitative statements (e.g. reflecting the judgment of a team of experts).	Modified from UK NEA (2011)	
Urban	Environmental condition linked to high population density, extent of land transformation, or a large energy flow from surrounding area	New, (after McIntyre 2000)	

Term	Definition	Source	Comment
Urbanisation	An increase in the proportion of the population living in urban areas or systems. See 'Urban systems'.	UK NEA (2011)	
Urban Systems	The total of functional interlinkages within an area designated as “urban” (see definition of “urban”).	New	
Valuation	The process whereby people express the importance or preference they have for the service or benefits that ecosystems provide. Importance Value can be expressed in monetary or non-monetary terms. See 'monetary valuation' and 'non-monetary valuation'.	OpenNESS - SP6	
Value	The worth, usefulness, importance of something. Thus value can be measured by the size of the well-being improvement delivered to humans through the provision of good(s). In economics, value is always associated with trade-offs, i.e. something only has (economic) value if we are willing to give up something to get or enjoy it.	After UK NEA (2011), Mace et al. (2012) and De Groot, (2010)	
Value System	Norms and precepts that guide human judgments about value and action.	Shortens from Farber et al. (2002)	
Well-Being (Human)	See 'Human well-being'		

References cited

- Alexandrova, A. (2012). Well-being as an object of science. *Philosophy of Science* **79**: 678-689.
- Bateman, I. J., Mace, G. M., Fezzi, C., Atkinson, G. & K. Turner (2011): Economic Analysis for Ecosystem Service Assessments. *Environmental & Resource Economics* **48**: 177-218.
- Bennett, E.M.; Peterson, G.D. and L.J. Gordon (2009): Understanding relationships among multiple ecosystem services. *Ecology Letters* **12**: 1–11.
- Brand, F.S. and K. Jax (2007): Focusing the meaning (s) of resilience: resilience as a descriptive concept and a boundary object. *Ecology and Society* **12(1)**: 23. [online] URL: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol12/iss1/art23/>
- Chambers, R. (1997): *Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last* Intermediate Technology Publications, London.
- Checkland, P. (2000): Soft systems methodology: a thirty year retrospective. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* **17**: S11-S58.
- Chen, H-T (2005: (Ed): *Practical program evaluation: Assessing and improving planning, implementation, and effectiveness*. Sage.
- Chen, H.-T. (2005): Program theory. In: Mathison, S. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage Publications, 340-342.
- De Groot, R.S.; Fisher, B.; Christie, M.; Aronson, J.; Braat, L.R.; Haines-Young, R.; Gowdy, J.; Maltby, E.; Neuville, A.; Polasky, S.; Portela, R. and I. Ring (2010): Integrating the ecological and economic dimensions in biodiversity and ecosystem service valuation. In: Kumar, P. (Ed.), *TEEB Foundations, The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Ecological and Economic Foundations*. Earthscan, London (Chapter 1).
- Dunford, R. et al. (2014): Exploring climate change vulnerability across sectors and scenarios using indicators of impacts and coping capacity. *Climatic Change* DOI 10.1007/s10584-014-1162-8.
- European Commission (EC) (2013): *Green Infrastructure (GI) — Enhancing Europe's Natural Capital*. COM(2013) 249 final, Brussels, 6.5.2013.
- EEC (1992): Council directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and flora and fauna.
- Ekins, P.; Simon, S. Deutsch, L. Folke, C. and R. De Groot (2003): A framework for the practical application of the concepts of critical natural capital and strong sustainability. *Ecological economics* **44(2)**: 165-185.
- Evely, A. C.; Fazey, I.; Lambin, X.; Lambert, E.; Allen, S. and M. Pinard (2010): Defining and evaluating the impact of cross-disciplinary conservation research. *Environmental Conservation* **37**: 442-450.
- Farber, S.C.; Costanza, R. and M.A. Wilson (2002) Economic and ecological concepts for valuing ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics* **41(3)**: 375-392.
- Gatzweiler, F.; Sipiläinen, T.; Bäckman, S. et al. (2001): *Analysing institutions, policies and farming systems for sustainable agriculture in Central and Eastern European Countries in transition*. CEESA discussion paper No 2, Humboldt University of Berlin, Chair of Resource Economics, Berlin.
- Geist, H. (2006): *Our Earth's Changing Land: An Encyclopedia of Land-Use and Cover Change*. Vol. 1: A–K, Vol. 2: L–Z. Westport, CT, Greenwood Press.

- Grimm, V. and C. Wissel (1997): Babel, or the ecological stability discussions: an inventory and analysis of terminology and a guide for avoiding confusion. *Oecologia* **109**: 323-334.
- Gunderson, L.H. and C.S. Holling (2002) (eds): *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*. Washington, DC: Island Press
- Hanley, N.; Wright R.E. and V. Adamowicz (1998): Using Choice Experiments to Value the Environment. Design Issues, Current Experience and Future Prospects. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **11(3-4)**: 413-428, 1998.
- Harrington, R.; Dawson, T.P; de Bello, F.; Feld, C.K.; Haslett, J.R.; Kluvánková-Oravská, T.; Kontogianni, A.; Lavorel, S.; Luck, G.W.; Rounsevell, M.D.A.; Samways, M.J.; Skourtos, M.; Settele, J.; Spangenberg, J.H.; Vandewalle, M.; Zobel, M. and P.A. Harrison. (2010): Ecosystem services and biodiversity conservation: concepts and a glossary. *Biodivers. Conserv* **19**: 2773-2790.
- Heink, U. and I. Kowarik (2010): What are indicators? On the definition of indicators in ecology and environmental planning. *Ecological Indicators* **10(3)**: 584-593.
- Holling, C.S. (1973): Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* **4**:1-23.
- Hull, R.B.; Richert, D.; Seekamp, E.; Robertson, D. and G.J. Buhyoff (2003): Understandings of environmental quality: Ambiguities and values held by environmental professionals. *Environmental Management* **31**: 1-13.
- Jax, K. (2010): *Ecosystem functioning*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Johnson, C. J. (2013): Identifying ecological thresholds for regulating human activity: Effective conservation or wishful thinking? *Biological Conservation* **168**: 57-65.
- Keune, H., Dendoncker, N. (2014). Negotiated complexity in ecosystem services science and policy making – In: Jacobs, S., Dendoncker, N., Keune, H. (eds): *Ecosystem Services, Global Issues, Local Practices* 1st Edition. Elsevier, ISBN: 9780124199644. p. 174.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780124199644000159>
- Kjærulff, U.B. and A. Madsen (2013): Bayesian Networks and Influence Diagrams: A Guide to Construction and Analysis. Information Science and Statistics, Springer. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4614-5104-4/page/1>
- Klöpffer, W. (1994): Environmental Hazard - Assessment of Chemicals and Products. Part I: General Assessment Principles. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **1**: 47-53.
- Kremen, C. (2005): Managing ecosystem services: what do we need to know about their ecology? *Ecol Lett* **8**:468-479
- Lafferty, W. M. and E. Hovden (2003): Environmental Policy Integration: Towards an Analytical Framework. *Environmental Politics* **12(3)**: 1 – 22.
- Lang, D. et al. (2012): Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science – practice, principles, and challenges. *Sustainability Science* **7**: 25-43.
- Lenski, R.E.; Barrick; J.E. and C. Ofria (2006): Balancing robustness and evolvability. *PLoS Biol* **4(12)**: e48
- Lincoln, R., Boxshall, G. & P. Clark (1998): *A dictionary of ecology, evolution and systematics* Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Luck GW, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR (2003): Population diversity and ecosystem services. *Trends Ecol Evol* **18**: 331-336.

- MA [Millennium Ecosystem Assessment] (2005): *Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Current State and Trends*. Volume 1, Island Press, Washington D.C.
- Mace, G.M., Norris, K. and A. H. Fitter (2012): Biodiversity and ecosystem services: a multi-layered relationship. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **27(1)**: 19-26.
- Maes, J., Teller, A., Erhard, M. et al. (2013): *Mapping and Assessment of Ecosystems and their Services. An analytical framework for ecosystem assessments under action 5 of the EU biodiversity strategy to 2020*. Publications office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Miller, T., Baird, T. D., Littlefield, C. M., Kofinas, G., Chapin III, F. S. and C.L. Redman. (2008): Epistemological Pluralism: Reorganizing Interdisciplinary Research. *Ecology and Society* **13(2)**
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997): Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853-886.
- Nahlik, A.M.; Kentula, M.E.; Fennessy, M.S. et al. (2012): Where is the consensus? A proposed foundation for moving ecosystem service concepts into practice. *Ecological Economics* **77**: 27-35.
- Nilsson, M., Zamparutti, T., Petersen, J. E., Nykvist, B., Rudberg, P. & J. McGuinn (2012): Understanding policy coherence: analytical framework and examples of sector–environment policy interactions in the EU. *Environmental Policy and Governance* **22(6)**: 395-423.
- North, D. C. (1991): *Institutions, transaction costs, and the rise of merchant empires. The political economy of merchant empires*, 22-40.
- Ostrom, E. (1990): *Governing the commons. The evolution of the institutions for collective action*. Cambridge University Press, New York
- Paavola, J. (2007): Institutions and environmental governance: a reconceptualization. *Ecological economics* **63(1)**: 93-103.
- Petchey, O.L.; Hector, A. and K.J. Gaston (2004): How do different measures of functional diversity perform? *Ecology* **85**:847-857
- Primmer, E. (2011): Analysis of institutional adaptation: integration of biodiversity conservation into forestry. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **19(16)**: 1822-1832.
- Rapport, D.J. (1992): What is clinical ecology? In: *Ecosystem health. New goals for environmental management*. eds R. Costanza, B.G. Norton, B.D. Haskell, pp. 144-156. Island Press, Washington D.C.
- Reed, M.S. et al. (2009): Who’s in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management* **90**: 1933-1949.
- Rhodes, R. A.W. (2007): Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. *Organization Studies* **28(8)**: 1243-1264.
- Saarikoski, H., Raitio, K., and J. Barry (2013): Understanding ‘successful’ conflict resolution: Policy regime changes and new interactive arenas in the Great Bear Rainforest. *Land Use Policy* **32**: 271-280.
- Scott Richard, W. (2001): *Institutions and Organizations*. 2nd Edition. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, 255.
- Tacconi, L. (2012): Redefining payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics* **73(1)**: 29-36.
- TEEB (2010): *The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Ecological and economic foundation*.

Earthscan, Cambridge.

UK NEA (2011): *The UK National Ecosystem Assessment*. Technical Report. UNEP-WCMC, Cambridge.
Available from: <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Default.aspx>

Van der Sluijs, V.P. (2002): Integrated Assessment. *Encyclopedia of global environmental change*, 2500-253.

Vatn, A. (2005): Rationality, institutions and environmental policy. *Ecological Economics* **55**: 203–217.

Wiens, J.A. (1995): Landscape mosaics and ecological theory. In: Hansson L, Fahrig L, Merriam G. (eds) *Mosaic landscapes and ecological processes*. Chapman and Hall, London

Appendix 1: Synthesis Papers

Synthesis Papers as developed in/for OpenNESS. Status as of time of circulation of Glossary V2.0 [December 2014) – see <http://www.openness-project.eu/library/reference-book> for updates and downloads.

All Synthesis Papers are planned to be publically available from the website from Spring 2015.

In preparation	Out for consultation	With authors (reviewed)	Published
Bayesian Belief Networks	Ecosystem services and human health	Bundles of ecosystem services	Classification of ecosystem services
Biodiversity offsetting	Multi-criteria analysis	Competitiveness	Institutional analysis
Drivers of change	Scenarios	Conceptual frameworks and cascade model	Link between biodiversity and ecosystem services
Ecosystem services and resilience		Effectiveness	Non-monetary valuation
Ecosystem services and social justice		Good governance	Stakeholder involvement
Human well-being		Green infrastructure	Thresholds, tipping points and limits
Indicators		Natural capital accounting	
Integrated valuation		Operationalisation	
Mainstreaming ecosystem services			
Nature-based solutions			
Public goods			
Trade-off analysis			
Transdisciplinarity			
Uncertainty			