



The University of Nottingham

Centre for Spartan and
Peloponnesian Studies

Honouring the Dead in the Peloponnese

Proceedings of the conference
held at Sparta 23-25 April 2009

Edited by
Helen Cavanagh, William
Cavanagh and James Roy

CSPS Online Publication 2

prepared by
Sam Farnham

Table of Contents

	Abstracts	v
	Preface	xxv
1	<i>Emilia Banou and Louise Hitchcock</i> The 'Lord of Vapheio': the social identity of the dead and its implications for Laconia in the Late Helladic II–III A period.	1
2	<i>Diana Burton</i> God and hero: the iconography and cult of Apollo at the Amyklaion.	25
3	<i>Nikolaos Dimakis</i> The display of individual status in the burials of Classical and Hellenistic Argos.	33
4	<i>Eleni Drakaki</i> Late Bronze Age female burials with hard stone seals from the Peloponnese: a contextual approach.	51
5	<i>Rachel Fox</i> Vessels and the body in Early Mycenaean funerary contexts.	71
6	<i>Florentia Fragkopoulou</i> Sanctuary dedications and the treatment of the dead in Laconia (800–600 BC): the case of Artemis Orthia.	83
7	<i>Stamatis Fritzilas</i> Grave stelai and burials in Megalopolis.	99
8	<i>Pepi Gavala</i> The sculpted monuments in Laconian cemeteries (late 19 th – early 20 th century).	129
9	<i>Oliver Gengler</i> Leonidas and the heroes of Thermopylae: memory of the dead and identity in Roman Sparta.	151
10	<i>Mercourios Georgiadis</i> Honouring the dead in Mesolithic and Neolithic Peloponnese: a few general observations.	163
11	<i>Grigoris Grigorakakis</i> New investigations by the 39 th Ephoreia of Prehistoric and Classical antiquities at Helleniko, n. Kynouria. The burial of Late Classical date from the western roadside cemetery.	183
12	<i>Georgia Kakourou-Chroni</i> Nikiforos Vrettakos: “Let us depart ascending ...”	201
13	<i>Konstantinos Kalogeropoulos</i> The social and religious significance of palatial jars as grave offerings.	207
14	<i>Dimitrios Katsoulakos</i> The moirolōi (dirge) of the southern Laconian basin and the historical troubles of the area.	237
15	<i>Theodoros Katsoulakos</i> The relationship of the moirolōi singer with the deceased as a source of inspiration.	253

16	<i>Georgia Kokkorou-Aletras</i> Funerary statuary of the Archaic period in the Peloponnese.	269
17	<i>Eleni Konstantintidi-Syvidi and Konstantinos Paschalidis</i> Honouring the dead behind the scenes: the case of the chamber tomb to the south of Grave Circle B at Mycenae.	289
18	<i>Angeliki Kossyva</i> The invisible dead of Delpriza, Kranidi.	329
19	<i>Sokrates S. Koursoumis and Anna-Vassiliki Karapanagiotou</i> Anthropomorphic stele from Levidi, Arcadia: A typological and interpretative study	371
20	<i>Sotiris Lambropoulos, Panagiotis Moutzouridis and Kostas Nikolentzos</i> Hybrid burial monuments of the Late Bronze Age in two recently excavated sites in Elis (Strephi and Arvaniti).	391
21	<i>Marioanna Louka</i> Votive jewellery in the Archaic Peloponnese.	401
22	<i>Jean-Marc Luce</i> Iron Age burial customs in the Peloponnese and their place in the funerary geography of the Greek world.	415
23	<i>Christina Marabea</i> The tholos tomb at Kambos, Avia: excavation by Christos Tsountas, 1891.	427
24	<i>Eleni Marantou</i> Ancestor worship and hero cult in the central and southern Peloponnese: the evidence from Pausanias	441
25	<i>Iro Mathioudaki</i> Honouring the dead with polychrome pots: the case of mainland polychrome pottery in Peloponnesian funerary contexts (an interpretative approach).	459
26	<i>Nikolas Papadimitriou</i> “Passing away” or “passing through”? Changing funerary attitudes in the Peloponnese at the MBA/LBA transition.	467
27	<i>Metaxia Papapostolou</i> ‘Honourable death’: the honours paid in ancient Sparta to dead war-heroes and mothers dying in child-birth.	493
28	<i>Lena Papazoglou-Manioudaki</i> Dishonouring the dead: the plundering of tholos tombs in the Early Palatial period and the case of the tholos tomb at Mygdalia Hill (Petroto) in Achaia.	501
29	<i>Annalisa Paradiso</i> Did Herodotus ever see the list of the Three Hundred?	521
30	<i>George Paraskeviotis</i> Agamemnon’s death in Seneca.	537
31	<i>Nicolette Pavlides</i> Worshipping heroes: civic identity and the veneration of the communal dead in Archaic Sparta.	551
32	<i>Leonidas Petrakis</i> A child’s remembrance of living through the Nazi atrocity against the ‘118 Spartans’ in autumn 1943.	577

33	<i>Angeliki Petropoulou</i>	
	The Spartan royal funeral in comparative perspective.	583
34	<i>Eleni Psychogiou</i>	
	Mycenaean and modern rituals of death and resurrection: comparative data based on a krater from Hagia Triada, Elis.	613
35	<i>James Roy</i>	
	Anyte of Tegea and the other dead.	643
36	<i>Yanis Saitas</i>	
		657
	Cemeteries and settlements of Mani in Medieval and later periods: a second contribution.	
37	<i>Nicholas Sekunda</i>	
	IG V.1 1124: the dead of Geronthrai fallen at Mantinea.	719
38	<i>Nadia Seremetakis</i>	
	Antiphony, ritual and the construction of truth.	725
39	<i>Naya Sgouritsa</i>	
	Remarks on the use of plaster in tholos tombs at Mycenae: hypotheses on the origin of the painted decoration of tombs in Mainland Greece.	737
40	<i>Georgios Steiris</i>	
	Exemplary deaths in the Peloponnese: Plutarch's study of death and its revision by Georgius Trapezuntius Cretensis.	755
41	<i>Anthi Theodorou-Mavrommatidi</i>	
	A composite pendant in an EH I burial at the Apollo Maleatas site in Epidauros: an attempt at a biography.	773
42	<i>Erika Weiberg</i>	
		781
	The invisible dead. The case of the Argolid and Corinthia during the Early Bronze Age.	
43	<i>Theodora Zampaki</i>	
	The burial customs for Alexander the Great in Arabic historiography and the Alexander Romance.	797

Abstracts

1 **Emilia Banou and Louise Hitchcock. The 'Lord of Vapheio': the social identity of the dead and its implications for Laconia in the Late Helladic II-IIIa period**

The paper examines the social identity of the dead buried in the cist-grave of the tholos tomb of Vapheio, on the basis of the funerary gifts which accompanied him and the symbolism of the tomb's architecture. It also combines the evidence from Vapheio with the picture we possess of the Eurotas valley in the LHII-III period.

It is concluded that the occupant of the cist in the Vapheio tholos was an important ruler, having established (at least in the transition from the LHIIa to the LHIIb period) significant contacts with Minoan Crete. These contacts permitted him and his immediate successors to stand at front in the developments of their time in the mainland, having by that time set the territory they controlled on the way to becoming a state. Having their seat presumably on Paliopyrgi, they had to rival at least two other local centres of power in the Eurotas valley, namely Vouno Panayias and Ayios Vassileios, at a close distance. How they may have succeeded in achieving and maintaining their position remains to be elucidated through systematic research and excavation of all three sites, which would also shed light on the process leading to the rise of Mycenaean states in the Argolid, where the same pattern of intervisible important Mycenaean sites can be observed.

Ο «Άρχοντας του Βαφειού»: η κοινωνική ταυτότητα του νεκρού και η σημασία της για την Λακωνία κατά την ΥΕII-IIIa περίοδο

Αυτή η ανακοίνωση εξετάζει την κοινωνική ταυτότητα του νεκρού στον κιβωτιόσχημο τάφο του θολωτού τάφου του Βαφειού, επί τη βάσει των ταφικών κτερισμάτων τα οποία τον συνόδευαν, και του συμβολισμού της αρχιτεκτονικής του τάφου. Έμφαση δίδεται στις θρησκευτικές και οικονομικές πτυχές της ταυτότητάς του, οι οποίες συγκρίνονται με την εικόνα που έχουμε για τα θρησκευτικά θέματα μέσα από τη μελέτη των πινακίδων Γραμμικής Β. Αν και μεταγενέστερη της ταφής, η οποία θα μπορούσε να χρονολογηθεί στην ΥΕ IIB περίοδο, η παραπάνω εικόνα είναι ιδανική για τη μελέτη των κοινωνικοπολιτικών εξελίξεων στην ηπειρωτική Ελλάδα κατά την Υστεροελλαδική II περίοδο, οι οποίες δημιούργησαν το ανακτορικό σύστημα της ΥΕ III περιόδου, όπως υποδεικνύεται από την ξεχωριστή ταφή και αρχιτεκτονική του Βαφειού, ενός από τους πρωιμότερους μνημειώδεις θολωτούς τάφους. Επιπλέον, συνδυάζοντας τα στοιχεία από το Βαφείο με τα αντίστοιχα από την κοιλάδα του Ευρώτα κατά την ΥΕ II-III περίοδο, οδηγούμεθα στο συμπέρασμα πως η Λακωνία πρέπει να ήταν στο προσκήνιο - εάν δεν κατείχε ηγετικό ρόλο - των εξελίξεων γύρω από τη Μυκηναϊκή κατοχή της Κνωσού και λίγο αργότερα.

2 **Diana Burton. God and Hero: the iconography and cult of Apollo at the Amyklaion**

Cult and art recognise an ambivalence between Olympian and underworld gods, which is generally lacking in literary sources. The Peloponnese was rich in divinities who carried epithets associating them with the underworld. This paper explores the iconography of one such divine figure, the statue of Apollo at Amyklai, and his cult, in the context of the associated hero-cult of Hyakinthos.

Θεοί, ήρωες και ο κάτω κόσμος: λατρεία και εικονογραφία

Στην λατρεία και την τέχνη υπάρχει μια διφορούμενη στάση μεταξύ των Ολύμπιων και χθόνιων θεοτήτων, η οποία όμως δεν εμφανίζεται γενικά στις γραπτές πηγές. Στην

Πελοπόννησο αφθονούν οι θεότητες που έφεραν επίθετα σχετικά με τον Κάτω Κόσμο. Αυτή η ανακοίνωση ερευνά την εικονογραφία τέτοιων θεοτήτων όπως το άγαλμα του Διός Μελίχιου, έργο του Πολυκλείτου στο Άργος, και το λατρευτικό άγαλμα του Απόλλωνα στις Αμύκλες, καθώς και την λατρεία τους στο πλαίσιο τοπικών ηρωολατρειών. Κάποιες λατρείες όπως αυτή του Διός Μελίχιου, αποτέλεσαν το αντικείμενο διαδεδομένης λατρείας, αν και ενδεχομένως συνεχίζουν χαρακτηριστικά ντόπιας εικονογραφίας.

3 Nikolaos Dimakis. The display of individual status in the burials of Classical and Hellenistic Argos

This paper aims to outline the ways in which burials can be analysed in order to investigate the display of individual status in the mortuary record of Classical and Hellenistic Argos. Subsequently, this study will throw light on social inequality and the degrees of socio-political ranking within the Argive society of the Classical and Hellenistic periods.

Η επίδειξη ατομικής κοινωνικής ταυτότητας στις ταφές του Άργους των κλασικών και ελληνιστικών χρόνων

Σκοπός αυτής της παρουσίασης είναι η αναφορά στον τρόπο με τον οποίο μπορούν να αναλυθούν οι ταφές προκειμένου να ερευνηθεί η επίδειξη ατομικής κοινωνικής ταυτότητας στα ταφικά σύνολα του Άργους κατά τους κλασικούς και ελληνιστικούς χρόνους. Ακολούθως, η παρούσα μελέτη θα διαφωτίσει ζητήματα κοινωνικής ανισότητας και το βαθμό κοινωνικο-πολιτικής διαστρωμάτωσης στην Αργειακή κοινωνία των κλασικών και ελληνιστικών χρόνων.

4 Eleni Drakaki. Late Bronze Age female burials with hard stone seals from the Peloponnese: a contextual approach

In Mycenaean Greece, hard stone seals were predominantly deposited with burials and are often regarded by scholars as emblems of their owners' personal and social identity. In an effort to achieve a better understanding of the mechanisms of seal ownership, this paper undertakes a detailed examination of the contextual associations of a small corpus of hard stone seals associated with nine Late Bronze Age elite female burials from the Peloponnese. The conclusion reached is that the seals do not always reflect the wealth/status differences of the burials, while in their overwhelming majority they are not engraved with 'female appropriate' motifs. Finally, the comparison of some of these female burials with male burials of equal status helps to establish that the former were furnished more modestly and with significantly fewer seals.

Γυναικείες ταφές της Ύστερης Εποχής του Χαλκού από την Πελοπόννησο με σφραγίδες απο σκληρούς λίθους: προσέγγιση πλαισίου.

Η ανακοίνωση αυτή παρουσιάζει μια διαχρονική μελέτη δέκα (πιθανώς) γυναικείων ταφών, οι οποίες είναι κτερισμένες με σφραγίδες από σκληρούς λίθους. Στις περιπτώσεις που αυτό καθίσταται δυνατόν, ο πλούτος και τα χαρακτηριστικά κτερίσματα συγκρίνονται με εκείνα σύγχρονων ανδρικών ταφών ανάλογης κοινωνικής θέσης (status), σε μια προσπάθεια να διακριθούν διαφορές ή/και ομοιότητες ανάμεσα τους. Ιδιαίτερη προσοχή δίδεται στην εικονογραφία των σφραγίδων, καθώς τα μοτίβα τους εκλαμβάνονται συχνά ως σύμβολα της προσωπικής και κοινωνικής ταυτότητας των κατόχων τους. Το (ίσως) εκπληκτικό συμπέρασμα είναι ότι η χρήση μοτίβων τα οποία θα μπορούσαν να θεωρηθούν ως «κατάλληλα για γυναίκες» ήταν η εξαίρεση, ενώ ορισμένες σφραγίδες πιθανώς να εκτιμούνταν για ιδιότητες ανεξάρτητες της εικονογραφίας τους.

5 Rachel S. Fox. Vessels and the body in Early Mycenaean funerary contexts

The capsule-type nature of the Shaft Graves at Mycenae means that they are an ideal dataset upon which to perform an analysis of the vessels found within them. In this paper I examine the metal and ceramic vessels from both Grave Circles, noting the forms that predominate and from these drawing conclusions regarding the ritual practices surrounding the interment of a body and the ways in which social messages could be conveyed to the funeral attendees. Following this, I consider how the vessels can be correlated with sex, age and other grave-goods, thus demonstrating how feasting practices were a method of displaying and accruing socio-political status in the Early Mycenaean period.

Τα αγγεία και το ανθρώπινο σώμα στα ταφικά σύνολα της πρώιμης μυκηναϊκής περιόδου

Λόγω έλλειψης στοιχείων, η Πρώιμη Μυκηναϊκή περίοδος συχνά επισκιάζεται από την ΥΕ ΙΙΙ ανακτορική περίοδο. Ωστόσο, τα στοιχεία όταν ερμηνεύονται ενδελεχώς μπορεί να είναι ιδιαίτερα κατατοπιστικά σχετικά με την τελετουργική συμπεριφορά και το κοινωνικό πλέγμα. Θα επικεντρωθώ σε ένα σύνολο στοιχείων - αγγεία τοποθετημένα σε ταφικά σύνολα - προκειμένου να διερευνήσω τα νεκρόδειπνα/ ταφικά τελετουργικά πόσεως και τις κοινωνικές δομές πίσω από αυτά. Αναλύοντας τους τύπους των μεταλλικών και κεραμικών αγγείων - εστιάζοντας στους Ταφικούς Κύκλους των Μυκηνών - και τη σχέση τους με το ανθρώπινο σώμα, θα εξαχθούν συμπεράσματα σχετικά με τις τελετουργικές δραστηριότητες δίπλα στο ταφικό μνημείο, το ρόλο του συμποσίου και την κοινωνικο-πολιτική ρευστότητα κατά την περίοδο αυτή.

6 Florentia Fragkopoulou. Sanctuary dedications and the treatment of the dead in Laconia (800–600 BC): the case of Artemis Orthia

This paper focuses on two ivory plaques NM 15518 and NM 16432 retrieved from the sanctuary of Artemis Orthia during the excavations conducted by the British School at Athens during the 1906–10 seasons. The uniqueness of these plaques lies in their iconography (each one is decorated with a *prothesis* scene which is a rather unusual decorative element for sanctuary dedications), their luxurious material, and the fact that no other ivory parallels of this sort are known from other contemporaneous Lakonian sanctuaries. Since their recovery, scholars have concentrated on their stylistic production and chronological implications within the context of Spartan-Near Eastern relationships during the Archaic period. This paper focuses on their interpretation within the ritual context of the sanctuary of Artemis Orthia itself with the aim of defining their role within the ritual context of the Limnai sanctuary.

Αναθήματα και η θεραπεία των νεκρών στη Λακωνία (800-600 π.Χ.): η περίπτωση της Αρτέμιδος Ορθίας

Η έρευνα που αφορά στη θέση και την κοινωνική οργάνωση των ιερών, ειδικότερα από τον 8^ο αι. κ.εξ., έχει μελετήσει με λεπτομέρεια το ρόλο τους στο θέμα των επικρατειών της πρώιμης δημιουργίας κρατών. Η οργάνωση των ιερών κατά τη διάρκεια της δημιουργίας του σπαρτιατικού κράτους, αποτελεί μια ξεχωριστή περίπτωση στη Λακωνία καθώς φαίνεται να συνδέεται στενά με τον τρόπο θεραπείας των νεκρών. Το σπαρτιατικό κράτος δημιουργήθηκε μέσω της κατάληψης ή/και της ενσωμάτωσης διαφόρων εδαφικών περιοχών. Ταυτόχρονα με αυτή τη διαδικασία, διαφορετικές κοινωνικές ομάδες κατέληξαν να συμπεριληφθούν (ή και επισήμως να αποκλειστούν από) στη Σπαρτιατική πολιτική κοινότητα. Θα περίμενε, λοιπόν, κανείς να ανακαλύψει την επίσημη έκφραση αυτών των σχέσεων στα τελετουργικά δρώμενα. Στο πλαίσιο αυτό, συγκεκριμένα αναθήματα όπως σκηνές πρόθεσης που βρίσκονται ανάμεσα σε προσφορές στο ιερό της Αρτέμιδος Ορθίας αποκτούν συγκεκριμένο νόημα. Έτσι, μέσω της εξέτασης των στοιχείων για την απεικόνιση διαφορετικών υπο-ομάδων αναθημάτων από το αρχαιολογικό υλικό ιερών εντός της λακωνικής επικράτειας κατά την πρώιμη περίοδο της δημιουργίας του σπαρτιατικού κράτους (π. 800-600 π.Χ.), η παρούσα ανακοίνωση επιχειρεί να διαφωτίσει το ρόλο που έπαιξε η θεραπεία των νεκρών στη

διαμόρφωση κοινωνικής συνοχής εντός της Λακωνίας κατά τη διάρκεια μιας καθοριστικής για κοινωνικο-πολιτικές αλλαγές περιόδου, με ιδιαίτερη αναφορά: α) στην εξέλιξη των τελετουργικών πρακτικών αυτή την περίοδο, και β) στις διαφορετικές ομάδες που εμπλέκονται: τι επέλεγαν να θυμούνται/τιμούν, τι επέλεγαν (ή ήταν υποχρεωμένες) να ξεχνούν, και ποιοι επέβαλαν το κυρίαρχο πλαίσιο που όφειλαν να ακολουθούν;

7 Stamatis Fritzilas. Grave stelai and burials in Megalopolis

This paper examines certain representative examples of grave stelai found in the region of the federal capital of ancient Arcadia. These are a small but characteristic group of funerary stelai, mainly of Hellenistic and Roman date, and forming part of the Archaeological Collection of Megalopolis. They are presented with a commentary and illustrated, in some cases for the first time. They comprise characteristic funerary monuments which display not only a particular concern to make the grave visible, but also to preserve the deceased's name, in order to keep his memory alive. The inscribed stelai provide important cultural and demographic information. They enlarge the prosopography of Megalopolis, since they mention certain names of its inhabitants and their family or social relationships. They also include dictums which reflect the spirit of the times, record the passage of the deceased into the next world and ensure his eternal fame. Epigraphic material as well as available data from excavations are brought together. There is a variety of types of burial, brought to light by rescue excavations carried out by the Greek Archaeological Service in the area of Megalopolis, as a result of building activity mainly in the southern part of the ancient city and of public works in the countryside.

Επιτύμβιες στήλες στη Μεγαλόπολη

Στην ανακοίνωση αυτή εξετάζονται ορισμένα αντιπροσωπευτικά παραδείγματα ταφικών στηλών που βρέθηκαν στην επικράτεια της ομοσπονδιακής πρωτεύουσας της αρχαίας Αρκαδίας. Πρόκειται για μικρή αλλά χαρακτηριστική σειρά επιτύμβιων στηλών, κυρίως της ελληνιστικής και ρωμαϊκής εποχής, που φυλάσσονται στην Αρχαιολογική Συλλογή της Μεγαλόπολης. Σχολιάζονται και απεικονίζονται, ορισμένα για πρώτη φορά, χαρακτηριστικά ταφικά μνημεία που μαρτυρούν όχι μόνο την ιδιαίτερη φροντίδα να σημειωθεί ο τάφος του νεκρού αλλά και να διασωθεί το όνομά του, ώστε η μνήμη του να διατηρηθεί ζωντανή. Οι ενεπίγραφες στήλες παρέχουν σημαντικά πολιτισμικά και δημογραφικά στοιχεία. Εμπλουτίζουν την προσωπογραφία της Μεγαλόπολης, καθώς μας πληροφορούν για τα ονόματα των κατοίκων της και για τις οικογενειακές ή φιλικές τους σχέσεις. Στα μνημεία αυτά έχουν γραφεί και αποφθέγματα που αντανakλούν το πνεύμα της εποχής, σηματοδοτούν τη μετάβαση του νεκρού στον άλλο κόσμο και του εξασφαλίζουν υστεροφημία. Στη μελέτη συγκεντρώνονται όχι μόνον τα επιγραφικά αλλά και τα διαθέσιμα ανασκαφικά δεδομένα. Πρόκειται για ταφές διαφόρων τύπων που έχουν έρθει στο φως στις σωστικές ανασκαφές που διεξάγει η Ελληνική Αρχαιολογική Υπηρεσία τα τελευταία χρόνια στα εδάφη της Μεγαλόπολης, αποτέλεσμα της σύγχρονης οικοδομικής δραστηριότητας στο νότιο κυρίως τμήμα της αρχαίας πόλης και της εκτέλεσης δημόσιων έργων στην υπαίθρο χώρα.

8 Pepi Gavala. The sculpted monuments in Laconian cemeteries (late 19th – early 20th century)

Many funerary monuments in the cemeteries of Greek cities and towns, including those in Laconia, bear the stamp of Classicism, an important school of art in Western Europe during the 18th and 19th centuries, which greatly influenced Greek art and architecture in the 19th century. The identification and recording of those monuments helps their preservation through the ages, since they are constantly at risk of being damaged. The types of monument and their decoration as well as their inscriptions and epigrams are also recorded. The main

target of such efforts is focused on an awareness of the historical and aesthetic value of these monuments.

Τα μνημεία των κοιμητηρίων της Λακωνίας

Στην ανακοίνωση αυτή παρουσιάζονται τα ταφικά μνημεία των Κοιμητηρίων της Λακωνίας του 19ου και 20ου αιώνα (επιτύμβιες στήλες, προτομές, σταυροί, βάθρα, κ.α.).

9 Olivier Gengler. Leonidas and the heroes of Thermopylae: memory of the dead and identity in Roman Sparta

Through a new examination of the evidence relating to the contest organised in Sparta in honour of Pausanias, Leonidas and the Three Hundred, essentially known from Pausanias the *Periegete* and various inscriptions, this paper proposed a new insight into the construction of Spartan identity in Roman imperial times. A comparison with a text of Plutarch reveals how the organisation of the *Leonideia* constituted for the Spartan of the second century AD a further attempt to equal their ancestors.

Ο Λεωνίδας και οι ήρωες των Θερμοπυλών: η ανάμνηση των νεκρών και η κοινωνική ταυτότητα στη ρωμαϊκή Σπάρτη

Σκοπός της εργασίας αυτής είναι να επανεξετάσει τα στοιχεία σχετικά με τα Λεωνίδεια στο ευρύτερο πλαίσιο της μεταμόρφωσης των σπαρτιατικών παραδόσεων κατά τους Αυτοκρατορικούς χρόνους, και σε σχέση με την εικόνα της σπαρτιατικής ιστορίας στη λογοτεχνία αυτής της περιόδου.

10 Mercourios Georgiadis. Honouring the dead in Mesolithic and Neolithic Peloponnese: a few general observations

The change from the Mesolithic way of life and the introduction of agriculture in the Neolithic period has been fundamental for local societies. New economic and social conditions appeared, transforming at the same time the local belief systems and the way of everyday life. This paper will show how these changes can be traced in the funerary context, where the emphasis was concentrated and how honouring of the dead altered from the Mesolithic to the Neolithic, in the examples we have from the Peloponnese. Although the available examples are very limited for these long periods of time, they can provide useful insights into the social conditions of these phases.

Τιμώντας τους νεκρούς στη μεσολιθική και νεολιθική Πελοπόννησο: μερικές γενικές παρατηρήσεις

Η αλλαγή από τον Μεσολιθικό τρόπο ζωής και η εισαγωγή της γεωργίας στην νεολιθική περίοδο υπήρξε ουσιώδης για τις τοπικές κοινωνίες. Εμφανίστηκαν νέες οικονομικές και κοινωνικές συνθήκες, μετασχηματίζοντας την ίδια στιγμή τον τοπικό τρόπο σκέψης και την καθημερινή ζωή. Αυτή η παρουσίαση θα δείξει πώς αυτές οι αλλαγές μπορούν να εμφανιστούν μέσα από το ταφικό περιεχόμενο, πού δίνεται έμφαση και πώς οι τιμές των νεκρών άλλαξαν από την Μεσολιθική στην Νεολιθική περίοδο, από τα παραδείγματα που έχουμε από την Πελοπόννησο. Αν και τα παραδείγματα που διαθέτουμε είναι λιγοστά για αυτές τις μακρές περιόδους, μπορούν να προσφέρουν χρήσιμες πληροφορίες για τις κοινωνικές συνθήκες αυτών των φάσεων.

11 Grigoris Grigorakakis. New investigations by the 39th Ephoreia of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities at Helleniko, N. Kynouria. The burial of Late Classical date from the western roadside cemetery

200 m west of the grave enclosure on the west slopes of Teichio at Helleniko in northern Kynouria, in the framework of rescue excavations by the Ephoreia, a tomb of the mid-4th century BC was investigated. The large number of offerings categorizes it as a 'wealthy'

burial, and provides us with valuable information both about the mortuary practices, and about the conception of the next world. Finally, the location of the grave, the extent of the cemetery along the road and its relationship both to other cemeteries in the vicinity and, in particular, to the spectacular acropolis at Teichio, indicates the significance of this site in the Thyreatis.

Νέες έρευνες της ΛΘ' Εφορείας Προϊστορικών και Κλασικών Αρχαιοτήτων στο Ελληνικό βόρειας Κυνουρίας. Η ταφή των ύστερων κλασικών χρόνων του δυτικού παρόδιου νεκροταφείου

Διακόσια μέτρα δυτικότερα των περιφραγμένων τάφων στις δυτικές υπώρειες του Τειχιού, στο Ελληνικό της βόρειας Κυνουρίας, στο πλαίσιο σωστικής ανασκαφής της Εφορείας διερευνήθηκε τάφος των μέσων του 4ου π.Χ. αι. Ο μεγάλος αριθμός κτερισμάτων παραπέμπει σε 'πλούσια' ταφή, και μας δίδει πολύτιμες πληροφορίες τόσο για τα ταφικά έθιμα, όσο και για τις αντιλήψεις σχετικά με τον επέκεινα κόσμο. Τέλος, η θέση του τάφου, η έκταση του παρόδιου νεκροταφείου και η σχέση του με τα άλλα νεκροταφεία στην περιοχή, και κυρίως με την επιβλητική Ακρόπολη στο Τειχίο, καταδεικνύει και τη σπουδαιότητα της θέσης αυτής της Θυρεάτιδος.

12 Georgia Kakourou-Chroni. Nikiforos Vrettakos: "Let us depart ascending ..."

The poetry of Laconian-born Nikiforos Vrettakos might be said to have death as one of its defining themes. This paper traces the development of the poetical ego from his first collection where "death permeates", to his last where "light rises from the soul". The transition begins to take shape and form during World War II when death has the power to "kill God" but also to "shed light on the pain of the world".

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the attitude to death that emerges in the poetry of Nikiforos Vrettakos and unfolds in three stages: nihilism and the dominance of death; heroic death; and the recognition of human immortality.

Critical studies of a lifetime of literary work seen as a whole frequently divide the work into periods on the basis of certain characteristics that pervade each one. This approach is widely accepted by both critics and readers since it facilitates study, though they recognise the fact that the periods are not separated by brick walls and the characteristics of one period overlap those of the next, often making it difficult to see where one period ends and another begins. Neither do all concerned agree over the timeframes.

Having pointed this out, I shall refer to the three different attitudes to death that evolve in the poetry of Nikiforos Vrettakos, keeping within the constraints of time imposed by an introduction of this kind. These attitudes are: nihilism and the dominance of death; heroic death; and the recognition of human immortality.

Νικηφόρος Βρεττάκος: «ας φύγουμε ανεβαίνοντας ...»

Η ποιητική του λάκωνα λογοτέχνη Νικηφόρου Βρεττάκου θα μπορούσε να ισχυρισθεί κανείς ότι οριοθετείται και από το θέμα του θανάτου. Στην ανακοίνωση αυτή καταγράφεται, από την πρώτη συλλογή, η πορεία του ποιητικού εγώ, όταν «υπερκαλύπτεται από τον θάνατο», έως την τελευταία που «φως βγάζει η ψυχή του». Η μεταστροφή αυτή αρχίζει να συντελείται κατά το Δεύτερο Παγκόσμιο Πόλεμο, τότε που ο θάνατος μπορεί να «δολοφονεί το Θεό», αλλά και να «φωτίζει τον παγκόσμιο πόνο».

13 Konstantinos Kalogeropoulos. The Social and religious significance of palatial jars as grave offerings

It is generally accepted that the monumental and very finely painted jars found mainly during LH IIA in rich Peloponnesian tombs (so called palatial jars) are prestige items connected with the early Mycenaean Peloponnesian elite. The aim of this paper is not to

refute the basic idea behind such a general identification but to enlarge upon it. It is argued that the use of 'palatial jars' in mortuary ceremonies has a certain religious connotation also, since several syntactic and iconographic elements on these vases point to their ritual use and symbolism.

Η κοινωνική και θρησκευτική σημασία των «ανακτορικών αμφορέων» ως ταφικών προσφορών

Είναι γενικά αποδεκτό ότι οι μνημειώδεις και περικαλείς αμφορείς - οι λεγόμενοι «ανακτορικοί αμφορείς» - που έχουν βρεθεί σε πλούσιους πελοποννησιακούς τάφους της ΥΕ ΙΙ περιόδου είναι αντικείμενα κύρους συνδεδεμένα με την ανώτερη κοινωνική τάξη στην Πελοπόννησο της Πρώιμης Μυκηναϊκής Εποχής. Ο στόχος της ανακοίνωσης αυτής δεν είναι να απορρίψει την βασική ιδέα πίσω από αυτή την γενική ταύτιση αλλά να την διευρύνει. Θα υποστηριχθεί ότι η χρήση των «ανακτορικών αμφορέων» σε ταφικές τελετές έχει επίσης συγκεκριμένη θρησκευτική χροιά καθώς αρκετά τεχνικά, εικονογραφικά και συντακτικά στοιχεία πάνω στους αμφορείς καταδεικνύουν τη θρησκευτική τους χρήση και συμβολισμό.

14 Dimitrios Katsoulakos. The lament in southern 'koile Lakedaimon' and the turbulent history of Greece

The Moiroloi carries within it historical witness to the collective memory. It is a treasury of the human reaction to extreme experiences. The violent events undergone by the nation (the Balkan Wars, the War in Asia Minor, the Greco-Italian War, the Civil War) deeply moved the popular sensibility, which lamented in an imaginative manner the untimely death of the young. What is particularly striking in researching this brilliant literature is the theme of injustice, which is never personalised. The injustice lies only in the loss of a young life.

Το μοιρολόι της νότιας 'κοίλης Λακεδαίμονος' και οι ιστορικές περιπέτειες της χώρας

Το μοιρολόι συνιστά ιστορική μαρτυρία που απηχεί στη συλλογική μνήμη. Αποτελεί θησαυρισμένη σοφία από την έσχατη εμπειρία του ανθρώπου. Οι αιματηρές περιπέτειες της χώρας (Βαλκανικοί Πόλεμοι, Μικρασιατικός Πόλεμος, Ελληνοϊταλικός Πόλεμος, Εμφύλιος Πόλεμος) συγκίνησαν βαθύτατα τη λαϊκή ψυχή, η οποία θρήνησε με τρόπο ευρηματικό την απώλεια νέων ανθρώπων. Εκείνο που προκαλεί εντύπωση στο ερευνητή της λαμπρής αυτής λογοτεχνίας είναι το θέμα της αδικίας, το οποίο ποτέ δεν προσωποποιείται. Το άδικο συνίσταται μόνο στην απώλεια του νέου ανθρώπου.

15 Theodoros Katsoulakos. The relationship of the moiroloi singer with the deceased as a source of inspiration

Vital data for research into the Moiroloi are the date of its recording and knowledge of the relationship between the singer and the deceased. If these facts are known, the researcher will have at their disposal a picture of the circumstances of its composition, insofar as this is possible. The Moiroloi, as a discrete artistic creation, must, for this reason, be accompanied by as much information as possible.

Η σχέση της μοιρολογίστρας με το νεκρό ως πηγή έμπνευσης

Κρίσιμα στοιχεία της έρευνας της σχετικής με το μοιρολόι αποτελούν ο χρόνος καταγραφής αυτού και η γνώση της σχέσης της μοιρολογίστρας με το νεκρό. Εάν τα στοιχεία αυτά γίνουν γνωστά, ο μελετητής θα έχει στη διάθεσή του, κατά το δυνατόν, εικόνα των συνθηκών δημιουργίας αυτού. Το μοιρολόι, ως αυτοτελές λογοτεχνικό κείμενο, είναι απαραίτητο, ως εκ τούτου, να συνοδεύεται από όσο το δυνατόν πιο πλήρη υπομνηματισμό.

16 Georgia Kokkorou-Alevras. Funerary statuary of the Archaic period in the Peloponnese

During the Archaic period in Attica and in the Aegean islands there are many stone funerary monuments commemorating the dead. These monuments include a variety of statuary types such as kouroi and korai, lions, sphinxes, gorgons and so forth as well as the more common relief stelai bearing representations of the dead. On the other hand, the number of stone funerary monuments in the Peloponnese is very small and consists mainly of statues of lions, rarely of sphinxes and other types. It seems most probable that the statues of lions, which were represented sitting on high columns that were erected over the tombs, symbolized the bravery of the dead as indicated by the ancient written sources.

The fact that the Peloponnesian funerary statuary has been found mainly in the territory of Corinth and in the Argolid, may be explained by the vicinity of these areas to Attica and the Cycladic islands and by the influence exercised by these areas in the north-east Peloponnese.

Finally, the main reason for the general rarity of funerary sculpture in the Peloponnese may be the different, 'Doric' ideal that existed in this area and which is documented by the Spartan, Lykourgan, attitude towards honouring the dead, which did not favour the distinction of the individual through lavish grave monuments.

Ταφική λιθογλυπτική της αρχαϊκής περιόδου στην Πελοπόννησο

Κατά την αρχαϊκή περίοδο στην Αττική και τα νησιά του Αιγαίου απαντούν πολλά λίθινα ταφικά μνημεία προς τιμήν των νεκρών. Αυτά τα μνημεία περιλαμβάνουν ποικιλία ειδών όπως κούρους και κόρες, λέοντες, σφίγγες, γοργόνεια κ.λπ., καθώς και πιο κοινές ανάγλυφες στήλες που φέρουν αναπαραστάσεις νεκρών. Από την άλλη πλευρά, ο αριθμός των λίθινων ταφικών μνημείων στην Πελοπόννησο είναι πολύ μικρός και αποτελείται κυρίως από αγάλματα λεόντων, σπανιότερα δέ από σφίγγες και άλλους τύπους. Επιπλέον, τα πελοποννησιακά ταφικά αγάλματα εντοπίζονται κυρίως στην επικράτεια της Κορίνθου. Η ανακοίνωση αυτή έχει ως στόχο να διερευνήσει και να ερμηνεύσει αυτό το αξιοσημείωτο φαινόμενο.

17 Eleni Konstantintidi-Syvridi and Konstantinos Paschalidis. Honouring the dead behind the scenes: the case of the chamber tomb to the south of Grave Circle B at Mycenae

During the summers of 1952 and 1953 in the course of the excavations of Grave Circle B at Mycenae, Ioannis Papadimitriou located and excavated an Early Mycenaean chamber tomb, which has remained unpublished up to now. Its location and the finds from the tomb preserve information about the granting of honours to the dead and ancestors over the ensuing periods, with a different content on each occasion. Following analysis of the architectural form and the offerings in the tomb, the evidence for *hero worship* is examined, which was the excavator's interpretation of the rich remains of the Late Geometric period from within and above the chamber. Likewise, the proximity of a number of important funerary monuments (Grave Circle B, the Tomb of Clytemnestra, the chamber tomb) to the Hellenistic theatre of the town, where the tragedies of the Atreids would have been re-enacted, is emphasised. Finally, the memory of the excavator is honoured, whose diaries reveal the thoughts of a great archaeologist and the concerns of an everyday human being.

Τιμώντας τους νεκρούς στα παρασκήνια: η περίπτωση του θαλαμωτού τάφου νότια του Κύκλου Β των Μυκηνών

Τα καλοκαίρια του 1952 και του 1953 κατά την ανασκαφή του ταφικού Κύκλου Β, ο Ιωάννης Παπαδημητρίου εντόπισε και ανέσκαψε έναν πρώιμο μυκηναϊκό θαλαμωτό τάφο, που παρέμενε αδημοσίευτος έως σήμερα. Η θέση και τα ευρήματα του τάφου διασώζουν στοιχεία για την απόδοση τιμών σε νεκρούς και προγόνους σε διαδοχικές χρονικές περιόδους και με διαφορετικό κάθε φορά περιεχόμενο. Μετά την αναλυτική παρουσίαση της αρχιτεκτονικής και των κτερισμάτων του τάφου, εξετάζονται τα

στοιχεία της ηρωολατρείας, όπως ερμήνευσε ο ανασκαφέας τα πλούσια κατάλοιπα της ύστερης Γεωμετρικής περιόδου μέσα και πάνω από το θάλαμο. Σχολιάζεται επίσης η γειτνίαση των σημαντικών ταφικών μνημείων (Κύκλος Β, θολωτός Κλυταιμνήστρας, θαλαμωτός τάφος) με το ελληνιστικό θέατρο της πόλης, όπου αναβίωναν τα πάθη των Ατρειδών. Τέλος, αποτίεται φόρος τιμής στον ανασκαφέα, τα ημερολόγια του οποίου αποκαλύπτουν τις σκέψεις ενός μεγάλου αρχαιολόγου και τις ανησυχίες ενός καθημερινού ανθρώπου.

18 Angeliki Kossyva. The invisible dead of Delpriza, Kranidi

Despite the wealth of data for habitation in the southern Argolid from very early times, very little is known about the framework of society and its economy during the Early Bronze Age as it can be established through the burials and the behaviour of the community towards the dead.

As regards the Classical period also, the tombs of ordinary people reveal unknown aspects of the organization of small rural communities of the region, the economic potential and the external contacts of the rural population living in the shadow of the urban centres of Hermione and Halieis.

Some aspects of the above-mentioned points were possible to be detected through the finds of the rescue excavation in the Delpriza area, which is only 2.5 km away from the Franchthi cave and about 8 km from Halieis.

Οι αφανείς νεκροί της Δέλπριζας Κρανιδίου

Η αποκάλυψη τμήματος ενός οργανωμένου νεκροταφείου μιας αγροτικής κοινότητας των ύστερων κλασικών-ελληνιστικών χρόνων, στο πλαίσιο σωστικής ανασκαφής που διενεργείται από τη Δ' ΕΠΚΑ στην περιοχή 'Δέλπριζα' Κρανιδίου, συσχετίζεται με την περιορισμένη παρουσία νεκροταφείων στο αρχαιολογικό τοπίο της Αργολίδας. Δύο χιλιόμετρα νότια από το Φράγχθι και 2,5 χλμ. ανατολικά του χωριού της Κοιλιάδας, στην ευρύτερη περιοχή της οποίας τοποθετείται και η αρχαία πόλη Μάση, ανιχνεύουμε μέσα από τις ταφικές πρακτικές την οικονομική επιφάνεια απλών ανθρώπων.

19 Sokratis S. Koursoumis and Anna-Vassiliki Karapanagiotou. Anthropomorphic stele from Levidi, Arcadia: a typological and interpretative study

The anthropomorphic stele of Levidi is one of the earliest colossal statues of Arcadian monumental sculpture, dated in the late 7th – early 6th century BC. Due to its size, shape and monumental character, it is interpreted as a funerary monument, erected on a tomb in the north Orchomenian plain, which probably belonged to Aristokrates, the mythical king of Arcadia punished by death for his sacrilege.

Ανθρωπόμορφη στήλη από το Λεβίδι Αρκαδίας: τυπολογική και ερμηνευτική προσέγγιση

Η ανθρωπόμορφη στήλη του Λεβιδίου αποτελεί ένα από τα πρωιμότερα, κολοσσικά έργα της αρκαδικής μεγάλης πλαστικής, χρονολογούμενη περί τα τέλη του 7^{ου} - αρχές του 6^{ου} αιώνα π.Χ. Το μέγεθος, η μορφή και ο μνημειώδης χαρακτήρας της οδηγούν στην ερμηνεία της ως επιτάφιος μνημείο, ανιδρυμένου στο βόρειο ορχομένιο πεδίο, σε τύμβο ο οποίος πιθανόν ανήκε στον αρκάδα βασιλιά Αριστοκράτη, που τιμωρήθηκε με θάνατο λόγω των ανοοιουρημάτων του.

20 Marioanna Louka. Votive jewellery in the Archaic Peloponnese

Jewellery in the Peloponnese is characterized by various categories, ranging from sumptuous earrings to carefully crafted pins, fibulae and bracelets. These specimens, recovered either from a funerary or a sanctuary/votive context, reveal the local workshops' artistic connections with other important artistic centres of the time on the Greek mainland and the islands, as

well as the impact of earlier traditions. The Peloponnese provides us with a very interesting research field, as these finds prove that the restrictions imposed by the Spartan regime evidently did not apply to all regions, nor to all circumstances in the life of Peloponnesian women – and therefore speak of the multi-levelled character of this centuries-old and ever appealing craft.

Η κοινωνική προέκταση των κτερισματικών και αναθηματικών κοσμημάτων στην αρχαϊκή Πελοπόννησο

Τα κοσμήματα της αρχαϊκής περιόδου από την Πελοπόννησο με την σημαντική τους τυπολογία, από τα πολυτελή ενώτια έως τις περόνες, τις πόρπες και τα ψέλια, αναδεικνύουν τις δημιουργικές σχέσεις των τοπικών εργαστηρίων με άλλα σημαντικά καλλιτεχνικά κέντρα της εποχής στην κυρίως Ελλάδα και τα νησιά, καθώς και με παλαιότερες παραδόσεις. Η Πελοποννησιακή γη αποτελεί ένα ενδιαφέρον πεδίο έρευνας σχετικά με τα ταφικά έθιμα, όπως αυτά εκφράζονται μέσα από τα κοσμήματα που συνόδευαν τις γυναίκες-κατόχους τους στο επέκεινα, αλλά και τα κοσμήματα στην κοινωνική τους διάσταση, όταν αυτά διατηρούνταν στους κόλπους της οικογένειας ως προίκα ή για να ανατεθούν στα μεγάλα ιερά της εποχής, προβάλλοντας έτσι τον πολλαπλό χαρακτήρα αυτής της πανάρχαιας τέχνης που συνεχίζει να μας γοητεύει.

21 Jean-Marc Luce. Iron Age burial customs in the Peloponnese and their place in the funerary geography of the Greek world

As appears from a wide survey of more than 6000 Iron Age graves in the Greek world, burial practices in the Peloponnese are very similar to those in Akarnania, Aitolia and Lokris. Comparison of the map of burial types based on the above with the dialect map affords surprising and unexpected results. The striking difference between the practices in the Peloponnese and those described by Homer should be noted. The role of burials in signalling ethnic identity will also be discussed. Specifically, the question raised here is whether the graves of this period can be interpreted as ‘geo-symbols’ as defined by the geographer Joël Bonnemaison in ‘Voyage autour du patrimoine’ *Espace géographique* 4 (1981) 249-62.

Ταφικά έθιμα της Εποχής του Σιδήρου στην Πελοπόννησο και η θέση τους στην ταφική γεωγραφία του ελληνικού κόσμου

Όπως προκύπτει από μία ευρύτερη έρευνα περισσότερων των 6000 τάφων της Εποχής του Σιδήρου στον Ελλαδικό κόσμο, οι ταφικές πρακτικές στην Πελοπόννησο παρουσιάζουν ομοιότητες με αυτές της Ακαρνανίας, Αιτωλίας και Λοκρίδας. Με βάση τα παραπάνω στοιχεία η σύγκριση στον χάρτη των ταφικών τύπων με το χάρτη των διαλέκτων προσφέρει εκπληκτικά και απροσδόκητα αποτελέσματα. Πρέπει να σημειωθεί η εντυπωσιακή διαφορά μεταξύ των πρακτικών στην Πελοπόννησο και αυτών που περιγράφονται στον Όμηρο. Θα συζητηθεί επίσης ο ρόλος των τάφων στη σηματοδότηση εθνικής ταυτότητας. Συγκεκριμένα, το ερώτημα που τίθεται είναι κατά πόσον οι τάφοι της περιόδου αυτής μπορούν να ερμηνευθούν ως «γεω-σύμβολα», όπως αυτά έχουν οριστεί από το γεωγράφο Joël Bonnemaison στο έργο του «Voyage autour du Patrimoine» στο *Espace géographique* 4 (1981) 249-62.

22 Christina Marabea. The tholos tomb at Kambos, Avia: excavation by Christos Tsountas, 1891

This paper is a short presentation of the Mycenaean tholos tomb at Kambos, Avia (prefecture of Messinia) whose full study and publication has been undertaken by the author. The tomb, investigated by Christos Tsountas in 1891, was found looted; however, it yielded a number of small objects, among them two well-known lead figurines of Minoan character. Of particular importance are the reports and other documents, now deposited in the Archives of the Archaeological Society at Athens, in which Christos Tsountas revealed aspects of his

investigation. Preliminary estimates are put forward for the dating of the monument and historical implications are outlined.

Ο θολωτός τάφος στον Κάμπο Αβίας: η ανασκαφή του Χρήστου Τσουντα, 1891

Το παρόν άρθρο αποτελεί σύντομη παρουσίαση του Μυκηναϊκού θολωτού τάφου στον Κάμπο Αβίας (Νομού Μεσσηνίας), του οποίου την πλήρη μελέτη και δημοσίευση έχει αναλάβει η υπογραφόμενη. Ο τάφος, ο οποίος ερευνήθηκε από τον Χρήστο Τσουντα το 1891, βρέθηκε συλημένος. Ωστόσο, απέδωσε αριθμό μικροαντικειμένων, μεταξύ των οποίων τα δύο γνωστά μολύβδινα ειδώλια Μινωικού τύπου. Ιδιαίτερη σημασία έχουν οι αναφορές και τα άλλα έγγραφα, σήμερα στο Αρχείο της Εν Αθήναις Αρχαιολογικής Εταιρείας, όπου ο Χρήστος Τσουντας αποκαλύπτει πλευρές της έρευνάς του. Δίδονται πρώτες εκτιμήσεις για τη χρονολόγηση του μνημείου και διαγράφονται ιστορικές προεκτάσεις.

23 Eleni Marantou. Ancestor worship and hero cult in the central and southern Peloponnese: the evidence from Pausanias

In antiquity, ancestors and heroes held a special place in people's memory and in their hearts. It was extremely common for funerary monuments of distinguished individuals to be set up in conspicuous places, and frequently cult ceremonies took place to honour them. In his guide, Pausanias describes *inter alia* the funerary monuments which he encounters. The present paper will bring together the many funerary monuments which Pausanias noted in Arcadia, Elis, Messenia and Laconia with the aim of identifying the location of the funerary cults, in order to link them with the history of the region and to understand the reasons behind their existence.

Η προγονολατρεία και ηρωολατρεία στην κεντρική και νότια Πελοπόννησο: η μαρτυρία του Πανσανία

Οι πρόγονοι και οι ήρωες κατείχαν ιδιαίτερη θέση στη μνήμη και την καρδιά των ανθρώπων κατά την αρχαιότητα. Η εγκαθίδρυση ταφικών μνημείων για εξέχουσες προσωπικότητες σε περιβλεπτα σημεία αποτελούσε σύνηθες φαινόμενο, ενώ συχνά αφιερώνονταν θρησκευτικές τελετουργίες προς τιμήν τους. Στην Περιήγησή του ο Πανσανίας περιγράφει, εκτός άλλων, τα ταφικά μνημεία που συναντά. Συγκεντρώνοντας τα στοιχεία για όλα τα ταφικά μνημεία που αναφέρει ο Πανσανίας στους νομούς Αρκαδίας, Ηλείας, Μεσσηνίας και Λακωνίας και εντοπίζοντας τους χώρους ταφικής λατρείας, σκοπός της παρούσας ανακοίνωσης είναι η σύνδεσή τους με την ιστορία της κάθε περιοχής και η κατανόηση των λόγων εγκαθίδρυσής τους.

24 Iro Mathioudaki. Honouring the dead with polychrome pots: the case of Mainland Polychrome pottery in Peloponnesian funerary contexts (an interpretative approach)

The subject of this paper concerns the fine pottery type of the early Late Helladic period, known as 'Mainland Polychrome', well represented in the Shaft Graves at Mycenae and sometimes decorated with pictorial motives such as birds and griffins. The pottery has specific character and function, being elaborate and technologically advanced; it carries a certain aesthetic value. The example provides an appropriate case-study for the application of theoretical models developed and tested by Material Culture Studies (i.e. aesthetics, object biographies), also taking methodological issues into consideration. Pottery with such inherent qualitative properties becomes the material manifestation of concepts developed and expressed by "communities of the dead" of a certain spatio-temporal context.

Τιμώντας τους νεκρούς με πολύχρωμα αγγεία: η περίπτωση της ηπειρωτικής πολύχρωμης κεραμικής στα ταφικά σύνολα της Πελοποννήσου (ερμηνευτική προσέγγιση)

Το θέμα μου είναι η λεπτή κεραμική της Πρώιμης Ύστεροελλαδικής περιόδου, γνωστή ως «ηπειρωτική πολύχρωμη κεραμική», η οποία εκπροσωπείται στους Κάθετους Λακκοειδείς Τάφους των Μυκηνών και είναι συχνά διακοσμημένη με εικονιστικά μοτίβα όπως πουλιά και γρύπες. Η κεραμική έχει ιδιαίτερο χαρακτήρα και λειτουργία, επεξεργασμένη με ακρίβεια και τεχνολογικά προηγμένη. Φέρει δε μια συγκεκριμένη αισθητική αξία. Αυτό το υλικό προσφέρει την κατάλληλη βάση για την εφαρμογή των θεωρητικών μοντέλων που αναπτύσσονται και δοκιμάζονται σε μελέτες υλικού πολιτισμού (π.χ. αισθητική, βιογραφίες αντικειμένων). Μεθοδολογικά ζητήματα θα ληφθούν επίσης υπ' όψη. Η κεραμική αυτού του είδους που διαθέτει τέτοιες ποιοτικές και εγγενείς ιδιότητες, γίνεται η υλική εκδήλωση εννοιών που αναπτύσσονται και εκφράζονται από την «κοινότητα των νεκρών» σε ένα συγκεκριμένο χωροχρονικό πλαίσιο.

25 Sotiris Lambropoulos, Panagiotis Moutzouridis and Kostas Nikolentzos. Hybrid burial monuments of the Late Bronze Age in two recently excavated sites in Elis (Strephi and Arvaniti)

This paper reviews the conclusions of archaeological research on two new sites of the Late Bronze Age, in the municipal prefectures of Strephi and Arvanitis (prefecture of Elis). The sites present particular interest, because of the diversity of burial architecture (co-existence of chamber tombs, burial pits and niches). In addition, burial customs, social stratification, anthropological data and possible interregional contacts are also being studied.

Υβριδικά ταφικά μνημεία της Ύστερης Εποχής του Χαλκού σε δύο νέες θέσεις του νομού Ηλείας (Στρεφι και Αρβανίτη)

Η ανακοίνωση πραγματεύεται τα ανασκαφικά πορίσματα της έρευνας σε δύο νέες θέσεις της Ύστερης Εποχής του Χαλκού, στα Δ.Δ. Στρεφίου και Αρβανίτη. Οι θέσεις παρουσιάζουν ιδιαίτερο ενδιαφέρον ως προς την ποικιλομορφία της αρχιτεκτονικής των ταφικών μνημείων. Σε δεύτερο επίπεδο, εξετάζονται έθιμα ταφής, η κοινωνική διαστρωμάτωση, ανθρωπολογικά δεδομένα και πιθανές επαφές με άλλες περιοχές.

26 Nikolas Papadimitriou. "Passing away" or "passing through"? Changing funerary attitudes in the Peloponnese at the MBA/LBA transition

This paper examines the formation and the possible symbolic meaning of the *dromos-stomion-chamber* layout in Mycenaean tombs. This distinctive arrangement was the outcome of a gradual restructuring of the funerary space associated with the adoption of multiple burial and the need for a permanent access to the tomb. The form of access originated in the tumulus tradition, went through an experimental stage and crystallized in the dromoi of LH IIA tombs. It is argued that the observed changes in funerary attitudes were *not* motivated by competition among 'powerful elites'; rather, they reflect an increasing sophistication in ritual performance and symbolic representation, resulting from a widespread renegotiation of social identities in the MH/LH transition.

"Πεθαίνοντας" ή "μεταβαίνοντας"; Η αλλαγή ταφικής νοοτροπίας στην Πελοπόννησο κατά τη μετάβαση από τη Μέση στην Ύστερη Εποχή του Χαλκού

Το άρθρο εξετάζει την διαδικασία διαμόρφωσης της χαρακτηριστικής διάταξης των μυκηναϊκών τάφων σε δρόμο, στόμιο και θάλαμο, και την πιθανή συμβολική σημασία της. Καταδεικνύεται ότι η διάταξη αυτή προέκυψε σταδιακά ως αποτέλεσμα της υιοθέτησης του εθίμου της οικογενειακής ταφής και της ανάγκης διαρκούς πρόσβασης στον τάφο. Το είδος της πρόσβασης αυτής άρχισε να διαμορφώνεται στους ΜΕ τύμβους, πέρασε από ένα στάδιο πειραματισμού και αποκρυσταλλώθηκε κατά την ΥΕ ΙΙΑ περίοδο. Προτείνεται ότι οι παρατηρούμενες αλλαγές στα ταφικά έθιμα δεν οφείλονται σε κοινωνικούς ανταγωνισμούς μεταξύ «ισχυρών ελίτ» αλλά αντανακλούν μια ευρύτερη

αναδιαπραγμάτευση των κοινωνικών ταυτοτήτων κατά την μεταβατική ΜΕ/ΥΕ περίοδο, η οποία οδήγησε στην υιοθέτηση συνθετότερων τελετουργιών και μορφών συμβολικής αναπαράστασης.

27 Metaxia Papapostolou. 'Honourable death': the honours paid in ancient Sparta to dead war-heroes and mothers dying in child-birth

In accordance with a levelling tendency in Spartan life, its burial and funerary customs were simple and austere. Offerings were not allowed at Spartan funerals. The Spartans observed a law in their code concerning burial, under which, according to Pausanias, it was not permitted to inscribe the name of the deceased over their graves, with the exceptions only of men who died in battle and women who died in child-birth. Modern historians have speculated that only through dying in child-birth could a Spartan woman earn the distinction of escaping anonymity. Sparta has been distinguished as the city which advocated the ideal of a 'good death' for a citizen who died in the front line, a death which Tyrtaios praises in his poetry. Certainly the female version of the 'good death' did not extend beyond the boundaries of Lacedaemon. Consequently, the high esteem held for death in child-birth must be explained strictly within the bounds of Sparta. In this paper reference will be made in turn to the honours which the Spartans paid to those who died in battle and at the same time we shall examine which women could gain the privilege of such honours. In other words, were death in battle and death in child-birth held in equal esteem?

Ο 'τιμημένος θάνατος': οι τιμές στον νεκρό ήρωα της μάχης και στη γυναίκα λεχώνα στην αρχαία Σπάρτη

Ανάλογες με την ομοιομορφία της Σπαρτιατικής ζωής ήταν και οι επικήδειες και ταφικές τελετές, λιτές και αυστηρές. Στις Σπαρτιατικές κηδείες δεν επιτρέπονταν κτερίσματα. Οι Σπαρτιάτες υπάκουαν σε μια επιταγή της νομοθεσίας τους για την ταφή, δυνάμει της οποίας, σύμφωνα με τον Πλούταρχο, δεν επιτρέπεται να αναγράφονται πάνω στους τάφους τα ονόματα των νεκρών, εκτός εάν επρόκειτο για άνδρες που έπεσαν στον πόλεμο και γυναίκες που πέθαναν στον τοκετό. Οι σύγχρονοι ιστορικοί έχουν θεωρήσει ότι μόνο το γεγονός του θανάτου στον τοκετό θα μπορούσε να δώσει σε μια Σπαρτιάτισσα το δικαίωμα να ξεφύγει από την ανωνυμία. Η Σπάρτη θεωρούνταν η πόλη που είχε επινοήσει το ιδανικό του 'καλού θανάτου' για τον πολίτη που έπεσε στην πρώτη γραμμή, του θανάτου που εξυμνεί ο Τυρταίος. Βέβαια, η γυνακεία εκδοχή του 'καλού θανάτου' δεν περνά καθόλου έξω από τα σύνορα της Λακεδαίμονος. Έτσι, η υπερεκτίμηση θανάτου κατά τον τοκετό πρέπει να εξηγηθεί με όρους καθαρά Σπαρτιατικούς. Στην εισήγησή μας θα αναφερθούμε διεξοδικά στις τιμές που απέδιδαν οι Σπαρτιάτες στους νεκρούς της μάχης και παράλληλα θα εξετάσουμε ποιες ήταν οι γυναίκες που μπορούσαν να κερδίσουν το προνόμιο αυτών των τιμών. 'Αραγε ήταν ισοδύναμος ο θάνατος στη μάχη και ο θάνατος στον τοκετό;

28 Lena Papazoglou-Manioudaki. Dishonouring the dead: the plundering of tholos tombs in the Early Palatial period and the case of the tholos tomb at Mygdalia hill (Petroto) in Achaea

The tholos tombs in Achaea, at the Mygdalia hill, Kallithea and Pharai were built in LH II and their main use came to an abrupt end in LH IIIA. This applies to most of the tholos tombs excavated at the non-palatial centres on the Greek mainland. The plundering of the interior of the tholos is usually violent, maybe deliberate, and their later use as ossuaries and for unfurnished burials does not constitute a proper reuse. The destruction of early Mycenaean settlements at the same period adds to the picture of the disturbances at the transition to the Mycenaean palatial period.

Ατιμάζοντας τους νεκρούς. Η λεηλασία των θολωτών τάφων στην Πρώιμη Ανακτορική περίοδο και η περίπτωση του θολωτού τάφου στο λόφο της Μυγδαλιάς (Πετρωτό) στην Αχαΐα

Οι θολωτοί τάφοι της Αχαΐας στο λόφο Μυγδαλιά, Καλλιθέα και Φαραί είναι κτισμένοι στην ΥΕ ΙΙ και η κύρια χρήση τους τερματίζεται αιφνίδια στην ΥΕ ΙΙΙΑ. Αυτό ισχύει για τους περισσότερους θολωτούς τάφους που ανασκάφηκαν σε μη ανακτορικά κέντρα στην Πελοπόννησο. Η λεηλασία του εσωτερικού του τάφου είναι συνήθως βίαια και η μετέπειτα χρήση τους ως οστεοθηκών και ως ακτέριστων τάφων δεν συνιστά πρόβλημα επαναχρησιμοποίησή τους. Η πρόωρη καταστροφή των μυκηναϊκών οικισμών κατά την ίδια περίοδο, η οποία βεβαιώνεται επίσης στο Αίγιο στην Αχαΐα, έρχεται να προσθέσει στην εικόνα των διαταραχών που σηματοδοτούν την έναρξη της περιόδου αυτής.

29 Annalisa Paradiso. Did Herodotus ever see the list of the Three Hundred?

“In that travail - says Herodotus - fell Leonidas, fighting most gallantly, and with him other famous Spartans, whose names I have learnt for their great worth and desert, as I have learnt besides the names of all the three hundred” [Hdt.7.224]. In this emphatic and very dense sentence, Herodotus expresses his judgement about Leonidas, when he tells us of his death, and about the warriors who died with him. Leonidas was *aristos*; to a lesser degree, the others were *onomastoi*. He claims to have gathered information about these *axioi* men, about all the Three Hundred. The emphasis here covers a logical contraction of his thought: he declares that he has gained information; however this information apparently concerns only their names and even all the names, not their deeds. Herodotus perhaps knows all these warriors’ names, but evidently he does not quote them all. On the other hand, he evidently knows something else, not simply their names but also the last exploits of some of them, the positive or negative last deeds or sayings of six of them: Dienekes, Alpheios, Maron, Euritos, Aristodemos and Pantites. Where did Herodotus derive his information from? An official list or oral sources? Did he see the official list inscribed on the stele and described by Pausanias 3.14? Can we deduce anything from the very form of Herodotus’ declaration?

Είδε άραγε ποτέ ο Ηρόδοτος τον κατάλογο των Τριακοσίων;

«και Λεωνίδης τε εν τούτω τῷ πόνῳ πίπτει ἀνὴρ γενόμενος ἄριστος καὶ ἕτεροι μετ’ αὐτοῦ ὀνομαστοὶ Σπαρτιητέων, τῶν ἐγὼ ὡς ἀνδρῶν ἀξίων γενομένων ἐπυθόμην τὰ οὐνόματα, ἐπυθόμην δὲ καὶ ἀπάντων τῶν τριηκοσίων» (Ηροδ. 7.224). Σε αυτή την δυνατή και πολύ δραματική φράση, ο Ηρόδοτος εκφράζει την εκτίμηση του για τον Λεωνίδα, όταν μας εξιστορεί το θάνατό του, και για τους πολεμιστές που έχασαν τη ζωή τους μαζί του. Ο Λεωνίδας ήταν *άριστος*. Σε μικρότερο βαθμό, οι υπόλοιποι ήταν *ονομαστοί*. Ο ίδιος ισχυρίζεται ότι έχει συγκεντρώσει πληροφορίες σχετικά με αυτούς τους *άξιους* άνδρες, σχετικά με όλους τους Τριακοσίους. Η έμφαση εδώ καλύπτει ένα λογικό κενό στη σκέψη του: δηλώνει ότι έχει συγκεντρώσει πληροφορίες, ωστόσο αυτές φαίνεται να αφορούν μόνο τα ονόματά τους, ακόμη και όλα τα ονόματα, όχι όμως και τα ανδραγαθήματά τους. Ο Ηρόδοτος ίσως γνωρίζει τα ονόματα όλων των πολεμιστών, αλλά δεν τα παραθέτει όλα. Αντιθέτως γνωρίζει, προφανώς, όχι απλά τα ονόματά τους, αλλά και τα τελευταία κατορθώματα κάποιων, τις τελευταίες θετικές ή αρνητικές πράξεις ή ρήσεις των έξι εξ αυτών: των Διηνέκη, Αλφειού, Μάρωνος, Εύριτου, Αριστόδημου και Παντίτη. Από πού άντλησε ο Ηρόδοτος τις πληροφορίες αυτές; Από επίσημο κατάλογο ή από προφορικές πηγές; Μήπως είδε τον επίσημο κατάλογο που αναγραφόταν στη στήλη και περιγράφεται από τον Πausανία (3.14); Μπορούμε να φτάσουμε σε συμπεράσματα από την ίδια τη μορφή της δήλωσης του Ηροδότου;

30 George C. Paraskeviotis. Agamemnon’s death in Seneca

Agamemnon was a mythical figure of high importance in the ancient world, whose life and death inspired several works in Greek and Roman literature. The main aim of this paper is to

offer a detailed examination of the way in which Seneca uses and manipulates Agamemnon's death in his tragedy *Agamemnon*. A parallel reading with earlier Greek literary treatments of the same incident will enable us, through close examination of the similarities and differences, to find out its literary role and function in the Roman tragic play.

Ο Θάνατος του Αγαμέμνονα στον Σενέκα

Ο Αγαμέμνονας, του οποίου η ζωή και ο θάνατος αποτέλεσε πηγή έμπνευσης για σημαντικούς εκπροσώπους της αρχαίας ελληνικής και ρωμαϊκής λογοτεχνίας, υπήρξε προεξάρχουσα μυθική μορφή της αρχαιότητας. Στην παρούσα ανακοίνωση επιχειρώ να εξετάσω τον τρόπο με τον οποίο ο Σενέκας χειρίζεται το θάνατο του Αγαμέμνονα στην τραγωδία *Agamemnon*. Σύγκριση και παράλληλη ανάγνωση με αντίστοιχες περιγραφές όπως αυτές αντλούνται από την προγενέστερη λογοτεχνική παράδοση (ελληνική και ρωμαϊκή) μας επιτρέπει να εντοπίσουμε ομοιότητες και διαφορές γύρω από την περιγραφή του θανάτου του ήρωα, και έτσι να κρίνουμε πιο ολοκληρωμένα τη δραματική τεχνική του Σενέκα, αλλά και τον ιδιαίτερο χειρισμό της έννοιας του θανάτου στις τραγωδίες του.

31 Nicolette Pavlides. Worshipping heroes: civic identity and the veneration of the communal dead in Archaic Sparta

This paper examines the role of hero cult in the creation of communal identity in Archaic Sparta. Through the analysis of the archaeological evidence it treats the gradual development of a Spartan community identity between the 7th and 5th centuries BC. This paper argues that heroic cult both influenced and was influenced by the communal consciousness arising at this time. In particular, it contends that the area of Limnai, rich in Geometric burials, became a focus of hero cult from the 7th century onwards. The cult site on Stauffert Street offers a paradigmatic example of this phenomenon.

Η λατρεία τοπικών ηρώων: η εκ νέου ανακάλυψη της ταφής και η ταυτότητα στη Σπάρτη

Η ανακοίνωση αυτή θα εξετάσει τους χώρους ταφής της Ύστερης Γεωμετρικής / πρώιμης Αρχαϊκής εποχής στη Σπάρτη, όπου εμφανίζεται η μεταθανάτιος λατρεία των νεκρών από την Αρχαϊκή στην Ελληνιστική Περίοδο. Θα επικεντρωθώ σε τρεις θέσεις: στο «Ηρώον» κοντά στον ποταμό Ευρώτα, και στα οικοδομικά τετράγωνα 98 και 101 της σύγχρονης πόλης της Σπάρτης. Η λατρεία των νεκρών ως ηρώων μέσα στον οικισμό της Σπάρτης αντιπροσωπεύει μία τοπικιστική μορφή σεβασμού με αφιερωματικές προσφορές όμοιες με αυτές προς τιμήν ηρώων όπως του Αγαμέμνονα και της Αλεξάνδρας-Κασσάνδρας στις Αμύνκες. Προτείνω, λοιπόν, ότι η εκ νέου ανακάλυψη των ταφών από την Αρχαϊκή περίοδο και εξής, συνέβαλε σε μια τοπική Σπαρτιατική ταυτότητα που εκφράστηκε μέσα από τη λατρεία «μικρότερων» ηρώων.

32 Leonidas Petrakis. A child's remembrance of living through the Nazi atrocity against the '118 Spartans' in autumn 1943

I remember the Germans bombing the retreating British in 1941 and then entering Sparta on the main Tripolis-to-Sparta road, just two blocks from my home. Shame felt by the occupation, starvation, and deprivations culminated in the taking (with help from local collaborators) of over a hundred hostages in the autumn of 1943, and transporting them on open trucks; how the horrible news spread of the execution of 118 of them at Monodentri; seeing the blood-splattered bodies passing underneath my window on Hosios Nikon Street on their way to the cemetery; their burial directly across from my grandmother's grave. I remember Sparta gripped by fear, when I spent a week during the summer of 1946 next to the Monodentri killing field where distant relatives kept their flocks; and fear of the junta in 1970 when I stopped at the neglected Monodentri monument to leave some flowers.

Οι αναμνήσεις ενός παιδιού που έζησε την ωμότητα των Ναζί κατά των '118 Σπαρτιατών' το φθινόπωρο του 1943

Θυμάμαι τους Γερμανούς να βομβαρδίζουν τους Βρετανούς ενώ οπισθοχωρούσαν το 1941 και μετά να εισέρχονται στη Σπάρτη από τον κεντρικό δρόμο Τρίπολης-Σπάρτης, μόλις δύο τετράγωνα από το σπίτι μου. Η ντροπή της Κατοχής, η πείνα και οι στερήσεις έφτασαν στο αποκορύφωμά τους με τη σύλληψη –με τη βοήθεια ντοπίων δωσίλογων– πάνω από εκατό αιχμαλώτων το 1943. Θυμάμαι να τους μεταφέρουν σε ανοικτά φορτηγά. Να διαδίδονται τα φρικτά νέα της εκτελέσεως 118 εξ αυτών στο Μονοδέντρι. Είδα να μεταφέρουν τα αιματοβαμμένα κορμιά κάτω από το παράθυρό μου στην οδό Οσίου Νίκωνος καθ' οδόν προς το νεκροταφείο. Θυμάμαι την ταφή τους ακριβώς απέναντι από το μνήμα της γιαγιάς μου. Θυμάμαι τη Σπάρτη τρομοκρατούμενη καθώς πέρασα μία εβδομάδα το καλοκαίρι του 1946 στο Μονοδέντρι δίπλα στο πεδίο εκτελέσεως, όπου μακρινοί συγγενείς είχαν τα κοπάδια τους. Και θυμάμαι το φόβο για τη χούντα το 1970 όταν σταμάτησα στο εγκαταλελειμένο πια μνημείο στο Μονοδέντρι για να αφήσω λουλούδια.

33 Angeliki Petropoulou. The Spartan royal funeral in comparative perspective

The paper examines the religious nature and political significance of the honours granted to Spartan kings on their death, which are then compared with similar Achaemenid practices. We argue that all customs concerning compulsory mourning are exceptions in the context of Classical Sparta, as are the *ekphora* and burial of a king's image, a rite necessitated by the fact that Leonidas' body had been left exposed on the battlefield, rather than being repatriated and buried in Sparta. We then show that, although parallels between Spartan and Achaemenid compulsory mourning exist, the differences between the two are more significant than the similarities.

Η σπαρτιάτικη βασιλική ταφή μέσω συγκριτικής προοπτικής

Προτείνω να συγκριθούν οι Σπαρτιατικές βασιλικές ταφές στα χωρία 6.58 του Ηροδότου, Λακ. 15.9 του Ξενοφώντα και Αγης. 40.3 του Πλουτάρχου με αντίστοιχες επιβεβαιωμένες πρακτικές που παρατηρούνται σε σχέση με ταφές Αχαιμενιδών και ομηρικών βασιλέων ή μελών της βασιλικής οικογένειας. Θα υποστηριχθεί ότι: 1) η ανακήρυξη και επιβολή θρήνου για όλους τους υπηκόους του βασιλιά και η μεταφορά του σώματός του στην πατρική γη για ταφή ήταν σπαρτιατικές, περσικές και ομηρικές πρακτικές, και 2) οι Πέρσες είναι ανάμεσα στους ανώνυμους βαρβάρους της Ασίας που αναφέρει ο Ηρόδοτος ότι μοιράζονταν - μαζί με τους Σπαρτιάτες - το έθιμο του υποχρεωτικού θρήνου.

34 Eleni Psychogiou. Mycenaean and modern rituals of death and resurrection: comparative data based on a krater from Hagia Triada, Elis

The funeral representation on a Mycenaean krater from Palioboukovina in Elis contains certain obscure, ambiguous elements, which make its interpretation difficult. This paper attempts a new approach to the scene depicted, based on comparisons with modern magico-religious practices regarding death and resurrection, which form crucial stages of the symbolic cycle of time and vegetation. In conclusion it is suggested that the depiction on the krater may not be a funeral scene but the pictorial representation of some seasonal ritual of mourning for the death and rebirth of the god of vegetation, bed-fellow of the Great Mother-Goddess.

Τιμώντας τους μεταφυσικούς 'νεκρούς': αρχαίες και σύγχρονες θρησκευτικές τελετουργίες αναγέννησης με αφετηρία μία παράσταση σε μυκηναϊκό κρατήρα

Στα τέλη της δεκαετίας του 1990 αποκαλύφθηκε μυκηναϊκό νεκροταφείο στην Αγία Τριάδα του νομού Ηλείας, στη θέση «Παλιομπουκουβίνα». Στο δρόμο ενός από τους θαλαμωτούς τάφους βρέθηκε κεραμικό όστρακο με παράσταση πρόθεσης νεκρού και

γυναικείου θρήνου, η αρχαιότερη στο είδος της μέχρι σήμερα. Κατά τους ανασκαφείς η παράσταση αφορά τη νεκρική τελετουργία για κάποιο μέλος της κοινότητας στην οποία ανήκε το νεκροταφείο. Παρατηρώντας τις λεπτομέρειες της παράστασης και συγκρίνοντάς την με σύγχρονα ελληνικά λατρευτικά δρώμενα για «θνήσκουσες» χθόνιες θεότητες ή βλαστικές δαιμονικές μορφές, θα υποστηρίξω ότι πιθανόν και η μυκηναϊκή νεκρική παράσταση να μην έχει κοσμικό αλλά θρησκευτικό χαρακτήρα.

35 James Roy. Anyte of Tegea and the other dead

The brief epigram in verse is found from the archaic period onwards, originally most often on inscriptions recording the dead on a tombstone or a dedication to a god. From the early Hellenistic period the use of the epigram was expanded to cover a much wider range of subjects. In this process of adaptation the sophisticated work of the Tegean poetess Anyte made a significant and original contribution, which included funerary epigrams for young unmarried women but extended also to epigrams for animals.

Η Ανύτη της Τεγέας και οι άλλοι νεκροί

Το σύντομο επίγραμμα σε στίχους που εμφανίζεται από την αρχαϊκή περίοδο και εξής, απαντά αρχικά συχνότερα σε επιγραφές επιτύμβιων στηλών ή προσφοράς σε θεότητα. Από την πρώιμη Ελληνιστική περίοδο η χρήση του επιγράμματος επεκτάθηκε ώστε να καλύψει μεγαλύτερο εύρος θεμάτων. Σε αυτή τη διαδικασία αναπροσαρμογής, το εκλεπτυσμένο έργο της Τεγεάτισσας ποιήτριας Ανύτης απετέλεσε μία σημαντική και αυθεντική συνεισφορά, η οποία περιελάμβανε ταφικά επιγράμματα για νεαρές ανύπαντρες γυναίκες, ενώ επεκτάθηκε και σε επιγράμματα για ζώα.

36 Yanis Saitas. Cemeteries and settlements of Mani in medieval and later periods: a second contribution

This paper presents some aspects of an ongoing research documenting the network of settlements and the corresponding cemeteries in the peninsula of Mani. Examples dating from the middle ages are presented, but mainly post-Byzantine and modern cases are discussed. Regional distribution, inner organization and the evolution of the cemeteries over time are examined. The typology of the memorial structures of successive periods is under documentation. The correspondence with the local community, patrilocal lineages and the social status of the family of the dead is analyzed. The first contribution was presented in the International Conference "Sparta and Laconia from prehistory to pre-modern", Sparta 17-20 March 2005.

Κοιμητήρια και οικισμοί στη Μάνη στους μέσους και νεότερους χρόνους. Συμβολή δεύτερη.

Η ανακοίνωση αυτή παρουσιάζει ορισμένα στοιχεία μίας έρευνας σε εξέλιξη, με αντικείμενο την τεκμηρίωση του δικτύου των οικισμών και των αντίστοιχων κοιμητηρίων στη χερσόνησο της Μάνης. Περιλαμβάνονται παραδείγματα από τους μέσους χρόνους, κυρίως όμως από τους μεταβυζαντινούς και νεότερους χρόνους. Εξετάζονται ζητήματα της χωροταξικής τους κατανομής, της εσωτερικής τους οργάνωσης, της διαχρονικής τους εξέλιξης. Παρουσιάζονται στοιχεία σχετικά με την τυπολογία των ταφικών κατασκευών των διαφόρων περιόδων. Συσχετίζονται με τις αντίστοιχες τοπικές κοινότητες, τις πατροτοπικές ομάδες και την κοινωνική κατάταξη της οικογένειας των νεκρών. Η πρώτη σχετική ανακοίνωση είχε πραγματοποιηθεί στο Διεθνές Συνέδριο «Η Σπάρτη και η Λακωνία από τα προϊστορικά μέχρι τα νεότερα χρόνια», Σπάρτη 17-20 Μαρτίου 2005.

37 Nicholas Sekunda. IG V.1 1124. The dead of Geronthrai fallen at Mantinea

IG V.1 1124 from Geronthrai informs us that one Eualkes fell in the war at Mantinea. Since its first publication this inscription has been associated with the first battle of Mantinea in 418.

This causes problems as the perioikic contingents had not yet been incorporated into the Lakedaimonian army as a whole, and were absent from the battle. In this paper I shall propose that the inscription should be down-dated to 385, when the Lakedaimonian army, now incorporating the perioikoi, forcibly intervened to prevent the synoikism of Mantinea. Epigraphic parallels for the letter-forms will be offered.

IG. V.1 1124. Ο νεκρός των Γερονθρών στη Μαντίνεια

Η επιγραφή IG. V.1 1124 από τις Γερονθρές μας πληροφορεί πως κάποιος Ευάλκης έπεσε κατά τον πόλεμο στη Μαντίνεια. Από την πρώτη δημοσίευση η επιγραφή συσχετίστηκε με την πρώτη μάχη της Μαντίνειας το 418. Ο συσχετισμός αυτός είναι προβληματικός καθώς τα στρατιωτικά τμήματα των περιοίκων δεν είχαν ακόμη εξ ολοκλήρου ενσωματωθεί στο στρατό των Λακεδαιμονίων, και απουσίαζαν από τη μάχη. Σε αυτή την ανακοίνωση εισηγούμαι πως η επιγραφή πρέπει να χρονολογηθεί στο 385 όταν ο στρατός των Λακεδαιμονίων, την εποχή που ενέταξε τους περιοίκους, παρενέβη δυναμικά με σκοπό να εμποδίσει τον συνοικισμό της Μαντίνειας. Θα παρατεθούν επιγραφικά παράλληλα για τη μορφή των γραμμάτων.

38 C. Nadia Seremetakis. Antiphony, ritual and the construction of truth

Based on my published ethnography on the Inner Mani, I will discuss the local way of “honouring the dead” and I will explore the implications of the model of antiphony in today’s society as well as in cultural studies.

Αντιφώνηση, τελετουργία και η κατασκευή της αλήθειας

Βασίζομενη στην εθνογραφία μου για τη Μέσα Μάνη, θα συζητήσω τον τοπικό τρόπο απόδοσης «τιμών για τους νεκρούς» και θα ερευνήσω τις επιπτώσεις του μοντέλου της αντιφώνησης στη σημερινή κοινωνία καθώς και στις πολιτισμικές σπουδές.

39 Naya Sgouritsa. Remarks on the use of plaster in tholos tombs at Mycenae: hypotheses on the origin of the painted decoration of tombs in mainland Greece

The existing evidence indicates that plaster was originally used in the joints of the masonry of the dromos, façade and doorway of a few tholoi at Mycenae, especially in the constructions made of poros blocks, for the purpose of waterproofing. Besides the structural requirements, plaster was also used for decorative reasons. Tomb decoration, which is of several types, appears rarely. The plastered (simple or coloured) and frescoed LH tholoi and chamber tombs were located mainly in the Argolid and Boeotia. The decoration involved mostly the façade, sometimes the doorway and, in only a few cases, the chamber. This practise, though limited, could well have evolved as a special Helladic idea, arising from the need for ostentation and claim for status, as there are no Minoan prototypes. Undoubtedly, they belonged to the ruling class and the elite of the above regions.

Παρατηρήσεις για τη χρήση ασβεστοκονιάματος σε θολωτούς τάφους των Μυκηνών: υποθέσεις για την απαρχή της ταφικής διακόσμησης στην ηπειρωτική Ελλάδα

Από τις υπάρχουσες ενδείξεις προκύπτει ότι το ασβεστοκονίαμα χρησιμοποιείτο αρχικά σε τάφους για την αδιαβροχοποίηση κατασκευών κυρίως από πωρόλιθους, όπως διαπιστώνεται στους θολωτούς τάφους της μεσαίας ομάδας κατά Wace στις Μυκήνες. Εν τούτοις, φαίνεται ότι παράλληλα εξυπηρετούσε και την αισθητική εικόνα τους. Η ταφική διακόσμηση οπωσδήποτε περιορισμένη, εντοπίζεται κυρίως στην Αργολίδα, ενώ τέτοια παραδείγματα ανευρίσκονται επίσης στη Βοιωτία. Η ιδέα της διακόσμησης αυτής, που εμφανίζει τρεις τύπους, φαίνεται ότι είναι ελλαδικής προέλευσης, καθώς δεν υπάρχουν, προς το παρόν, μινωικά πρότυπα, και αναμφίβολα προερχόταν από την

ανάγκη επίδειξης κάποιων που θα ανήκαν στην άρχουσα τάξη των περιοχών όπου βρέθηκαν.

40 Georgios Steiris. Exemplary deaths in the Peloponnese: Plutarch's study of death and its revision by Georgius Trapezuntius Cretensis

This paper seeks first to explore the way Plutarch of Chaeronea (46-119 AD), the eminent historian and philosopher of Middle Platonism, discussed exemplary deaths in the Peloponnese in his *Moralia*. Plutarch's references are indicative of his theory on death, which is based on Greek philosophy. Secondly, this paper aims to present the attempt by Georgius Trapezuntius Cretensis, the Greek scholar of the 15th century, to reinterpret Plutarch's views about exemplary deaths in the Peloponnese.

Υποδειγματικός θάνατος στην Πελοπόννησο

Αυτή η παρουσίαση στοχεύει, κατ' αρχήν, στην διερεύνηση του τρόπου με τον οποίο ο Πλούταρχος, ο διακεκριμένος αυτός ιστορικός και φιλόσοφος της Μέσης Πλατωνικής, πραγματεύτηκε στα *Ηθικά* τους υποδειγματικούς θανάτους στην Πελοπόννησο. Οι αναφορές του Πλούταρχου είναι ενδεικτικές της θεωρίας του για το θάνατο, η οποία βασίζεται στην πλατωνική και στωική φιλοσοφία. Δεύτερον, αυτή η ανακοίνωση έχει ως στόχο να παρουσιάσει την προσπάθεια του Γεώργιου Τραπεζούντιου, ενός Έλληνα λογίου του 15ου αιώνα που έζησε τα περισσότερα χρόνια της ζωής του στην Ιταλία, να επανερμηνεύσει τις απόψεις του Πλουτάρχου σχετικά με τους υποδειγματικούς θανάτους στην Πελοπόννησο.

41 Anthi Theodorou-Mavrommatidi. A composite pendant in an EH I burial at the Apollon Maleatas site in Epidauros: an attempt at a biography

Accompanying the EH I burial of a young woman from the precinct of the sanctuary of Apollon Maleatas at Epidauros a necklace with a pendant was found. It is composed of an ovoid plaque of schist, fish teeth attached to its surface and two shell-fragments which framed the plaque on either side. This find raises a series of new research questions, from the most straightforward and practical, such as the use and source of the fish bones, and the technique of its construction, to the more complex, such as its significance as jewellery for the dead and its aesthetic value in the context of Early Helladic culture.

Σύνθετο περίαπτο από Πρωτοελλαδική I ταφή στο χώρο του Ιερού του Απόλλωνα Μαλεάτα στην Επίδαυρο: μία απόπειρα βιογραφίας

Σε ΠΕ I ταφή νεαρής γυναίκας από το χώρο του Ιερού του Απόλλωνα Μαλεάτα στην Επίδαυρο ήρθε στο φως περίαπτο κόσμημα. Πρόκειται για σύνθεση αποτελούμενη από ωσειδές πλακίδιο από σχιστόλιθο, δόντια ιχθύων προσαρμοσμένα στην επιφάνειά του και δυο θραύσματα οστρέων που πλαισίωναν συμμετρικά το πλακίδιο. Το εύρημα εγείρει πληθώρα νέων ζητημάτων προς έρευνα, από τα πιο απλά και πρακτικά, όπως τη χρήση και την προέλευση των οδόντων ιχθύων, και την τεχνική κατασκευής του, ως τα πιο περίπλοκα όπως τη σημασία του ως ταφικό κόσμημα και την αισθητική του αξία στο πλαίσιο του Πρωτοελλαδικού πολιτισμού.

42 Erika Weiberg. The invisible dead. The case of the Argolid and Corinthia during the Early Bronze Age

The possibility of archaeologists finding the dead of any society is ultimately dependent on the way in which groups of people in different cultures and times chose to handle the dead of their communities. For the Argolid and Corinthia during the Early Bronze Age, the mortuary record is very limited. How are we to interpret our failure to locate these Early Helladic dead? This paper sets out to analyse this problem through a consideration of the existing material and comparative Early Helladic data in the search of the missing majority and the meaning of the present few.

Οι αόρατοι νεκροί. Η περίπτωση της Αργολίδας και της Κορινθίας κατά την Πρώιμη Εποχή του Χαλκού

Οι πιθανότητες των αρχαιολόγων να ανακαλύψουν τους νεκρούς οποιασδήποτε κοινωνίας εξαρτώνται εν τέλει από τον τρόπο με τον οποίο επέλεξαν να χειριστούν τους νεκρούς τους οι διάφορες ομάδες ανθρώπων διαφορετικών πολιτισμών και εποχών. Τα στοιχεία που αφορούν στα νεκροταφεία της Αργολίδας και της Κορινθίας της Πρώιμης Εποχής του Χαλκού είναι ισχνά. Πώς μπορούμε να ερμηνεύσουμε την αποτυχία μας να εντοπίσουμε τους νεκρούς της Πρώιμης Ελλαδικής Εποχής; Προτείνω να αναλύσουμε το πρόβλημα, το διαθέσιμο υλικό και τα συγκριτικά ΠΕ στοιχεία στην αναζήτηση της χαμένης πλειοψηφίας των νεκρών και της σημασίας των λιγοστών νεκρών που έχουν βρεθεί.

43 Theodora Zampaki. The burial customs for Alexander the Great in Arabic historiography and the Alexander Romance

This paper aims at presenting a description and discussion of the burial customs as well as the ceremony of the funeral of Alexander the Great as presented in the 'Universal histories' of Arab historians and the surviving various versions of the Alexander Romance. The Arabic narratives as well as those of the Alexander Romance demonstrate that the preparation, laying out, mourning and lamenting, as well as the procession to the grave, are the main parts of the funeral rites of Alexander's burial. On the whole, the narratives of the Arab historians reflect the infiltration of Greek ideas, beliefs and symbols into the world of the East. But it is characteristic that the Arab historians emphasize the ethical and moral elements of the various stories of Alexander's death and burial.

Οι τελετές ταφής του Μεγάλου Αλεξάνδρου στην αραβική ιστοριογραφία και το Αλεξανδρινό Μυθιστόρημα

Στόχος της ανακοίνωσης είναι η περιγραφή και συζήτηση των ταφικών εθίμων καθώς και η τελετή της ταφής του Μεγάλου Αλεξάνδρου όπως παρουσιάζεται στις «Παγκόσμιες Ιστορίες» των Αράβων ιστορικών της πρώιμης και μέσης περιόδου της αραβικής ιστοριογραφίας. Η αφήγηση των Αράβων ιστορικών συγκρίνεται με αυτή του ελληνικού Αλεξανδρινού Μυθιστορήματος και των μεταφράσεών του στα συριακά, αιθιοπικά και αρμένικα. Η τελετή για τον Αλέξανδρο συγκρίνεται επίσης με αυτή για τον Δαρείο Γ' - μια τελετή που αναφέρεται πως οργάνωσε ο ίδιος ο Αλέξανδρος. Τα συμπεράσματα που εξάγονται από αυτή την ανάλυση τονίζουν τα εθνογραφικά και τα πολιτικά στοιχεία πίσω από τις περιγραφές των συγγραφέων των πηγών μας.

Preface

The Conference 'Honouring the Dead in the Peloponnese' took place in Sparta 23-25 April 2009. It reflected a wide range of recent academic research in the Arts and Humanities on public and private commemoration. It is with great pleasure that we acknowledge the bodies which contributed so generously to make the conference possible: first and foremost the mayor and council of the city of Sparta, who showed unstinting support and generous backing; the J. F. Costopoulos Foundation and the British Academy also provided generous support and other participating organisations were the Pnevmatiki Estia of the Dimos Sparti and the University of the Peloponnese. We wish to thank the Public Library of Sparta and Mrs Eleni Tzinieri-Tzannetakou and the University of the Peloponnese for generously placing their lecture halls at our disposal. We were particularly pleased that a number of exhibitions and other cultural events were timed to coincide with the conference: 'Studies on Laconia'. Book exhibition organised by the Central Public Library of Sparta; 'The Naval Battle of Navarino: Multiple Readings. The Antonis Tantoulos Collection' presented by the National Gallery of Greece - Annexe at Sparta and the General National Archives for the Prefecture of Lakonia; 'In Memory of Pericles Panteleakis' art exhibition organised by the Pnevmatiki Estia of Sparta; 'Heroes of my Town' competition open to the primary school pupils of Sparta. Particular thanks are due to the individuals who helped organise the Conference, Dr Chrysanthi Gallou and Dr Metaxia Papapostolou.

Following some popular media productions, the theme 'Honouring the Dead' has significant current reverberations in popular culture, especially in Greece. Purposely held in Sparta, the focal point of recent public attention, this conference sought to exploit such exceptional current interest as a starting-point for a more broad-ranging exploration of the theme across the Peloponnese from prehistory to the 21st century. The human responses to death and burial are highly-charged with emotion and yet also formalised and bound by convention. From the Iliad onwards these tensions have struck a note in Greek life, art and literature: the lament, the memorial and the iconography of death, the address over those killed in war, hero cult and the cult of relics, war monuments and literature.

Consequently, the aim of the conference was to bring together experts from a variety of disciplines (Classicists, Byzantinists, ancient and modern historians, ethnographers, archaeologists, art historians and social historians) to discuss a number of aspects where the combination of their different contributions might open up new vistas.

The conference was focused on Sparta and the Peloponnese to reflect the interests of the Centre for Spartan and Peloponnesian Studies, but also because that area offers a wealth of relevant material, varying greatly in nature and extending over the chronological span of the conference, from prehistory to the present, and thus allowed a range of different forms of analysis. Our vision in organising the conference was of a perspective that brought together in a single collage the insights of different disciplines across different times, in the hope that each image would reflect on and give new meaning to the others. The opportunity of publishing these papers allows us not only to present this picture to a wide readership, but

also to achieve conjunctions and reflections which were not possible under the constraints of conference organisation.

In this preface we hope to draw out the connections implicit in the different papers. A simple review of the volume will immediately bring to mind the thematic interrelationships which formed part of the structure of the original conference.

Heroization, Politics and Heroic Death ranges from the Bronze Age to the Late Byzantine period. The term 'hero' can convey slightly different things, but touches on the semi-divine, ancestors of a legendary past, doers of great deeds as well as having the modern connotations of courage and daring. The prominence of heroes and their symbolic power can be traced through the creation and manipulation of cultural icon, national ideal and political emblem. Banou and Hitchcock explore this phenomenon through the 'Lord of Vapheio' on the basis of the spectacular funerary gifts which mark the identity of the dynasty buried in the tomb as closely linked with Minoan Crete. Konstantinidi-Syvriddi and Paschalidis take a long perspective in viewing the cult of the dead and hero worship at Mycenae, underlining the landscape setting and how eloquently it spoke to visitors in the Classical and Hellenistic periods of an heroic past. Pavlides explores the worship of the dead as heroes within the settlement of Sparta, representing a local phenomenon closely bound up with the creation of communal identity in the Archaic polis. Burton also reflects on the relationship between divinity, the gods of the underworld and hero cult. The rediscovery of burials from the Archaic period onwards contributed to a local, Spartan identity expressed through the worship of "lesser" heroes. The contrasting histories of tomb and hero cult in Sparta versus Messenia (initially subject to but then liberated from Spartan domination) nicely juxtapose cases of the invention of both 'official' and purely local, small scale worship. Marantou takes up the theme of hero cult with an Arcadian emphasis and through Pausanias's image of the past.

Other papers focus more closely on the Classical period, the celebration of the heroic dead. How typical were the Spartans in their reactions to mortality? Were their attitudes to death and their burial customs really as 'other', if not unique, as Xenophon, Plutarch - and 'The 300' - make them out to be? Paradiso, Gengler and Petropoulou analyse closely the sources and the presentation of exemplary death in Sparta. Again identity and self-image are pictured in investigations of the insiders' and outsiders' views. Spartan royal funerals were exceptionally extravagant, their conduct uniquely embedded in Spartan tradition. The war dead were also commemorated exceptionally in Sparta. The 300 heroes of Thermopylae have been invented and reinvented time and again. Paradiso looks to Herodotus, who was fascinated by their celebrity and conducted his own *ιστορίη* into their names and actions. Gengler places the festival of the Leonidea in the broader context of the transformation of Spartan traditions during the Imperial period and in relation to the image of Spartan history in the literature of that time. The war dead of Perioikic Geronthrai form the theme of Sekunda's paper, while honourable death as perhaps seen through the distorting glass of Roman revisionism is the theme of Papapostolou's article. Seneca's version of Agamemnon's death, as reported by Paraskeviotis, also reveals subtle changes of emphasis from Classical Greek to Roman Imperial perceptions. Later still, as Steiris explains, Plutarch's treatment of exemplary deaths in the *Moralia*, was interpreted and reinterpreted in the light of Middle Platonism, and later Greek thought as exemplified in the writings of Georgios Trapezuntius and Gemistos Plethon. Zampaki illustrates how traditions in the Arab historians about the funeral of Alexander the Great relay on the one hand the narrative of events, but on the other put an emphasis on the ethical and moral lessons.

If in a negative way, the deliberate slighting of the dead is an acknowledgement of their power - the archaeological evidence for this treatment in late Mycenaean Achaia is assembled by Papazoglou-Manioudaki. The emotive impact of one such atrocity in a much more modern context is narrated by Petrakis.

Lament and Threnody. The *moiroloyia*, the extemporised Maniot laments of S Greece, are a classic archetype of the dirge. Seremetakis sets the scene for this section, with *Antiphony, Ritual and the Construction of Truth* examining the presentation of the individual and the setting of the lament within the overall structure of the ritual. Katsoulakos, father and son, then make their portrayal all the more vivid thanks to their unrivalled archive of examples of *moiroloyia*, picking out, in particular, the historical and political context, on the one hand, and the kin relationship between deceased and singer on the other. Psychogiou takes the remarkable find of vase scenes depicting lamentation of the dead which have recently been recovered from Mycenaean tombs in Elis, to bridge the gap between remote past and present by confronting the similarities in the representation of ritual in past and present. The laying out of the corpse (prothesis) is treated by Fragkopoulou in the unexpected context of votives at Lakedaimonian sanctuaries. These popular themes are confronted with poetry of a more consciously literary conceit: Roy sketches poems by the Hellenistic poetess Anyte of Tegea including not only funerary epigrams for young maidens but extending also to the commemoration of animals. Kakourou-Chroni turns to the modern poet Nikiforos Vrettakos, tracing the development of his reflections on death from an early phase where he is 'immersed in death' to his late poetry transformed by his experiences of World War II where 'death murders God' but also 'shines on the suffering world'.

The papers contemplating *Memorials, Monumentality and Memory* range from the prehistoric through historic periods to modern monuments. Marabea revisits the great tholos tomb at Kambos, close to the Laconian-Messenian border, a newly monumentalised tomb type of the early Mycenaean period. Papadimitriou considers a similar early part of the Mycenaean period, but with an emphasis on the symbolism of entrances in the passage from life to death. Sgouritsa also follows up the symbolism of the entrance and threshold rituals expressed materially in the architecture of the great Mycenaean tombs. Kokorou-Alevra turns to the Archaic period and funerary statuary in the Peloponnese, pointing to a major fault-line in the memorialisation of the dead between the city states of the NE Peloponnese and the rest of the region. She interprets the contrast as one grounded in the ideology of the Spartan state. Karapanagiotou and Koursoumis investigate anew the curious 'menhir' from Levidi in Arkadia, perhaps erected to mark the empty tomb of a king struck dead for his hubris.

The final theme of the conference looked to *Burial, Identity and Representation*, that is to say the commemoration (not to say reinvention) of those who have died, through material culture and iconography. The remote prehistory of this deeply human impulse is explored by Georgiadis in his review of fragmentary patterns recorded in the Mesolithic and Neolithic Peloponnese. Kossyva's account of the Early Bronze Age cemetery at Delpriza, in the Argolid, adds precious new evidence to the recent flurry of new discoveries from the period; still, however, EBA burials are not well attested in the NE Peloponnese, a paradox explored by Weinberg. Fox uses the vessels from the heroic Grave Circles at Mycenae to conjure an image of feasting and drinking, which is then analysed to understand the status of feasting and socio-political fluidity in the period; looking to the same time and context Mathioudaki takes a different theoretical perspective of aesthetics and object biographies to consider the Early Mycenaean pictorial vases. Drakaki extends the analysis by exploring the seals accompanying high-status female burials of the Mycenaean epoch and Kalogeropoulos examines the symbolic power of the iconography of Palatial Style amphoras of the early Mycenaean period.

Tomb form and social message is the focus of the publication by Lambropoulos, Moutzouridis and Nikolentzos of two recently-excavated cemeteries in Elis. Moschos illustrates the other end of the Mycenaean epoch by examining social status and burial practices during its final period after the collapse of the palaces; in a radically transformed social and political world, the imagery and material expression used in the past is still exploited, but exploited to tell a very different story. The interplay of communal, ethnic and even linguistic identity with ritual practice lies at the heart of Luce's analysis of Early Iron Age grave types.

Dimakis takes the analysis later still in his review of individual and collective identity in Argos of the Classical and Hellenistic periods. Grigorakakis presents new cemetery evidence from the highly contested territory of the Thyreatis. Fritzilas looks to grave stelai of Hellenistic and Roman date from Arkadia, and how they attempt to commemorate and preserve the memory of the dead. Reinvented tradition and the concrete memorialisation of the family make for a fascinating counterpoint in the papers of Gavala and Saitas on recent historical monuments. Another aspect of the presentation of the dead is the use of jewellery to sculpt the body, this is explored by Theodorou-Mavromatidi for the Early Bronze Age and Louka for the Archaic period.

The argument which develops through this rich variety of illustration in no way underplays the strong emotion evoked by death – indeed this precisely gives the ritual and the symbolism their power, even though they are formalized and pressed into moulds of social and political conformity. In the papers that follow, we note the play and counterplay of political manipulation, the idealization of the dead and its use to model civic and moral virtue, the importance of ancestors and ancestry as symbols of identity and belonging, as well as display and conspicuous consumption and its dialectic between the community and the (family) group.

The range of different approaches and of different types of material does not allow any single theoretical viewpoint to dominate the contributions. Instead we have a mosaic of studies exploring in different ways how death was memorialised, and how the process reflected social aspirations of those who created the memorials, or sought to exploit the management of death for social or political purposes. Though all are drawn from the relatively limited area of the Peloponnese, the wealth of material offered by the Peloponnese means that the volume illustrates the issues currently being addressed in the study of death and the dead in the Greek world.

WGC

JR

CHAPTER 29

HERODOTUS' LIST OF THE THREE HUNDRED¹

ANNALISA PARADISO

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

“And in that struggle” – says Herodotus in 7.224 – “fell Leonidas, having proved himself an excellent man, and with him other famous Spartiates, whose names I learnt as those of men who showed themselves worthy, and moreover I learnt all Three Hundred”.² In this emphatic and very dense sentence, Herodotus expresses his judgement about Leonidas, when he tells us of his death, and about the warriors who died with him. Leonidas was ἄριστος; to a lesser degree, certain others were ὀνομαστοί, yet others were evidently less well known. He claims to have gathered information about these ἄξιοι men, indeed, to have gathered information about all the Three Hundred. The emphasis, here, covers a logical contraction of his thought: he declares that he has gained information, however this information apparently concerns only their names (ἐπυθόμην τὰ οὐνόματα) and even all the names, not their deeds (ἐπυθόμην δὲ καὶ ἀπάντων τῶν τριηκοσίων). Herodotus claims to know all these warriors' names, but clearly he does not quote them all. On the other hand, he knows evidently something else, not simply their names but also the last deeds or sayings of six of them, good or bad: Dieneces, Alpheus, Maron, Eurytus, Aristodemus and Pantites. Where did Herodotus derive his information from? A written list, that is an official record, or only oral sources? Did he see an official list like that inscribed on a stele in the 2nd century AD and described by Pausanias in book 3.14? Can we deduce anything from the very form of Herodotus' declaration?

¹ I am grateful to James Roy, who has read the manuscript, providing valuable comments, and to Olivier Gengler, who has drawn my attention to some specific points of the question. Responsibility for what I have written is of course mine alone.

² Hdt. 7.224.1 καὶ Λεωνίδης τε ἐν τούτῳ τῷ πόνῳ πίπτει ἀνὴρ γενόμενος ἄριστος, καὶ ἕτεροι μετ' αὐτοῦ ὀνομαστοὶ Σπαρτιητέων, τῶν ἐγὼ ὡς ἀνδρῶν ἄξιων γενομένων ἐπυθόμην τὰ οὐνόματα, ἐπυθόμην δὲ καὶ ἀπάντων τῶν τριηκοσίων.

ORAL TRADITIONS

Certainly, Herodotus investigated in Sparta, where he travelled and could listen to and gather the memories of the Three Hundred which were still alive.³ This is implied by such verbs as λέγεται and φασί at §226.1, introducing Dieneses' reputation of ἀριστεία and one of his famous aphorisms. λέγονται is said about the second 'prize' (ἀριστεία), the excellence of the Spartans Alpheus and Maron at §227. The stories of Aristodemus and Eurytus, in fact two variants of the same story, are introduced by λέγεται at §229.1 and by λέγουσι at §230; Pantites' story, suicide because of survival, is introduced by λέγεται at §232. The oral character of the source is particularly evident for the brilliant saying of Dieneses: to a Trachinian who had told him, before joining battle with the Medes, that the enemies were so many, that when they shot with their bows, the sun would be hidden by the arrows, he replied that the battle would be fought in the shade, not in the sunshine (§226).⁴ But which were these oral sources? Official sources or family ones or, more generally, public opinion? Even granted that family memories were of course still alive for the direct descendants of the Three Hundred (chosen moreover by Leonidas among those Spartiates who had children)⁵ the negative examples of Aristodemus, the 'trembler', and Pantites the suicide seem to me not to involve, for them at least, a family, that is to say 'private', source. Last, a further question: if the Three Hundred all died, except Aristodemus and Pantites who were the only survivors among them as they did not take part in the battle, who were the informants who brought to Sparta the last news that was elaborated to become oral traditions on the *aristeia* of the dead? Who was present at the last fight, besides the Lacedaemonians and the Thespians who died as well, and whose dead bodies, to the number of 4000 altogether, Helots included, were discovered by the Persians after the battle of Artemision?⁶

PAUSANIAS' STELE

Some have thought that Herodotus could know and look at a casualty list, an official list of the dead warriors in Sparta, like that later inscribed on a stele and described in the 2nd

³ Herodotus' journey to Sparta (cf. 3.55) is dated before 440 by Jacoby 1913, col. 274 (following Kirchhoff 1878², 49ff.), who however founds this hypothesis on Herodotus' silence as to the transfer of Leonidas' bones forty years after the battle of Thermopylai, attested by Pausanias 3.14.1.

⁴ This apophthegm will be successful: it will be attributed to an anonymous Spartan by Cicero, *Tusc.* 1.42.101, and Valerius Maximus 3.7 *ext.*8, and to an anonymous Greek *strategos* by the *scholion* to Aeschylus, *Persians*, hyp. 2, ll.92–3 Dähnhardt. It is attributed to Leonidas by the *scholion* to Aristophanes, *Vesp.* 1084a, col.2 Koster. Cf. also Frontinus 4.5.13, Plutarch, *Apophthegmata Laconica* 225b, Stobaeus 3.7.45, *Suda* λ 272, s.v. Λεωνίδης, *Gnomologium Vaticanum* 391. See also Lucian, *Rhetorical praecepts* 18.

⁵ Hdt. 7.205.2.

⁶ Hdt. 8.25.2, with Hunt 1998, 31–2 on the fighting Helots. On the destiny of the Thebans, who had betrayed the Greeks, v. Herodotus 7.233. For a literary analysis of the last stand, see Lombardo 2005, 173–92.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

century AD by Pausanias.⁷ Others, like Richard Ball, have denied it, underlining the oral character of Herodotus' inquiry on the Three Hundred, implicit in the verb *πυνθάνομαι*, repeated twice.⁸ In book 3.14 of his *Periegesis*, Pausanias records a stele giving the names and patronymics of the Three Hundred. It was erected in Sparta, in the same place where were the graves of Pausanias, the regent, and of Leonidas, whose bones were apparently removed to Sparta – so the *Periegete* says – 40 years after the battle, so in 440.⁹ We read in Pausanias 3.14.1: τοῦ θεάτρου δὲ ἀπαντικρὺ Πausανίου τοῦ Πλαταιᾶσιν ἡγησαμένου μνημῆμά ἐστι, τὸ δὲ ἕτερον Λεωνίδου – καὶ λόγους κατὰ ἔτος ἕκαστον ἐπ' αὐτοῖς λέγουσι καὶ τιθέασιν ἀγῶνα, ἐν ᾧ πλὴν Σπαρτιατῶν ἄλλω γε οὐκ ἔστιν ἀγωνίζεσθαι –, τὰ [δὲ] ὁστὰ τοῦ Λεωνίδου τεσσαράκοντα ἔτεσιν ὕστερον ἀνελομένου ἐκ Θερμοπυλῶν τοῦ Πausανίου. κεῖται δὲ καὶ στήλη πατρόθεν τὰ ὀνόματα ἔχουσα οἱ πρὸς Μῆδους τὸν ἐν Θερμοπύλαις ἀγῶνα ὑπέμειναν, that is '(as you go westwards from the market-place there is a cenotaph of Brasidas son of Tellis. Not far from it is the theatre, made of white marble and worth seeing.) Opposite the theatre is the tomb of Pausanias, who commanded at Plataea, and there is a second, that of Leonidas. Every year they deliver speeches over them, and hold a contest in which no-one may compete except Spartans. Pausanias took the bones of Leonidas from Thermopylae forty years afterwards. A slab has been set up with the names, and their fathers' names, of those who stood firm in the struggle at Thermopylae against the Persians'. Was the stele placed on Leonidas' grave, as How and Wells thought, adding however that it could be older than the tomb itself?¹⁰ Was it set up in 440 or before?

The passage is problematical, and the text of chapter 14.1 is certainly corrupt: the very date and the name of the Spartan personage – 'Pausanias', without patronymic – who organized the transfer of Leonidas' corpse do not harmonize, so that we have to emend either the number or the name or both. Neither the regent nor Pausanias II can have decided to bring back to Sparta Leonidas' bones forty years after the battle, as the former was already dead in 440 (he died some time after 471/0 and before 465/4 according to Massimo Nafissi)¹¹ whereas the latter was too young, at most about seven years old, at that time, when he reigned nominally instead of his exiled father Pleistoanax, but under the regency of his uncle Cleomenes.¹² Several attempts have been made to emend either the

⁷ See Valckenaer 1763 in Schweighäuser 1817, 6/2 56; Stein 1908⁶, 4 218; Macan 1908, 231; How & Wells 1936 (1912), 2 230; Burn 1962, 421; Nafissi 1991, 306 n.127; Richer 1994, 57; Cartledge 2006, 159; Low 2006, 106 n.49; Moggi 2007, 15, n.70. Clairmont 1983, 223 and Prandi 1990, 54 n.22 think of a casualty list inscribed on stone, seen by Herodotus either at Sparta or at Thermopylae.

⁸ Ball 1976, 1–8. See also Hignett 1963, 148, n.3.

⁹ As to the corpse of Pausanias, dead outside the temple of Athena Chalkioikos, Thucydides 1.134.4 reports that the Spartans wanted at first to throw it into the *Kaiadas*, then decided to bury him nearby. Finally they brought back his body where he had died, after a Delphic oracle. Thucydides adds that, still at his time, the grave lay in the *προτεμένισμα* of the temple of Athena Chalkioikos, as attested by some inscriptions. On the probable, later transfer of the grave near Leonidas' tomb, see Nafissi 2004a, 174, n.76.

¹⁰ How & Wells 1936 (1912), 2 230.

¹¹ Nafissi 2004, 61–2.

¹² Pausanias II reigned nominally during the exile of Pleistoanax (lasting from 445 until 427), and then from 408 to 395/4, after his father's death. In 427 he was too young (of course from a military point of view) to command for Thucydides 3.26.2, and his uncle Cleomenes did it instead of him. According to Schaefer 1949,

name of the king or the dating. To save the name of Pausanias II, C. H. Roberts was obliged to modify the number 40 into 80, so dating the transfer of the bones to 400, during the period of the effective reign of that king.¹³ But the mention of Pausanias II without a patronymic would be odd in the *Periegete*, who normally avoids confusion between different, homonymous personages, and differentiates accurately the two men called Pausanias (the regent and Pausanias II) when he introduces the latter in 3.5.1.¹⁴ In our passage, anyway, the name of Pausanias in the genitive, involving ambiguities as lacking in a patronymic which could distinguish him from Pausanias the regent, is certainly corrupted if coupled with both numbers 40 and 80, as in both cases it asks for a further integration, that is precisely the patronymic, to identify 'Pausanias' more clearly as the son of Pleistoanax. Even if weak – as uneconomical, needing two textual interventions – Roberts' proposition is, in my opinion, the only possibility of attributing the paternity of the operation to Pausanias II. On the contrary, the suggestion of integrating ἀνελομένου ἐκ Θερμοπυλῶν <Παυσανίου τοῦ Πλειστοάνακτος> τοῦ Παυσανίου, proposed by Schubart in his 1889 edition, preserves more clearly the identity of the king ('son of Pleistoanax, son of Pausanias the regent') but does not solve at all the problem of Pausanias' age in 440, and therefore can be absolutely dismissed. The suggestion by W. R. Connor of including ἀνελομένου ἐκ Θερμοπυλῶν <Κλεομένου> τοῦ Παυσανίου is by far better, as it is plausible and simple.¹⁵ Cleomenes may have realised the transfer of Leonidas' bones as a regent: the textual solution however does not impose itself from a grammatical point of view,¹⁶ and also because any other member of the Agiad clan, such as for instance Aristocles, another son of Pausanias, could have done it.¹⁷

The most economical proposition, instead, is that of Karl Otfried Mueller, developed and followed by A. Podlecki and others. Choosing a minimal textual intervention, he proposed to correct M (40) into Δ (4), so attributing the transfer of the bones to Pausanias the regent, who would have realised this political operation four years after the battle, that is in 477, when coming back from Byzantium as recalled by the Spartans, at the time of his own juridical difficulties rather than just after it, and within an explicit strategy of self-defence, in order to confute an accusation of Medism put against him at the trial held at

col.2578, he was probably born shortly before 447, but for White 1964, 140–52, esp. 141 and 149, he can hardly have been born much before c.445, rather in 444–440. If so, in 440 he was 4 years old or even less.

¹³ Eighty years after the battle: C. H. Roberts, quoted by Corbett 1949, 107. See also Meyer 1954, 584.

¹⁴ Connor 1979, 22–3.

¹⁵ Cleomenes: Connor 1979, 24, who also gives a *résumé* of the different textual propositions at p. 21.

¹⁶ Connor 1979, 23 and nn. 9–10 analyses the article which precedes the name of Pausanias in the genitive absolute ἀνελομένου ἐκ Θερμοπυλῶν τοῦ Παυσανίου: according to him, it can be the trace of something which has fallen out of the text before the evidently patronymic τοῦ Παυσανίου, something like the name of one of the regent's sons, for instance Κλεομένου. It is true, as Connor says, that the *Periegete* normally avoids the use of the article before a personal name which is the subject of a genitive absolute or, even more generally, that he does not always employ the article with the second and subsequent occurrences of personal names. However, we find at least one other instance of this usage in book 3, at §3.6 – pointed out by Connor himself – where we read ἀφικομένου δὲ τοῦ Λίχα Ὁρέστου τὰ ὅστ' ἀνεζήτουν. This occurrence of course weakens the *necessity* of transforming Pausanias' name into a patronymic in §14.1, adding Κλεομένου before τοῦ Παυσανίου.

¹⁷ On the Agiad clan surrounding Pausanias II, see Dimauro 2008, 81ff.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

Sparta.¹⁸ Within this minimal textual reconfiguration, 'Pausanias' without patronymic (in ἀνελομένου ἐκ Θερμοπυλῶν τοῦ Πανσανίου) appears in the transmitted text as the third, impeccable, mention of a character who has just been referred to twice. The first time the character is identified at the beginning of the chapter, and explicitly introduced as Πανσανίου τοῦ Πλαταιᾶσιν ἡγησαμένου, that is Pausanias the regent.¹⁹ The second time, the regent is again implicitly hinted at in ἐπ' αὐτοῖς, where there is reference to the *logoi* and the *agones* occurring every year in honour of both Pausanias and Leonidas, buried together.²⁰ At his third mention in a few lines, 'Pausanias' – without a different patronymic – can only be the same person already referred to, the leader at Plataea, that is the regent, not anyone else. Not Pausanias II, whose name would need an explicit patronymic, to distinguish him from his grandfather the regent.²¹ The exchange of M with Δ (40 and 4) being very easy²² – we can only notice, and add, that the last one is certainly the simplest and most economic textual solution, requiring no emendation at all of the name of the personage. Of course, it is not the definitive one as, like other suggestions, it does not impose itself. Any other hypothetical identification of the character (for instance, with Cleomenes rather than with Pausanias II) is not more certain at all and asks for a less economical textual intervention, even though of course it cannot be completely excluded. The intervention would concern the name τοῦ Πανσανίου, to be considered as a patronymic (but of Cleomenes or someone else?). Apparently there are further elements for or against the emendation of the date. On one side, the date of 440 is supported by the silence of Herodotus as to the Spartan destination of Leonidas' bones, that he seems not to know after telling us some important details on his corpse. According to him, in fact, the Greeks had to reconquer, during the fight, Leonidas' body which had been taken by the Persians (7.225.1). He also says that, after the battle, Leonidas' head was cut off and

¹⁸ Mueller 1844, 2² 488, n.40 ('ich corrigire bei Paus.3.14.1 τέσσαρσιν für τεσσαράκοντα, welches ich mit der Zeit durchaus nicht reimen kann'). See also Frazer 1913, 1 576; Podlecki 1968, 275, Asheri 1998, 82 and Coppola 2008, 131–7, who thinks that the number τεσσαρακοστῶ at §13.1 may have induced the scribe to write the wrong τεσσαράκοντα at §14.1. The hypothesis is astute, but the two numbers are two Valla-edition pages apart. On Pausanias' recall to Sparta in the spring of 477, see Loomis 1990, 492.

¹⁹ Cf. Paus. 3.5.1, where the regent – already qualified at §4.9 as the son of Cleombrotos who led the Spartans at Plataea – is reintroduced as Πανσανίου τοῦ Πλαταιᾶσιν ἡγησαμένου, to distinguish him from Pausanias II. Cf. also Paus. 3.17.7, where the regent himself is introduced the first time as Πανσανίου τοῦ περὶ Πλάταιαν ἡγησαμένου, and then with the simple name.

²⁰ On these later *logoi* and *agones*, cf. IG V (1) 18–20 (SEG XI 460, cf.565), and IG V (1) 559 and 660, with Ziehen 1929, col.1515–6_z and Cartledge & Spawforth 1989, 192–3.

²¹ 'Pausanias' without patronymic cannot have been antonomastically used, in §14.1, for Pausanias II on the ground of a comparison with another passage of the *Periegete*, that is 3.5.1 Πλειστάρχος μὲν οὖν ὁ Λεωνίδου νεωστὶ τὴν βασιλείαν παρειληφώς ἐτελεύτησε, Πλειστοάναξ δὲ ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχὴν ὁ Πανσανίου τοῦ Πλαταιᾶσιν ἡγησαμένου· Πλειστοάνακτος δὲ ἐγένετο Πανσανίας. οὗτος ἐς τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἀφίκετο ὁ Πανσανίας κτλ. (so Dimauro 2008, 74). Here, in fact, the identity of Pausanias II is at first introduced by his father's name Πλειστοάνακτος, then confirmed and reinforced by οὗτος ... ὁ Πανσανίας. Nor could the indication of forty years be interpreted as 'a rounded figure for a reign or a generation', that is the whole reign of Pausanias II (Ball 1976, 2). The number in fact is too precise whereas the *Periegete* normally employs the formula ἐπὶ with genitive to date generally to the reign of some king (v. for instance, in book 3: 3.2.1, 3.2.5, 3.2.6, 3.3.4, 3.3.5 *et al.*), or the genitive of the name with βασιλεύοντος (3.3.1).

²² See Ronconi 2003, 87 and 155–65.

impaled by the order of Xerxes (7.238.1 and 9.78.3). His corpse was then presumably buried at the site, together with the other Three Hundred (7.228.1).²³ But Herodotus' 'ignorance' is a typical *argumentum ex silentio*. On the other hand, if the transfer of the body and eventually the setting up of the stele had been realised in the 440s, Sparta would have honoured its heroes at Sparta only forty years after the battle, while the text of Pausanias mentions, at 3.12.9, a shrine consecrated to Alpheus and Maron, two of the bravest Three Hundred, the second best Spartiates.²⁴ This shrine, which was certainly private, would presumably have been built soon after 480, and so 40 years before the official celebration of Leonidas by the transfer of his bones, and of all the others by the stele.²⁵

So, out of these textual propositions, none of which is definitive while one of them is more economic and much stronger than the others, it is possible but not certain that Leonidas' bones were recovered by the regent four years after his death. The late association of the two historical characters, their cult and graves, goes back indeed to a previous time. It may go back ultimately to the very first half of the 5th century BC, when the regent was honoured also, some time after his death.²⁶ With the transfer of Leonidas' bones, the victor of Plataea may have stood once more as the avenger of Thermopylae.²⁷ Of course, Leonidas' remains could have been transferred even forty years afterwards: in this instance, however, some cult or honours must have been dedicated to him at Sparta, on the model of what happened in the case of Alpheus and Maron. As to the stele, the dating of its erection must be kept distinct from the ceremony of the transfer. The text, in fact, does not say that the stele was *on* the grave of Leonidas: it only says it was *there* (κεῖται δὲ καὶ στήλη κτλ.), so it may have been installed near Leonidas' tomb before or after its construction.²⁸ Pausanias' text does not impose the dating of the list to a later period: an earlier date is also conceivable. If it was the regent who moved the bones of Leonidas to Sparta in 477, the stele with the names of the Three Hundred could have been erected there most probably, but not necessarily, at the same time. At first sight, it seems less conceivable that the stele could have been erected many years after the transfer of

²³ Cf. Page 1996, 301–31. Great attention is paid by the ancient sources to Leonidas' corpse: according to another tradition, his body was opened and revealed a hairy heart: cf. Aristides of Miletus *FGrH* 286 F20ac (Plutarch, *Minor parallels* 4Ab = *Moralia* 306d, Stobaeus 3.7.65, John Lydus, *Months* fr.5, with Vernant 1989, 35ff.). On Leonidas' funerals, surely celebrated with his *eidolon*, see Schaefer 1957, 223–33; Nafissi 1991, 309–13, and Richer 1994, 73–7. I do not know whether the stone lion which stood in Herodotus' day (νῦν) 'on Leonidas' (ἐπὶ Λεωνίδῃ – 7.225.1) was put on a separate grave for him at Thermopylae: the fact that Leonidas' bones could be identified four or forty years later would allow us to think of a personal tomb for Leonidas, but ideological and political reasons may have 'identified' his corpse even within the common grave of the Three Hundred. The lion may have been set up 'in honour' of Leonidas, not on his tomb: see Stein 1908⁶, 218.

²⁴ Alpheus and Maron were the second best warriors after Leonidas according to Pausanias, but the third best for Herodotus 7.226–7. For this reversal of priority, see Hodkinson 2000, 258.

²⁵ The shrine of Alpheus and Maron as their grave: Musti & Torelli 1991, 204. On the twins, see Farnell 1921, 363.

²⁶ Against the scepticism of Jacoby 1944, 43 n.23, see Prandi 1990, 61–3, and above all Asheri 1998, esp. 81–5.

²⁷ See Herodotus 9.78 with Asheri 1998.

²⁸ The stelai which recorded, at Marathon, the names of the Athenians fallen on the battlefield were on their grave (ἐπὶ δὲ αὐτῶ): v. Pausanias 1.32.3. The 'memorials' of the Persian wars have been gathered by Asheri 2006, 350–76. ('Appendice II. *I memoriali greci delle guerre persiane*').

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

Leonidas. Indeed, it seems to me that Herodotus does not know of a list inscribed on a stele; on the other side, the list seen by the Periegete must have been copied, probably in the 2nd century AD, from more ancient, and even very ancient, models, accurately transmitted, as it records not only the names of the dead but also the patronymics. All this accuracy in transmitting 300 names plus 300 patronymics, that is 600 names (or a little less, if there were, among the names, the fathers of more than one warrior) can be founded only on an official record, subsequently transcribed in a written form, of course, even though transmitted orally at first. Clearly it is not conceivable that almost 600 names had been transmitted orally from the 5th century BC till the 2nd century AD: at some point, they must have been recorded in a written form. I imagine that they can have been transformed into a written list not long after the battle, simply because of those patronymics declaring the precision, and therefore the antiquity, of the operation, and also because of the need for an official record. It is quite possible that, soon after the battle, the Spartans had kept an archive record of the dead with their names and patronymics, which had been transmitted, in the form of an archival document or of a later stele, down to the time of Pausanias the Periegete.²⁹ On the other hand, it is not certain at all whether they also set up a stele at the same time: in my opinion, they did not erect one and Herodotus did not see it.

AN OFFICIAL LIST?

If we analyse Herodotus' textual formulation, his insistence on the fullness of his information, *in crescendo*, having recourse to some rhetorical devices (τῶν ἐγὼ ὡς ἀνδρῶν ἀξίων γενομένων ἐπυθόμην τὰ οὐνόματα, ἐπυθόμην δὲ καὶ ἀπάντων τῶν τριηκοσίων, with the repetition of the verb ἐπυθόμην and, above all, the insistence on 'the names' and 'all the names') only shows, I believe, (a) that Herodotus conducted an oral inquiry on the warriors and looked for and probably consulted an official list, kept in the archives of Sparta, but did not see a stele with the inscription of the dead, and (b) that he modelled this expression on the paradigm of his oral inquiry. I cannot explain differently the emphasis upon ἀπάντων, 'all the names', and the structure of the sentence itself, suggesting the slow and progressive fulfilment of an intellectual (or more precisely scientific) aim, not the easy viewing of a list exhibited in public. He probably did not necessarily need a record of the names in order to learn all of them, as that kind of social and private memory was still alive in Sparta when he travelled there. In any case, a complete list ought to have been officially fixed some time after the battle, with the names of the dead and their patronymics, and it is possible that he visited the archives in search of it and that he did find that document. It seems to me more difficult to assume that a list with all the names and the patronymics had appeared suddenly some centuries later, without reproducing any previous, that is very ancient, model. So, I prefer to explain the emphasis laid upon ἀπάντων, 'all the names', by imagining Herodotus' inquiry on an

²⁹ On the Spartan archives, *anagraphai*, v. Plut. *Ages*. 19.9.

official record of the dead, fixed in Sparta, not at Thermopylae, as the verb ἐπιθυόμην leads me to think, with its oral nuance, referring therefore to an inquiry made at Sparta.

At §224, Herodotus is saying that he succeeded in obtaining information about all of the Three Hundred. I think he looked at a list: certainly he did not limit himself to this, and did not exploit it much, as he transmits only a few names. Certainly he must have gone around to ask his informants, to question other people, and he gathered his material, judged it, selected it and finally inserted the most interesting stories in his work – but not all the stories or memories, as appears from §226.2, where he tells us that he knew something else about Dieneces, other aphorisms, but does not relate them, so exposing himself to the later reproaches of Plutarch.³⁰ On the other hand, he certainly reports all the material at his disposal, which is full of information, on the parallel stories of Aristodemus and Eurytus, paradoxically not the best warriors: the first version of their absence from the battlefield; a variant of the story; and finally his own opinion on the reason why the Spartans became angry with Aristodemus, relating elements of a rich and articulated debate, held at Sparta on that question.³¹

ORAL SOURCES

If an official record provided Herodotus with the names, he supplemented the written sources with oral ones. Or, rather, he complemented the oral sources, which were perhaps not many on the deeds of every warrior, with a full record of at least all the names, very probably with those patronymics which he does not normally quote after the names of the best or ‘worst’ ones.³² Can the list have been a useful introduction to the next stage of research, a fast guide to further inquiry about the dead? Can it have orientated the historian in his tour around private and, above all, public circles at Sparta? Indeed, the oral memories so gathered appear poor in Herodotus’ book 7, not only as some decades had passed after the battle, but also as all the warriors had died, and only some deeds and sayings may have been transmitted up to the outflanking movement by Ephialtes but hardly after it. If he employs the archival list, Herodotus certainly does set a high value on it (through the emphasis he uses in the text), as it assures the completeness of the information – even if he does not quote the names in full (for the simple reason that his work is something more than a list of documents). Generally speaking, Herodotus does not overvalue his sources, often simply quoting in full the ‘documents’ he employs, even the most useful, particularly the lists and the inscriptions he exploits.³³ As Stephanie West has written, “we may suspect that his information derives from inscriptions more often than he admits”: a historian who pays attention to performances certainly prefers the

³⁰ Plutarch will reproach Herodotus for not having recounted more about the *πολὺμήματα* and the *ῥήματα* of the Three Hundred, proposing to do it himself in a *Life of Leonidas* he was probably never to write (*Mor.* 866b).

³¹ Analysis of the two variants in Paradiso 2002, 163–9.

³² Only Alpheus and Maron are identified by their patronymic, ‘sons of Orsiphantes’ (§227).

³³ See Herodotus 2.100–2, with its Egyptian genealogies, and 8.85.2, with the list of the commanders who seized Greek ships at Salamis.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

possibilities offered by vivid, oral memories to documents.³⁴ Surely, a public elsewhere in the Peloponnese, away from Sparta, or in Great Greece, might have been very interested to hear a reading of all the names, and it is not to be excluded that Herodotus did read them in full outside Sparta.³⁵

WHICH ORAL SOURCES?

As to the nature and quality of Herodotus' oral sources on the exploits of the dead, we notice, as mentioned above, that they are few in number and probably all referred to the moments preceding the arrival of Ephialtes with the Persians and the final actions. The list seems not to have been a guide to Herodotus' oral inquiry, as he did not exploit it in full. In fact, he speaks of about only six of the Three Hundred, four of whom died in battle while the last two survived, that is Dieneces, Alpheus and Maron, Euritus, and the 'tremblers' Aristodemus and Pantites. Dieneces' aphorism is even uttered before he joined the battle (§226.1). Eurytus is said to have entered the battle soon after the outflanking movement (§229.1). Instead, Herodotus' information becomes more and more sparse and summary in §224, after the betrayal and the outflanking stratagem by Ephialtes following Leonidas' death, as if there was no more information on the last acts of the battle.³⁶ The oral sources still available forty years later covered the six Spartans I have mentioned, the four who died and Aristodemus and Pantites who survived and whose names were perhaps on a list of the warriors but not, of course, on a stele for the dead. On the nature of these oral sources we can make some deductions from a comparison between §§224–233 of book 7 and §§71–75 of book 9. After the description of the battle of Plataea, Herodotus reviews the warriors and judges their ἀριστεία, at first the barbarians, then the Greeks; at first the infantry, then the cavalry, finally single warriors.³⁷ In doing so, he exploits his Spartan sources, important and rich, and integrates them with non-Spartan information about the *aristeia* of the Greek allies and of the barbarians, criticizing here and there some of them and adopting a personal point of view. Among the barbarians, he says, the best ones at Plataea were the Persian infantry, the Sacian cavalry and Mardonius; among the Greeks, the Tegeates and the Athenians, and above all the Spartans (9.71.1). The same structure is evident in 7.224 and 226 where, after judging the *aristeia* of Leonidas and of the

³⁴ Cf. West 1985, 303.

³⁵ Ball 1976, 6.

³⁶ Before the last acts, some deserters took the news of the encirclement by Idarnes and Ephialtes: *v.* 7.219.1, where the *hemeroscopoi* are also mentioned. Normally, people assist a military event outside the battlefield: see the *παράγενόμενοι*, those who had been present at the battle of Plataea, mentioned by Herodotus 9.71.3. Even Xerxes watched some acts of the battle of Thermopylae from a distance, probably from a nearby hill: 7.212.1. He will do the same at Salamis: *v.* 8.90.

³⁷ Same structure in 8.17, where the *aristeia* of the battle of Artemision are attributed at first to the Egyptians among the barbarians, then to the Athenians among the Greeks, and to the Athenian Cleinias, the son of Alcibiades. The *aristeia* of the battle of Salamis are attributed only to the Greeks, that is to the Aeginetans and then to the Athenians, and, among the single warriors, to Polycritus of Aegina and to the Athenians Eumenes of the demos of Anagira and Aminias of Pallene in 8.93. The judgement expressed on the Corinthian Adeimanthos in 8.94 seems different, and it is contested. See also 8.123–4.

other Spartan *onomastoi*, Herodotus writes a short martyrology at first for two Persian warriors who were also *onomastoi*, two sons of Darius, Abrocomes and Hyperanthes (§224.2). Then, after an account of the encirclement and the end of the battle, he compares the *aristeia* of the Spartans and the Thespians, and of the single warriors, in a final balance. Spartans and Thespians *were* equally ἄριστοι; among the individuals, however (ὅμως), the winner *is said to have been* the Spartan Dieneces (§226), followed by the second prize, the Spartans Alpheus and Maron, and by the Thespian Dithyrambus (§227), in a choice that Herodotus only seems to approve but does not actually accept, supporting indirectly the reasons of Thespian heroism even when dealing with the prevalent pro-Spartan tradition, that is comparing the *objective* equality of the two peoples (Λακεδαιμονίων δὲ καὶ Θεσπιέων τοιούτων γενομένων) with the *tradition* of Spartan superiority (ὅμως λέγεται ἀνὴρ ἄριστος γενέσθαι Σπαρτιῆτης Διηνέκης).³⁸ In 9.71.2, Herodotus' own choice assigns the victory in the individual competition for the *aristeia* at Plataea to the Spartan Aristodemus, the trembler of Thermopylae, who ἄριστος ἐγένετο μακρῶ at Plataea: after him, he lists the Spartans Poseidonius, Philocyon and Amompharetus, who all ἠρίστευσαν. However, Herodotus tells us this was not the Spartan *palmarès*, as the Spartans did not recognize Aristodemus' superiority on the battlefield because he wanted to die like a hero to expiate his own guilt at Thermopylai; his case was different from Poseidonius', who had fought as a hoplite, in order to survive (§71.3).³⁹ So, Poseidonius was better than Aristodemus according to the Spartans. Of all the warriors who fought at Plataea, the most famous, the ὀνομαστότατοι (§72.1), according to them, were Poseidonius, Philocyon, Amompharetus and Callicrates, who were publicly honoured, not Aristodemus, who enjoyed no honours. The Spartan Callicrates indeed died away from the battlefield, as he was only wounded during the battle. However, he gained a kind of *aristeia*, because of an aphorism he uttered to the Plataian Arimnestus, when he was wounded and carried away, as Herodotus records in 9.72.2: he said he did not regret dying for Greece, but he regretted not having performed any deeds of value. At 7.226 Herodotus had recorded another aphorism, by Dieneces, about the number of the enemy's arrows which would cover the sun and so enable the Spartans to fight better. In 9.73–5 Herodotus moves on to the Athenian winner of the ἀριστεία, Sophanes, giving two variants of the story and also some biographical details about his life after the battle of Plataea, as he had done in 7.231 regarding Aristodemus' later life.

FROM THERMOPYLAE TO PLATAEA

The formal structures of 7.224–232 and 9.71–75 have striking affinities. In both contexts Herodotus gives an account and eventually judges the qualities of the two camps, Greeks and barbarians, then he mentions the best warriors among the Greek allies and among the barbarians. The official qualities required to win the competition for the *aristeia* are, evidently, participation in battle and military excellence but also, even though to a minor

³⁸ Cf. Vannicelli 2007, 316–8.

³⁹ Even for Aristodemus, Plataea was his own revenge of Thermopylae.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

degree, rhetorical skills.⁴⁰ For the Spartans, Poseidonius is the winner at Plataea, not Aristodemus, since Poseidonius has fought like a good hoplite, not like a hero, in order to survive and not die. At Thermopylae, Leonidas is beyond competition because of an oracle predicting that either a Heraclid king would die or else the city of Sparta would be destroyed (7.220.3–4); after him, however, the winner is Dieneces, also because of the magnificent answer he gave to a Persian warrior. At Plataea, Callicrates was not the *aristos*, however he is recorded for a brilliant answer he gave to an ally. This is the Spartan official list of the winners, not the Herodotean one. Herodotus comes in to explain or to correct the official position above all of the Spartans as to the attribution of the *aristeia* or of *oneidos* and *atimia*. He places himself at a critical distance from the tradition when he criticizes it. When he records the story of Aristodemus and Eurytus, he openly explains what, for him, were the true reasons why the Spartans became angry with the former. They would have accepted that Eurytus and Aristodemus both come home, since both had been sent out of the line by Leonidas; or that Aristodemus alone come home if he alone was ill; but not that Eurytus die in battle while Aristodemus was missing from the fighting (7.229.2). Further on, in 9.71.2, the historian records first his own opinion about the superiority of Aristodemus at Plataea, then the official judgment, which give the prize to Poseidonius, an opinion which he attributes cautiously to Spartan envy.

CONCLUSIONS. THE ROLE OF THE *LESCHAI*

To sum up, Herodotus seems to employ, in this section of book 7, both written and oral traditions, probably an official list of the Three Hundred and certainly public, rather than family, memories – as the ‘tremblers’ stories lead us to believe – setting a high value on the last ones but without omitting to criticise them, in order to give voice to his own opinion even against public opinion, or rather against the tradition, which he compares with the ‘facts’. As to these oral sources, we can perhaps reconstruct their content, nature and location more precisely. Herodotus mentions a *λέσχη*, a ‘conversation’, a public discussion which was held soon after the battle of Plataea among the *παράγενόμενοι*, those who had been present at the battle (9.71.3). As K. W. Nitzsch and more recently Jean Ducat have pointed out, this was evidently his source about the official prizes given in Sparta to the warriors after Plataea, an almost semi-official source.⁴¹ What was a *lesche*? A discussion and therefore a meeting, according to Sophocles.⁴² Herodotus employs this noun also in book 2, where it refers to a conversation, a discussion at the oracle of Ammon between two men from Cyrene and king Etearchus about the sources of the Nile (§31.1). In Sparta, however, *lesche* was a technical term meaning a place of public, evidently official or semi-official, discussion among the elders of the town, attended also by younger men. In the *leschai* old people met to talk or to examine newborn babies. A *lesche* was essentially a

⁴⁰Also Plutarch will judge it important to report not only *τολμήματα* but also the *ῥήματα* of the Three Hundred (*Mor.* 866b). On the concept of *aristeia*, especially in Herodotus, cf. Pritchett 1974, 283–6. See also Di Donato 2003, 43–96 = Di Donato 2006, 35–52.

⁴¹ Cf. Nitzsch 1872, 246–8; Ducat 2005, 205–16.

⁴² Soph. *Ant.* 159–61.

place and also what happened in that place, a public discussion on serious subjects, assigning praise to the bravest warriors or blame to those who had betrayed the hoplite ideals, so criticizing the true bases of Spartan ideology.⁴³ We may suppose that Herodotus attended a *lesche* in Sparta. And that he exploited – and integrated with Persian, genealogical information – exactly the kind of public, semi-official and oral sources he may have heard there, about the *aristeia* not only of the Spartans but also of *all* the men who fought at Thermopylae, Greeks and barbarians, and about the moral and social punishments reserved *for the worst Spartans*. In fact, even when speaking of, and praising, the Thespians who died as well, Herodotus reports (and indirectly criticizes) a point of view which is still Spartan, and which asserts Spartan superiority at least when comparing single warriors; such superiority makes Spartans and Thespians equally *aristoi*, but attributes military excellence to the Spartan Dieneces over the Thespian Dithyrambus. Herodotus sets aside certain space even for the worst Spartans, reporting a public debate on their acts and omissions, and expressing his own opinion on them and on that debate, that is, on what motivated their punishment. The punishment imposed on Aristodemus and probably on Pantites is described by Herodotus and involves the refusal of fire, normally offered by the neighbours, the deprivation of human contact, as nobody was allowed to speak to him, and the branding (perhaps for the first time) of the victim with the name of *tresas*, ‘trembler’, i.e. a coward. These constituted a form of *oneidos* and *atimia* which was also a judicial punishment requiring a public, official decision, therefore taken by the assembly and probably also discussed and commented upon in a *lesche*.⁴⁴ This is the meaning and nature of Herodotus’ own ‘list’ in book 7.224ff.: a critical review of different levels of *aristeia*, from the highest to the lowest, deserving different honours and punishments, prizes and reproaches. These choices, and the concept itself of a ‘choice’, have also influenced, I think, the formulation of §224.1 which we have analysed here – καὶ Λεωνίδης τε ἐν τούτῳ τῷ πόνῳ πίπτει ἀνὴρ γενόμενος ἄριστος, καὶ ἕτεροι μετ’ αὐτοῦ ὀνομαστοὶ Σπαρτιητέων, τῶν ἐγὼ ὡς ἀνδρῶν ἀξίων γενομένων ἐπυθόμην τὰ οὐνόματα, ἐπυθόμην δὲ καὶ ἀπάντων τῶν τρηκοσίων – with its different levels of praise, its list of three different ‘prizes’ attributed to the best warriors, Leonidas being the best one of all; then other people, qualified as *onomastoi*, whose names Herodotus was later able to learn by enquiry because they were men of worth; finally the rest of the Three Hundred, all of whose names Herodotus later learnt by enquiry.

⁴³ Plut. *Lyc.* 16.1, 25.1–2, Paus. 3.14.2, with Flower & Marincola 2002, 234, and Vannicelli 2006, 267. Cf. also MacDowell 1986, David 1991, 97–100, Nafissi 1991, 318–27; Richer 1994, 59, and Buxton 1994, 40–4.

⁴⁴ On these punishments, cf. Ducat 2005, 205–16, and Ducat 2006, 1–55.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

References

- Asheri, D., 1998. 'Platea vendetta delle Termopili: alle origini di un motivo teologico erodoteo' *CISA* 24: 65–86.
- Asheri, D. (ed.), 2006. *Erodoto, 'Le Storie', libro IX. La battaglia di Platea*. Commentary by P. Vannicelli. Milano: Mondadori.
- Ball, R., 1976. 'Herodotos' list of the Spartans who died at Thermopylae' *Museum Africum* 5: 1–8.
- Burn, A. R., 1962. *Persia and the Greeks*. London: Arnold.
- Buxton, R., 1994. *Imaginary Greece. The context of mythology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cartledge, P., 2006. *Thermopylae. The battle that changed the world*. Woodstock-New York: Overlook Press.
- Cartledge, P. and A. Spawforth, 1989. *Hellenistic and Roman Sparta*. London-New York: Routledge.
- Clairmont, C. W., 1983. *Patrios Nomos: Public Burial in Athens during the Fifth and Fourth Centuries B.C.* Oxford: BAR.
- Connor, W. R., 1979. 'Pausanias 3.14.1: a sidelight on Spartan history, c. 440 B.C.?' *TAPA* 109: 21–7.
- Coppola, A., 2008. *L'eroe ritrovato: Il mito del corpo nella Grecia classica*. Venezia: Marsilio.
- Corbett, P. E., 1949. 'ΛΕΩΝ ΕΠΙ ΛΕΩΝΙΑΗ' *Hesperia* 18: 104–7, pl.4.
- David, E., 1991. *Old Age in Sparta*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.
- Di Donato, R., 2003. 'Aristeuein' *Incidenza dell' Antico* 1: 43–96 = Id. 2006, *Aristeuein. Premesse antropologiche ad Omero*: 35–52. Pisa: ETS.
- Dimauro, E., 2008. *Re contro. La rivalità dinastica a Sparta fino al regno di Agide II*. Alessandria: Edizioni dell'Orso.
- Ducat, J., 2005. 'Aristodémos le trembleur' *Ktèma* 30: 205–16.
- Ducat, J., 2006. 'The Spartan tremblers' in S. Hodkinson (ed.) *Sparta and war*: 1–55. Swansea: Classical Press of Wales.
- Farnell, L. R., 1921. *Greek Hero Cults and Ideas of Immortality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Flower, M. A. and J. Marincola, 2002. *Herodotus, 'Histories'. Book IX*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Frazer, J. G., 1913. *Pausanias's 'Description of Greece'*. Translated with a commentary. Vol. I. London: Macmillan.
- Hignett, C., 1963. *Xerxes' Invasion of Greece*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Hodkinson, S., 2000. *Property and Wealth in Classical Sparta*. London-Swansea: Classical Press of Wales.
- How, W. W. and J. Wells, 1936 (1912). *A Commentary on Herodotus* 1–2. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Hunt, P., 1998. *Slaves, Warfare, and Ideology in Greek Historians*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jacoby, F., 1913. *RE s.v. Herodotos*. Supplb. 2, cols. 205–520.
- Jacoby, F., 1944. 'Patrios nomos: state burial in Athens and the public cemetery in the Kerameikos' *JHS* 64: 37–66.

- Kirchhoff, A., 1878². *Über die Entstehungszeit des herodotischen Geschichtswerkes*. Berlin: F. Dümmler.
- Lombardo, M., 2005. 'Erodoto sulle Termopili: Leonida, Demarato e l'ideologia spartiana' in M. Giangiulio (ed.) *Erodoto e il 'modello erodoteo'. Formazione e trasmissione delle tradizioni storiche in Grecia*: 173–92. Trento: Università degli Studi di Trento.
- Loomis, W. T., 1990. 'Pausanias, Byzantion and the formation of the Delian League. A chronological note' *Historia* 39, 487–92.
- Low, P., 2006. 'Commemorating the Spartan war-dead' in S. Hodkinson and A. Powell (eds) *Sparta and war*: 85–109. Swansea: Classical Press of Wales.
- MacDowell, D. M., 1986. *Spartan Law*. Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.
- Meyer, E., 1954 (1967²). *Pausanias Beschreibung Griechenlands*. Zürich: Artemis Verlag.
- Moggi, M., 2007. 'La battaglia delle Termopili: una sconfitta che vale una vittoria' in L. Santi Amantini (ed.) *Il dopoguerra nel mondo greco. Politica, propaganda, storiografia*: 3–39. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider.
- Müller, K. O., 1844. *Die Dorier*. Breslau: Josef Mar und Komp.
- Musti, D. and M. Torelli, 1991. *Pausania, 'Guida della Grecia', libro III. La Laconia*. Milano: Mondadori.
- Nafissi, M., 1991. *La nascita del kosmos*. Napoli: Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane.
- Nafissi, M., 2004. 'Pausania, il vincitore di Platea' in C. Bearzot and F. Landucci (eds) *Contro le 'leggi immutabili': Gli Spartani fra tradizione e innovazione*: 53–90. Milano: Vita e Pensiero.
- Nafissi, M., 2004a. 'Tucidide, Erodoto e la tradizione su Pausania nel V secolo' in R. Vattuone (ed.) *Sparta fra tradizione e storia*: 147–80. Bologna: Pàtron.
- Nitzsch, K. W., 1872. 'Ueber Herodotos Quellen für die Geschichte der Perserkriege' *Rheinisches Museum* 27: 226–68.
- Page, C. 1996. 'Thumos and Thermopylae: Herodotus VII 238' *Ancient Philosophy* 16: 301–31.
- Paradiso, A., 2002. 'Hdt.VII.229 φιλοψυχέοντα?' *QS* 55: 163–9.
- Podlecki, A. J., 1968. 'Simonides: 480' *Historia* 17: 257–75.
- Prandi, L., 1990. 'I caduti delle guerre persiane (morti per la città o morti per la Grecia?)' *CISA* 16: 47–68.
- Pritchett, W. K., 1974. 'Aristeia in Greek Warfare', in Pritchett *The Greek State at War* 2: 276–90. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Richer, N., 1994. 'Aspect des funérailles à Sparte' *CCG* 5: 51–96.
- Ronconi, F., 2003. *La traslitterazione dei testi greci*. Spoleto: Centro Studi Alto Medioevo.
- Schaefer, H., 1949. *RE* 18, s.v. *Pausanias* 26: cols.2578–2584.
- Schaefer, H., 1957. 'Das Eidolon des Pausanias' in K. Schauenburg (ed.) *Charites. Studien zur Altertumswissenschaft, E. Langlotz gewidmet*: 223–33. Bonn: Athenäum-Verlag.
- Schweighäuser, J., 1817. *Herodoti Musae sive Historiarum libri IX*, ad veterum codicum fidem denuo recensuit lectionis varietate, continua interpretatione latina, adnotationibus Wesselingii et Valckenarii aliorumque et suis illustravit J. Schweighäuser, Londini Parisiis Argentorati: Treuttel und Würtz.
- Stein, H., 1908⁶. *Herodotos I–IV*. Berlin: Weidmann.

Herodotus' list of the three hundred

- Vannicelli, P., 2006. Commentary in D. Asheri (ed.) *Erodoto, Le Storie, libro IX, La battaglia di Platea*. Milano: Mondadori.
- Vannicelli, P., 2007. 'To Each His Own: Simonides and Herodotus on Thermopylae' in J. Marincola (ed.) *A Companion to Greek and Roman Historiography*: II 315–21. Malden: Blackwell.
- Vernant, J.-P., 1989. *L'individu, la mort, l'amour. Soi-même et l'autre en Grèce ancienne*. Paris: Gallimard.
- West, S., 1985. 'Herodotus' epigraphical interests' *CQ* n.s. 35: 278–305.
- White, M.E., 1964. 'Some Agiad dates: Pausanias and his sons' *JHS* 84: 140–52.
- Ziehen, L., 1929. *RE* 3 A, s.v. *Sparta* (E. *Spartanische Kulte*), cols 1453–1525.