

#### Poverty Alleviation in the Wake of Typhoon Yolanda: Results of the 2015 Survey of Individuals in Selected Communities<sup>1</sup>

#### Clarinda L. Berja

The 2016 Poverty Alleviation in the Wake of Typhoon Yolanda Survey is a household survey of 800 individuals in selected communities, in Tacloban, Tanauan and Palo in the province of Leyte. It is the second in a series three cross sectional surveys, conducted by the University of Nottingham, United Kingdom in cooperation with the University of Nottingham, Ningbo, China and the University of the Philippines, Diliman. It aims to identify strategies that work in relation to poverty alleviation in post-disaster environments and the conditions necessary for the success and scaling up of these strategies based on the case of relief efforts in selected Yolanda areas. Generating data on urban population risks and vulnerability to disasters as well as resilience towards environmental shocks, are examined in this study.

The survey covers post-Yolanda experiences. Recovery, resilience, community support and capacity-building through training are its main focus. Respondents were asked questions on their experiences, attitudes and perceptions on assistance, relief and relocation. Questions about their access to food, health services and education, livelihood, community support and training on livelihood were also included. The respondents' background characteristics and the household roster were also gathered by survey.

There are two types of data generated by the survey: one is the individual as unit of analysis and the other is the household level data, derived from the household roster form. To generate the individual data, the household head or the spouse of the household head was interviewed. In case when both are not available, any responsible adult who is knowledgeable about the personal details of the members of the household is interviewed. The data generated from this survey serves as baseline data of this study on poverty alleviation two years after selected localities of Tacloban, Tanauan and Palo were

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citations of this data should reference the ESRC/DFID funded project 'Poverty Alleviation in the Wake of Typhoon Yolanda'. ESRC Reference ES/M008932/1.You can follow this project on Facebook as Project\_Yolanda and Twitter @Project\_Yolanda. Please contact Claire Berja through email: claire.berja@gmail.com, University of the Philippines with any queries on the data.

devastated by Yolanda.

The central variable used in the analyses is poverty alleviation. It was determined by asking at self-perceived poverty, access to food, housing, health, education, and livelihood. Respondents were also asked to assess the assistance that they received from within and outside their community.

The questions were ordered in a manner that approximates the natural sequence of experiences in the aftermath of Yolanda experience, in order to help the respondents recall their experiences and to ensure accuracy and consistency of responses.

### POVERTY ALLEVIATION IN THE WAKE OF YOLANDA SUMMARY TABLES

RESPONDENTS' IDENTIFICATION BY CITY/MUNIPALITY AND NAME OF SAMPLE BARANGAY, 2016

NAME OF SAMPLE BARANGAY		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
88 SAN JOSE		12.5%		5.0%
91 ABUCAY		12.5%		5.0%
ANIBONG (BRGYS 67, 66-A)		24.9%		10.0%
BARANGAY 54		12.5%		5.0%
BARANGAY 54-A		12.8%		5.1%
BARANGAY 87		12.5%		5.0%
BARANGAY 89		12.5%		5.0%
BISLIG			16.7%	5.0%
CALOGLOG			16.7%	5.0%
CAVITE EAST	16.7%			5.0%
COGON	16.7%			5.0%
LIBERTAD	16.7%			5.0%
PAWING	16.7%			5.0%
SALVACION	16.7%			5.0%
SALVADOR			16.7%	5.0%
SAN JOAQUIN	16.7%			5.0%
SAN ROQUE			16.7%	5.0%
STA.CRUZ			16.7%	5.0%
STO.NINO			16.7%	5.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### SEX OF RESPONDENTS BY CITY/MUNIPALITY, 2016

SEX		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
MALE	20.4%	28.0%	26.7%	25.3%
FEMALE	79.6%	72.0%	73.3%	74.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### AGE OF RESPONDENTS BY CITY/MUNIPALITY, 2016

AGE		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
17	0.4%			0.1%
18	0.8%	0.6%		0.5%
19		0.9%	0.4%	0.5%
20	0.4%	1.9%	0.4%	1.0%
21	0.8%	2.2%	1.7%	1.6%
22	2.1%	0.9%	2.5%	1.8%
23	0.8%	3.5%	4.6%	3.0%
24	1.2%	0.6%	1.7%	1.1%
25	2.9%	3.2%	4.2%	3.4%
26	2.1%	3.8%	2.9%	3.0%
27	1.2%	3.5%	2.1%	2.4%
28	3.3%	1.6%	2.9%	2.5%
29	1.7%	4.1%	3.3%	3.1%
30	3.8%	0.9%	2.1%	2.1%
31	2.1%	1.3%	3.3%	2.1%
32	3.8%	3.5%	3.3%	3.5%
33	5.4%	3.2%	3.8%	4.0%
34	1.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.9%
35	2.5%	4.1%	1.7%	2.9%
36	0.8%	1.6%	4.2%	2.1%
37	1.2%	2.8%	0.8%	1.8%
38	0.8%	2.5%	1.7%	1.8%
39	3.3%	2.2%	3.3%	2.9%
40	3.3%	2.8%	2.9%	3.0%
41	2.1%	2.8%	5.0%	3.3%

	_	-		
42	2.5%	1.9%	2.1%	2.1%
43	0.8%	1.9%	2.1%	1.6%
44	2.1%	2.8%	1.2%	2.1%
45	2.5%	2.2%	1.2%	2.0%
46	2.9%	2.2%	1.7%	2.3%
47	2.9%	0.6%	0.8%	1.4%
48	1.7%	2.2%	2.1%	2.0%
49	4.6%	0.9%	3.3%	2.8%
50	1.7%	4.4%	2.9%	3.1%
51	0.8%	1.9%	2.1%	1.6%
52			5.0%	
	1.7%	3.5%	5.0%	3.4%
53	1.2%	1.9%	2.004	1.1%
54	2.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.5%
55	2.9%		1.7%	1.4%
56	0.4%	1.9%	0.8%	1.1%
57	1.2%	0.9%	1.2%	1.1%
58	0.8%	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%
59	1.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%
60	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%
61	2.5%	1.3%	0.4%	1.4%
62	2.1%		0.8%	0.9%
63	1.2%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%
64		0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
65	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%
66	1.2%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%
67	1.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%
68	1.2%	1.3%	0.8%	1.1%
69	0.8%	0.9%		0.6%
70	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%
71	1.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%
72	0.4%	0.6%		0.4%
73	0.4%	0.3%		0.3%
74		0.3%		0.1%
75		0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
76		0.6%		0.3%
78			0.8%	0.3%
79		0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
80	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
91	0.4%	400.007	100.004	0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

% OF RESPONDENTS WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITY, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016						
DO YOU HAVE ANY		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
PHYSICAL DISABILITY?	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN				
YES	3.0%	0.3%	2.5%	1.8%		
NO	96.6%	99.7%	97.5%	98.0%		
NO ANSWER	0.4%			0.1%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### CIVIL STATUS OF RESPONDENTS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

CIVIL STATUS			Total	
	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
SINGLE	7.1%	5.6%	12.9%	8.2%
MARRIED	79.2%	78.8%	50.0%	70.3%
WIDOWED	12.1%	10.9%	8.8%	10.6%
SEPARATED/ DIVORCED	0.4%	2.8%	2.1%	1.9%
LIVE-IN	0.8%		26.2%	8.1%
NOT INDICATED	0.4%	1.9%		0.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED BY CITY/MUNIPALITY, 2016

HIGHEST LEVEL OF			Total	
SCHOOLING	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
COMPLETED				
NONE	0.4%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%
ELEMENTARY LEVEL	10.4%	16.3%	16.7%	14.6%
ELEMENTARY	13.3%	16.3%	10.4%	13.6%
GRADUATE	13.3 /0	10.5 /0	10.470	13.070
HIGH SCHOOL LEVEL	19.2%	21.6%	22.9%	21.3%
HIGH SCHOOL	30.8%	21.6%	22.1%	24.5%
GRADUATE	30.070	21.070	22.170	24.570
VOCATIONAL		0.6%		0.3%
SCHOOL LEVEL	1	0.6%		0.5%
VOCATIONAL	2.5%	0.3%	1.2%	1.3%
COLLEGE LEVEL	13.3%	14.1%	14.6%	14.0%

COLLEGE GRADUATE	10.0%	7.5%	10.8%	9.3%
POST GRADUATE		0.6%		0.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### RESPONDENTS' WORK STATUS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

WORK STATUS		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN				
Not applicable		0.6%		0.2%		
No response	0.4%			0.1%		
Yes	34.2%	39.3%	47.1%	40.1%		
No	65.4%	60.1%	52.9%	59.6%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

OCCUPATION		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
None	65.8%	59.2%	52.9%	59.3%
AVON DEALER	0.4%			0.1%
BABY SITTER	0.4%		0.4%	0.2%
BABYSITTER			0.4%	0.1%
BARANGAY AID		0.3%		0.1%
BARANGAY CAPTAIN		0.3%		0.1%
BARANGAY COUNCILOR		0.3%		0.1%
BARANGAY HEALTH WORKER	0.8%		0.8%	0.5%
BARANGAY KAGAWAD			0.8%	0.2%
BARANGAY SECRETARY			0.4%	0.1%
BARANGAY TANOD, FARMER		0.3%		0.1%
BARANGAY TREASURER			0.4%	0.1%
BARANGAY VOLUNTEER			0.4%	0.1%
BEAUTICIAN		0.3%		0.1%
BNS VOLUNTEER			0.4%	0.1%
BROOM SELLER			0.4%	0.1%
BUSINESSMAN			0.4%	0.1%
CALL CENTER AGENT		0.3%	1.2%	0.5%
CARPENTER	0.4%		0.4%	0.2%
CASHIER	0.4%			0.1%

Г	1	1	1	
CLOTHES WASHER		1.6%	0.4%	0.7%
COAL VENDOR			0.4%	0.1%
COMPANY COOK			0.4%	0.1%
COMPANY DRIVER		0.3%		0.1%
CONSTRUCTION WORKER	0.4%	0.3%	0.8%	0.5%
соок		0.6%		0.2%
DAY CARE TEACHER			0.8%	0.2%
DELIVERY BOY	0.4%			0.1%
DISPATCHER AT AIRPORT		0.3%		0.1%
DRESSMAKER		0.6%		0.2%
DRIED FISH VENDOR			0.8%	0.2%
DRIVER	1.7%	3.4%	0.8%	2.1%
DSWD EMPLOYEE			0.4%	0.1%
ELECTRICIAN		0.3%		0.1%
FARMER	0.4%		0.4%	0.2%

OCCUPATION		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
FINANCE DEPARTMENT (VBC SECURITY AGENCY)	0.4%			0.1%
FISH AND MEAT VENDOR		0.6%		0.2%
FISH AND VEGETABLE VENDOR		0.3%		0.1%
FISH DEALER		0.6%		0.2%
FISH DEALER/CARPENTER		0.3%		0.1%
FISH VENDOR	1.7%	2.8%	4.2%	2.9%
FISHERMAN	0.4%	2.5%	5.0%	2.6%
FISHERY LAW ENFORCER		0.3%		0.1%
FOOD VENDING	0.4%	0.3%		0.2%
FOOD VENDOR	0.4%	3.7%	2.5%	2.4%
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE		0.3%		0.1%
HEALTH WORKER	0.4%			0.1%
HELPER	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%
HOG RAISER	0.4%			0.1%
HOUSE CARETAKER		0.3%		0.1%
HOUSE HELPER			1.2%	0.4%
HOUSE PAINTER		0.3%		0.1%
INSURANCE AGENT			0.4%	0.1%

JEEPNEY DRIVER		1.2%		0.5%
JOB ORDER		0.3%		0.1%
KAKANIN VENDOR			0.4%	0.1%
KYZ HOTEL INFORMATION DESK OFFICER			0.4%	0.1%
LABOR/UTILITY		0.3%		0.1%
LABORER	1.7%	1.2%	3.8%	2.1%
LAUNDRY	1.2%		2.1%	1.0%
LAUNDRY WOMAN	0.4%	1.2%		0.6%
LAUNDRY, SARI-SARI STORE	0.4%			0.1%
MANAGING A SARI-SARI STORE	2.5%			0.7%
MANICURE/PEDICURE EXPERT			1.2%	0.4%
MANICURIST/PEDICURIST	0.8%			0.2%
MARKET VENDOR		0.9%		0.4%
MASON			0.4%	0.1%

F .	i		i	ī
MCH DRIVER	Į.	1.2%	0.4%	0.6%
MECHANIC (PART-TIME)	0.4%			0.1%
MERCHANDISER			0.4%	0.1%
MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE			0.4%	0.1%
OFFICE CLERK			0.4%	0.1%
PAINT ARTIST			0.4%	0.1%
PAINTER/LABORER		0.3%		0.1%
PANGISDA			0.8%	0.2%
PEDICAB DRIVER	2.5%	3.7%	3.8%	3.4%
PEDICAB DRIVER/FISHERMAN		0.3%		0.1%
PLUMBER	0.4%			0.1%
POLICE	0.4%			0.1%
PORRIDGE VENDOR			0.4%	0.1%
PRIVATE WORKER	0.4%			0.1%
PROMOTER		0.3%		0.1%
RESTAURANT CREW		0.3%		0.1%
RTW VENDOR	0.4%	0.3%		0.2%
SALA SET FRAMER			0.4%	0.1%
SALES AGENT		0.3%		0.1%
SALES LADY		0.6%		0.2%
SALES REPRESENTATIVE	0.4%			0.1%
SARI-SARI STORE	6.7%		1.2%	2.4%
SARI-SARI STORE OWNER			2.1%	0.6%

SCHOOL SECRETARY	0.4%			0.1%
SEAMSTRESS	0.4%			0.1%
SECURITY GUARD	0.4%			0.1%
SELF-EMPLOYED		0.6%		0.2%
SIDELINE/PARTTIME		0.3%		0.1%
SMALL EATERY	0.4%			0.1%
SMALL STORE	1.2%			0.4%
STORE OWNER		0.9%		0.4%
STORE VENDOR		0.3%		0.1%
TAILOR		0.6%		0.2%
TEACHER	1.2%	0.6%	1.2%	1.0%
TREASURER		0.3%		0.1%
TRICYCLE DRIVER	0.4%	0.3%		0.2%
TUBA GATHERER			0.4%	0.1%
UTILITY	1	0.3%		0.1%
1				
VEGETABLE VENDOR	1	0.3%		0.1%
VENDOR	0.8%	0.9%	0.4%	0.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

REASONS FOR NOT WORKING, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

REASON FOR NOT	CITY/MUNICIPA		Total	
WORKING	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Cannot find work	34%	40%	47%	40%
Student	3%	3%	3%	3%
Housewife		1%	1%	1%
Retired	51%	51%	42%	48%
Health reasons	1%	1%	1%	1%
Other	8%	2%	5%	5%
Resigned	2%	2%	2%	2%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%

TOTAL FAMILY INCOME IN THE COURSE OF AN ORDINARY MONTH, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

TOTAL FAMILY INCOME IN THE COURSE OF AN		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
ORDINARY MONTH	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
	1		1	
0			1.20%	0.40%
300			0.80%	0.20%
500		1.90%	1.20%	1.10%
600		0.30%	0.40%	0.20%
800	0.40%			0.10%
1000	8.80%	3.70%	1.70%	4.60%
1200		0.30%	0.40%	0.20%
1500	3.80%	4.40%	4.60%	4.20%
1600		0.60%	0.40%	0.40%
1700			0.40%	0.10%
1750	0.40%			0.10%
1800		0.30%		0.10%
2000	5.80%	5.90%	7.10%	6.20%
2400		0.30%		0.10%
2500	1.20%	2.50%	1.20%	1.70%
2800			0.80%	0.20%
3000	7.50%	18.40%	14.20%	13.90%
3200	0.40%		0.80%	0.40%
3500	1.70%	1.90%	2.50%	2.00%
3600		0.30%	0.80%	0.40%
4000	3.80%	8.10%	4.20%	5.60%
4200			0.80%	0.20%
4500	2.90%	1.20%	5.80%	3.10%
4800			0.80%	0.20%
5000	9.20%	10.60%	7.10%	9.10%
5250	0.40%			0.10%
5600			1.20%	0.40%
5700		0.30%		0.10%
6000	11.70%	7.20%	7.90%	8.70%
6200	0.80%			0.20%
6240			0.80%	0.20%
6500	1.70%	0.30%	0.80%	0.90%
7000	2.90%	1.20%	0.80%	1.60%
7500		0.30%	1.20%	0.50%

7750	0.80%			0.20%
8000	2.90%	2.20%	5.80%	3.50%
8400			0.80%	0.20%
8500		0.30%		0.10%
9000	8.30%	1.20%	1.70%	3.50%
9300	0.80%			0.20%
9500		0.60%		0.20%
10000	4.20%	2.50%	5.40%	3.90%
10500			0.40%	0.10%
11000	0.80%	0.30%	0.40%	0.50%
12000	4.20%	1.60%	3.30%	2.90%
12270	0.40%			0.10%
12400	0.40%			0.10%
12500	0.40%			0.10%
13000		0.30%	0.40%	0.20%
13500			0.40%	0.10%
14000	0.40%	0.30%	0.40%	0.40%
14200			0.40%	0.10%
14800			0.40%	0.10%
15000	3.30%	2.50%	0.80%	2.20%
15300			0.40%	0.10%
16000	0.40%		0.40%	0.20%
18000		0.30%	1.20%	0.50%
20000	2.10%	0.30%	0.80%	1.00%
21000	0.80%		0.40%	0.40%
21600		0.30%		0.10%
22000		0.30%		0.10%
22800		0.30%		0.10%
24000		0.30%	0.40%	0.20%
25000	1.20%	0.30%	0.40%	0.60%
30000	1.20%			0.40%
32000			0.40%	0.10%
45000	0.40%			0.10%
50400			0.40%	0.10%
86000	0.40%			0.10%
DEPENDS ON CHILDREN'S INCOME		1.2%		0.5%
P100/DAY		1.9%	0.4%	0.9%
P150/DAY		1.6%	0.4%	0.7%

P200/DAY		0.3%		0.1%
P200/DAY		3.4%	0.4%	1.5%
P250/DAY	0.4%			0.1%
P260/DAY		1.2%		0.5%
P300/DAY		4.7%	1.2%	2.2%
P350/DAY		0.3%		0.1%
P400/DAY		0.3%		0.1%
P50/DAY		0.3%		0.1%
P500/DAY	0.4%	0.6%		0.4%
P60/DAY	0.4%			0.1%
NR	2.00%	0.30%	2.30%	2.40%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

SOURCE OF TOTAL FAMILY INCOME IN THE COURSE OF AN ORDINARY MONTH, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016					
SOURCE OF TOTAL FAMILY INCOME IN	CI				
THE COURSE OF AN ORDINARY MONTH	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	Total	
Wages and salaries	62.10%	58.30%	62.90%	60.60%	
Gift/help from relatives and others	5.40%	6.50%	6.70%	6.20%	
Rentals of property or any asset		0.30%		0.10%	
Pension and retirement benefits	3.30%	0.30%	0.80%	1.30%	
Business income	18.20%	26.40%	12.50%	19.60%	
Other	10%	8%	16%	11%	
No response	1.20%	0.60%	0.80%	0.90%	
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	

RESPONDENTS' MEMBERSHIP IN AN ORGANIZATION, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016					
MEMBERSHIP IN AN CITY/MUNICIPALITY Total					Total
ORG	ANIZATION PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUA		TANAUAN		
A 1 4	YES	34.2%	34.8%	41.4%	36.6%
A14	NO	65.8%	65.2%	58.6%	63.4%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### NAME OF ORGANIZATION, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

NAME OF ORGANIZATION	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
NOT APPLICABLE	67.9%	66.0%	57.9%	64.2%
SENIOR CITIZEN	0.4%			0.1%
4p's	12.1%	13.4%	9.6%	11.9%
4p's, WOMEN'S			0.4%	0.1%
4p's; HOA		0.3%		0.1%
4p's; HOME OWNER'S ASSOCIATION		0.9%		0.4%
ALIMASAG HOMEOWNER'S				
ASSOCIATION (URBAN POOR		0.3%		0.1%
ASSOCIATION)				
ASA	0.4%		,	0.1%
BADAC	0.4%			0.1%
CARD	0.8%			0.2%
CARD, 4p's		0.3%		0.1%
CARD, LIFEBANK	0.4%	ı		0.1%
CHURCH	0.4%			0.1%
COGON REVERIST	0.4%		ı	0.1%
CRMPC	0.4%		ı	0.1%
CRS		4.0%		1.6%
CWL	0.4%			0.1%
DAMPA (MICROFINANCE)			0.4%	0.1%
DRIVER'S ASSOCIATION	0.4%			0.1%
DUNGGANON	0.4%			0.1%
ECF		0.3%		0.1%
FALA (CHURCH)	0.4%			0.1%
FARMER'S			0.4%	0.1%
FARMERS ASSOCIATION			0.4%	0.1%
FARMERS ASSOCIATION, WOMEN'S			0.40/	0.10/
ASSOCIATION, MCCT			0.4%	0.1%
FISH DRIER ASSOCIATION OF BISLIG			0.8%	0.2%
FISH DRYER'S ASSOCIATION			1.7%	0.5%
FISH DRYER'S ASSOCIATION OF			0.4%	0.1%
BISLIG			0.4%	0.1%
FISH VENDORS			0.4%	0.1%
FISHERFOLK ORGANIZATION		0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
FISHERMAN'S ASSOCIATION	0.4%			0.1%

1	I		i i	ı
FISHERMAN'S ASSOCIATION OF			1.2%	0.4%
TANAUAN				
FISHERMAN'S ORGANIZATION OF			0.4%	0.1%
STA. CRUZ				
FISHERMAN'S ORGANIZATION OF			0.4%	0.1%
TANAUAN				
GUARDIAN	0.4%			0.1%
HAPINOY ORGANIZATION-ASIRASIA			0.4%	0.1%
SPONSORED)				
НОА		0.6%		0.2%
IEDA		0.3%	ı	0.1%
IEDA WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION		0.3%		0.1%
KABABAYEN-AN HAN TANAUAN,				
MCCT, YEDDA ROMUALDEZ-YNA			0.4%	0.1%
GIMENEZ INITIATED-			0.170	0.170
ORGANIZATION				
KABABAYIN-AN HAN TANAUAN			1.2%	0.4%
KABABAYIN-AN HAN TANAUAN, 4p's			0.4%	0.1%
KCAID	0.4%		11:	0.1%
KING CRAB'S ASSOCIATION			3.3%	1.0%
KNIGHTS	0.4%			0.1%
LEGION OF MARY	0.4%			0.1%
LUY-A	0.4%			0.1%
MACHANTI (FISH VENDORS)			0.4%	0.1%
MASTER FISH ASSOCIATION			0.4%	0.1%
MATCHATI FISH VENDOR			0.4%	0.1%
МССТ	5.0%	4.7%	10.4%	6.5%
MCCT (FROM 4p's)	0.4%			0.1%
MCIT	2.170	0.3%		0.1%
MOTHER'S CLASS, WOMEN'S		5.5 70		0.1 /0
ORGANIZATION		0.3%		0.1%
ONGMINIZMITON	I	I	I	

OPERATORS AND DRIVERS		I		
ASSOCIATION		0.3%	0.1%	
PEDICAB DRIVERS			0.4%	0.1%
PEDICAB DRIVERS' ASSOCIATION				
(pertaining to her husband)			0.4%	0.1%
RTW ORGANIZATION (municipal-			0.8%	0.20/
initiated)			0.8%	0.2%
SACOSATODA	0.4%		ı	0.1%
SALVACION SENIOR CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION	0.4%			0.1%
SASCA	0.8%			0.2%
SENIOR CITIZEN	1.2%	0.3%		0.5%
SENIOR CITIZEN, 4p's		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZEN, PHCCI		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZEN'S GROUP		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZEN'S GROUP, 4p's		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZEN'S ORGANIZATION	2.5%			0.7%
SENIOR CITIZENS		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZENS ASSOCIATION OF				
TANAUAN (SCAT), WOMEN'S			0.8%	0.2%
ORGANIZATION				
STA. CRUZ WOMEN'S FISHERFOLK				
ASSOCIATION, BHW ASSOCIATION,			0.4%	0.1%
SMALL COCONUT FARMER'S				
ORGANIZATION	0.404			0.407
SWINE CHAPTER	0.4%			0.1%
TACLOBAN FISHERFOLKS ASSOCIATION		0.3%		0.1%
TALABAHAN			0.4%	0.1%
UNDP		0.3%	0.470	0.1%
UPA/HOA		0.9%	ı	0.1%
URBAN POOR ASSOCIATION		2.2%		0.4%
WOMEN FRIENDLY SPACE		2.270		0.570
FACILITATION	0.4%			0.1%
WOMEN'S			1.2%	0.4%
	ı	I	2.2 /0	3.170

	WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION	0.4%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%
	WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF TANAUAN			0.4%	0.1%
	WOMEN'S ORGANIZATION			0.4%	0.1%
	WOMENS' ORGANIZATION OF TANAUAN			0.4%	0.1%
	WORLD VISION		0.3%		0.1%
	YOLANDA SURVIVORS OPERATOR AND DRIVER'S ASSOCIATION		0.3%		0.1%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### RECEIVED ASSISTANCE FROM TYPHOON YOLANDA DONORS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

STILL RECEIVING		Total		
ASSISTANCE?	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	1.7%	25.3%	8.4%	13.2%
No	98.3%	74.7%	91.6%	86.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### TYPE OF ASSISTANCE RECEIVED BY RESPONDENTS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
CASH	50.0	74.1	100.0	78.1
SHELTER MATS.	0.0	12.3	90.0	26.7
LIVELIHOOD	0.0	34.6	40.0	34.3
EDUCATION	25.0	0.0	5.0	1.9
ASSORTED GOODS	50.0	8.6	20.0	21.9

NOTE: Multiple response questions. Denominator includes those who continue to receive assistance up to the present.

#### ADEQUACY OF ASSISTANCE RECEIVED BY RESPONDENTS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN		
Yes	68%	29.9%	22.5%	35.1%
No	31.9%	70.1%	77.5%	64.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ADEQUACY OF SHELTER MATERIALS ASSISTANCE RECEIVED, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Yes	53.5%	30.3%	19.4%	26.7%
No	46.5%	69.7%	80.6%	73.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ADEQUACY OF THE LIVELIHOOD ASSISTANCE RECEIVED, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO			
Yes	75.0%	36.4%	52.6%	47.6%
No	25.0%	63.6%	47.4%	52.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ADEQUACY OF THE ASSISTANCE ON EDUCATION OF CHILDREN, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Yes	100.0%	20.0%	100.0%	42.9%
No		80.0%		57.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Total		
	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Yes	78.0%	47.2%	85.7%	70.6%
No	22.0%	52.8%	14.3%	29.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### AMOUNT OF CASH (PHP) RECEIVED BY RESPONDENTS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		A1		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
3000.00		0.5%		0.2%
4000.00		0.5%		0.2%
5000.00	0.8%			0.2%
6000.00	0.8%		0.4%	0.4%
8000.00		10.5%	3.5%	5.5%
9000.00		0.5%		0.2%
10000.00	9.2%	12.3%	37.6%	21.7%
11000.00		0.5%		0.2%
12000.00		17.7%	7.1%	9.7%
13000.00		0.5%	0.9%	0.5%
15000.00	6.7%	15.9%	12.4%	12.5%
16000.00	0.8%			0.2%
17000.00	0.8%			0.2%
18000.00		0.9%	5.3%	2.5%
20000.00	2.5%	2.7%	3.5%	3.0%
22000.00		0.5%	12.4%	5.1%
23000.00	0.8%	0.5%		0.4%
25000.00		1.4%	13.7%	6.0%
27000.00	0.8%			0.2%
30000.00	37.5%	14.5%	2.2%	14.5%
31000.00		0.5%		0.2%
32000.00	1.7%	0.9%		0.7%
33000.00	1.7%	0.5%		0.5%
33500.00	0.8%			0.2%
35000.00	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
36000.00		2.7%		1.1%
38000.00	0.8%	4.1%		1.8%
40000.00		1.8%		0.7%

		i ·		
42000.00	0.8%	3.2%		1.4%
43000.00	0.8%			0.2%
44000.00	0.8%	0.5%		0.4%
45000.00	6.7%	2.7%		2.5%
47000.00	3.3%			0.7%
49000.00	0.8%	0.5%		0.4%
50000.00	8.3%	1.4%		2.3%
51000.00	0.8%			0.2%
52000.00		0.5%		0.2%
53000.00	0.8%			0.2%
55000.00	1.7%			0.4%
60000.00	0.8%			0.2%
68000.00		0.5%		0.2%
70000.00	1.7%			0.4%
71000.00	0.8%			0.2%
72000.00		0.5%		0.2%
73000.00	0.8%			0.2%
76000.00	0.8%			0.2%
77000.00	1.7%			0.4%
78000.00	0.8%			0.2%
85000.00	0.8%			0.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### AMOUNT OF CASH (PHP)/LIVELIHOOD ASSISTANCE RECEIVED, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

			CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
		PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
	NOT APPLICABLE	96.7%	84.4%	75.8%	85.5%
	SEWING MACHINE		0.3%		0.1%
	10000	0.4%	9.0%	5.8%	5.5%
	25000		0.6%		0.2%
	10000 cash and training		0.3%		0.1%
B4C	15000		0.9%	4.2%	1.6%
	21000 cash and Freezer			0.4%	0.1%
	16000			0.4%	0.1%
	2500			0.4%	0.1%
	3000		0.6%		0.2%
	30000		0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

	•				
	5000	1.2%	0.9%	2.5%	1.5%
	5000 cash and Training	4	0.3%		0.1%
	6000		0.9%	0.4%	0.5%
	7000		0.3%		0.1%
	9500			2.1%	0.6%
	BOAT (NVC)			0.4%	0.1%
	CAPITAL			0.4%	0.1%
	FISH DRYING			0.4%	0.1%
	FISH NETS, FISHING BOATS				
	(MARSMAN, BAGONG PAG-ASA),				
	FISH PEN MATERIALS (JICA),			0.4%	0.1%
	OYSTER ROCK (FOR CULTURE)				
	(JICA)				
	FISH PEN	<u> </u>		0.4%	0.1%
	FISHING EQUIPMENTS			0.4%	0.1%
	FISHING NETS, BOAT	0.4%			0.1%
	HOG RAISING			0.4%	0.1%
	KAWIL, PUKOT		0.3%		0.1%
	P2500/PIGLET	lı.		0.4%	0.1%
	PEDICAB			0.8%	0.2%
	PIGLETS			1.2%	0.4%
	PIGS			0.4%	0.1%
	PUKOT, MAKINA PARA SAKAYAN		0.3%		0.1%
	SARI-SARI STORE	0.8%			0.2%
	SMALL STORE	0.4%			0.1%
	SPARE PARTS FOR PEDICAB	Į.		0.4%	0.1%
	STORE	<b>!</b>		0.4%	0.1%
	TALABAHAN (JICA)			0.4%	0.1%
	TRAINING		0.3%		0.1%
	TRAINING - FISH PROCESSING			0.4%	0.1%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ASSISTANCE ON EDUCATION OF CHILDREN, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY			
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN		
NONE	100.0%	99.1%	99.6%	99.5%	
4p's		0.3%		0.1%	
FULL TUITION FEE			0.4%	0.1%	
500/MONTH		0.3%		0.1%	
5000		0.3%		0.1%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### OTHER ASSISTANCE RECEIVED, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
NOT APPLICABLE	56.2%	93.8%	94.6%	82.8%
1 SACK OF RICE		0.3%	2.1%	0.7%
2 1/2 SACKS OF RICE		0.3%		0.1%
2 SACKS OF RICE		0.3%	0.8%	0.4%
2		0.3%		0.1%
40 KG RICE		0.3%		0.1%
CANNED GOODS	0.4%			0.1%
CANNOT BE DETERMINED		0.3%		0.1%
EMERGENY BAG	0.4%			0.1%
GOODS	0.4%			0.1%
HOUSE		3.1%	0.4%	1.4%
HOUSE (UMCOR			1.2%	0.4%
P2000		0.3%		0.1%
P5000			0.4%	0.1%
RELIEF GOODS	42.1%	0.9%		13.0%
RICE			0.4%	0.1%
TOOLS	0.4%			0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### OFFER TO MOVE TO A RELOCATION SITE, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANA				
Yes	12.9%	43.1%	25.9%	28.9%		
No	87.1%	56.9%	74.1%	71.1%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### ORGANIZATIONS THAT OFFERED RELOCATION, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

ORGANIZATION THAT OFFERED RELOCATION		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
NOT APPLICABLE	89.6%	57.6%	80.0%	73.9%
ALAY BUHAY			0.4%	0.1%
BARANGAY			0.4%	0.1%
BARANGAY, DSWD			0.4%	0.1%
BRGY CAPTAIN			0.4%	0.1%
CITY GOVERNMENT		2.2%		0.9%
CITY HOUSING	1	8.7%		3.5%
CITY HOUSING OFFICE		0.6%		0.2%
CRS	2.1%	14.0%	0.4%	6.4%
CRS ABUCAY	1	0.3%		0.1%
CRS AND LGU	ı	0.3%		0.1%
CRS, CITY HOUING OFFICE	ı	0.3%		0.1%
CRS, CITY HOUSING	ı	1.6%		0.6%
CRS, CITY HOUSING OFFICE	ı	0.3%		0.1%
CRS, HOUSING	ı	0.3%		0.1%
CRS/CITY HOUSING	ı	0.3%		0.1%
DIIT	ı	0.3%		0.1%
DOES NOT REMEMBER	ı	0.3%		0.1%
DSWD	3.8%	0.3%	2.5%	2.0%
GAWAD KALINGA	0.4%		5.4%	1.7%
GMA	1.2%			0.4%
GMA FOUNDATION	ı	0.3%		0.1%
НАВІТАТ			0.8%	0.2%
HABITAT FOR HUMANITY/CTY HOUSING		0.3%		0.1%
INTERNATIONAL NGO	1	0.3%		0.1%
LGU-TANAUAN			0.4%	0.1%

_			•	1	
LOT OW	<i>V</i> NER		0.3%		0.1%
MAYOR				0.4%	0.1%
MAYOR	PEL TECSON			2.5%	0.7%
MAYOR	, MUNISIPYO			0.8%	0.2%
MUNICI	PAL HALL			0.4%	0.1%
MUNISI	PYO			0.4%	0.1%
NGW K	AWAYAN		0.6%		0.2%
NHA, CI	RS		0.3%		0.1%
NOLA T	ULA		0.3%		0.1%
OPERA'	ΓΙΟΝ BLESSING		1.6%		0.6%
OWNER	R OF LOT; NGO		0.3%		0.1%
B5a	RED ROSS		0.3%		0.1%
	SACME			0.4%	0.1%
	SAGKAHAN		0.6%		0.2%
	SM FOUNDATION		1.2%		0.5%
	SOS			3.8%	1.1%
	TAKURANGAN	0.4%			0.1%
	TZU CHI	2.5%			0.7%
	UNDP		0.3%		0.1%
	UPA		3.1%		1.2%
	UPA; CHRISTIAN AID, LCI; ACT ALLIANCE		0.3%		0.1%
	URBAN POOR ASSOCIATION		2.2%		0.9%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

DISTANCE OF THE RELOCATION SITE FROM THE RESIDENCE AT THE TIME OF THE TYPHOON YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

DISTANCE (Km.)			Total	
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
0	11.8%			1.1%
1	5.9%	3.6%		3.4%
2		2.2%	30.0%	5.1%
3		0.7%	50.0%	6.2%
4	11.8%	3.6%		4.0%
5	47.1%	0.7%		5.1%
7		1.4%	20.0%	3.4%
8		25.2%		19.9%
9		0.7%		0.6%
10	5.9%	31.7%		25.6%
12		6.5%		5.1%
14		1.4%		1.1%
15	17.6%	7.9%		8.0%
20		2.9%		2.3%
21		0.7%	t-	0.6%
23		7.2%	1-	5.7%
25		2.9%	1-	2.3%
30		0.7%		0.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

B5b. How far is the relocation site from your residence at the time of typhoon Yolanda?

#### INTENTION TO STAY PERMANENTLY IN CURRENT RESIDENCE, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO			
Yes	93.3%	62.9%	87.5%	79.4%
No	6.7%	37.1%	12.5%	20.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### B6. Do you intend to stay permanently in your current residence?

Most of the respondents said they intend to stay permanently in their current residence.

#### STATUS OF GENERAL WELL-BEING, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN			
Better off now than						
one year after	22.5%	10.6%	16.2%	15.9%		
Yolanda						
Just the same	32.5%	45.0%	35.4%	38.4%		
Worse off now than	45.0%	44.4%	48.3%	45.8%		
before Yolanda Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### LOSS OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS AS A RESULT OF YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO			
Yes	12.6%	19.0%	14.7%	15.8%
No	87.4%	81.0%	85.3%	84.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### TOOK IN RELATIVES OR FRIENDS IN A LONG TERM BASIS, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN				
Yes	12.5%	20.9%	16.2%	17.0%		
No	87.5%	79.1%	83.8%	83.0%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### SCHOOL-AGED CHILDREN IN JUNE 2013, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY

		Total		
	PALO			
Yes	60.7%	62.8%	51.3%	58.7%
No	39.3%	37.2%	48.7%	41.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### STATE OF CHILDREN'S ACCESS TO EDUCATION

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
NOT APPLICABLE	37.1%	37.1%	45.4%	39.6%
Better than before Yolanda	13.3%	13.1%	12.5%	13.0%
Same	28.7%	31.1%	27.1%	29.2%
Worse than Yolanda	20.8%	18.7%	14.2%	18.0%
NO RESPONSE			0.8%	0.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE AND FACILITIES, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Better than before Yolanda	25.8%	19.7%	31.5%	25.1%
Same	53.3%	61.4%	53.4%	56.6%
Worse than before Yolanda	20.8%	18.8%	15.1%	18.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## IMPACT OF YOLANDA TO FAMILY'S MAIN SOURCE OF LIVELIHOOD, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, $2016 \label{eq:control}$

		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN		
Yes	89.8%	91.8%	96.2%	92.6%
No	10.2%	8.2%	3.8%	7.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### ACCESS TO LIVELIHOOD, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN			
Better than before Yolanda	18.4%	10.9%	12.5%	13.6%		
Same	39.3%	41.1%	27.5%	36.5%		
Worse than before Yolanda	42.3%	48.0%	60.0%	49.9%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### ACCESS TO FOOD, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total		
	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Better than before Yolanda	14.2%	9.7%	4.6%	9.5%
Same	62.9%	53.1%	57.9%	57.5%
Worse than before Yolanda	22.9%	37.2%	37.5%	33.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# DID AN ORGANIZATION HELP WITH A REGULAR SOURCE OF LIVELIHOOD, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016 (PLEASE ALSO REFER TO BELOW)

		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	30.0%	25.0%	1.2%	19.4%
No	70.0%	75.0%	98.8%	80.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

NAME OF ORGANIZATION		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
NOT APPLICABLE	73.3%	76.3%	99.2%	82.3%
(NOT FAMILIAR WITH ORG)		0.3%		0.1%
4p's	0.4%	0.6%		0.4%
4P'S	0.8%			0.2%
4p's, MCCT	0.4%			0.1%
ACF	0.4%	0.3%		0.2%
ACF,CFSI, JAICA, OXFAM, PLAN SAMARITAN, CRS			0.4%	0.1%
AUF		0.3%		0.1%
AUF, CRS		0.6%		0.2%
BFAR, OXFAM		0.3%		0.1%
CARD	0.4%			0.1%
CCT; DUNGGANON		0.3%		0.1%
COCY (FOR SENIOR CITIZENS)	0.4%			0.1%
CONCERN	0.4%			0.1%
CONCERN, OXFAM	0.4%			0.1%
CRS	11.7%	10.3%		7.6%
CRS, ACF		0.6%		0.2%
CRS, CITY HOUSING OFFICE		0.3%		0.1%
CRS, DOLE		0.6%		0.2%
DSWD	0.8%			0.2%
DSWD, OPLAN	0.4%			0.1%
DTI	1.2%			0.4%
DUNGGANON (MONEY LAENDING WITH INTEREST)		0.3%		0.1%
DUNGGAON (PAUTANG)	0.4%			0.1%
ECF		0.3%		0.1%
FISHERFOLKS	0.8%			0.2%
HOLY SPIRIT FOUNDATION		0.3%		0.1%
INGO		0.3%		0.1%
KALAHI, CIDDS	0.4%			0.1%
KALAHI, CRS	0.4%			0.1%
KSAID	0.4%			0.1%
OPLAN	0.4%			0.1%

OXFAM		0.9%		0.4%
PEDICAB (OXFAM)		0.3%		0.1%
	 	ĺ	Ī	Ī
RED CROSS	2.5%			0.7%
RED CROSS, UNICEF, OXFAM		0.3%		0.1%
SAVE THE CHILDREN	1.2%			0.4%
SENIOR CITIZEN'S ORGANIZATION		0.3%		0.1%
SENIOR CITIZEN'S ORGANIZATION, PAUTANG		0.007		0.407
PARA CAPITAL NEGOSYO		0.3%		0.1%
TZU CHI		0.3%		0.1%
UPA		2.8%		1.1%
UPA/HOA		0.3%		0.1%
URBAN POOR ASSOCIATION		0.9%		0.4%
USAID	0.8%	0.6%		0.5%
WED PRO	0.8%			0.2%
Wed Pro, COCNERN	0.4%			0.1%
WOMEN'S ORGANIZATION (LIVESTOCK				
RAISING)			0.4%	0.1%
YEDDA WOMEN'S ORGANIZATION		0.6%		0.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### COMMUNITY SUPPORT PRIOR TO YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		Total			
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Yes	68.3%	71.2%	95.0%	77.5%	
No	31.7%	28.7%	5.0%	22.5%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### COMMUNITY SUPPORT AFTER YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN				
Yes	56.2%	73.8%	91.2%	73.8%		
No	43.8%	26.2%	8.8%	26.2%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

TOP THREE ORGANIZATIONS THAT HELPED THE RESIDENTS THE MOST

TOP 1		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
ORGANIZATION	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN			
THAT HELPED						
RESIDENTS						
Not Applicable			1.7%	0.5%		
1. Self/ family	21.2%	49.2%	49.6%	40.9%		
2. Barangay	2.5%	4.0%	21.7%	8.9%		
3. City/municipality	2.9%	7.2%	17.5%	9.0%		
4. Provincial Gov't	0.4%	5.3%		2.2%		
5. Local NGOs	51.7%	26.5%		26.1%		
6. International NGOs	2.1%	7.8%	2.5%	4.5%		
7. Foreign gov'ts	19.2%		6.7%	7.7%		
8. Other			0.4%	0.1%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

TOP 2 ORGANIZATION THAT HELPED RESIDENTS		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		
TOT 2 ONGINIZATION THAT HELD ED RESIDENTS	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Self/ family	20.4%	19.0%	8.3%	16.2%
Barangay		1.6%	15.4%	5.2%
City/municipality	1.2%	3.4%	34.2%	12.0%
Provincial Gov't	0.4%	1.2%	0.4%	0.7%
Local NGOs	22.1%	6.2%	16.2%	14.0%
International NGOs	10.4%	47.4%	5.0%	23.6%
Foreign gov'ts	44.6%	20.9%	19.6%	27.6%
Other (SM FOUNDATION; POPE FRANCIS	0.4%		0.4%	0.2%
VILLAGE)		0.3%		0.1%
(WISH KO LANG - GMA NETWORK PROGRAM)	0.4%			0.1%
Not applicable			0.4%	0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

TOP 3 ORGANIZATION THAT HELPED		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		
RESIDENTS	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Self/ Family	65.8%	26.8%	26.7%	38.5%
Barangay		0.3%		0.1%
City/municipality			0.8%	0.2%
International NGOs	0.4%	3.1%		1.4%
Foreign gov'ts	32.1%	53.0%	69.6%	51.7%

Other (SM FOUNDATION; POPE FRANCIS VILLAGE)	0.8%	13.1%	2.5%	6.2%
ABS-CBN	0.4%			0.1%
JOJO BINAY		0.6%		0.2%
PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL-FOREIGNER		0.3%		0.1%
RICH FAMILY)	0.4%			0.1%
TAN YAN KEE FOUNDATION, INC		1.9%		0.7%
USURER		0.3%		0.1%
OTHER, NOT SPECIFIED		0.6%		0.2%
N/A			0.4%	0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# EXTENT THE COMMUNITY HELPED ITSELF IN THE TWO YEARS SINCE THE DISASTER, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
A lot	0.8%	3.1%	19.2%	7.3%
Not much	72.4%	48.8%	52.1%	56.8%
Some but could have done more	22.2%	25.0%	24.6%	24.0%
Did not help itself	4.6%	23.1%	4.2%	11.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### EXTENT YOLANDA AFFECTED THE WELL-BEING OF FAMILY

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
No response	0.8%	4.0%		1.9%
It has not, we are the same as before	22.9%	18.4%	5.8%	16.0%
It is marginally more difficult to manage	42.9%	35.5%	37.5%	38.3%
It is significantly more difficult to manage	33.3%	38.0%	56.7%	42.2%
Improved		4.0%		1.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### FAMILY RECOVERY FROM THE EFFECT OF YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY			
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN		
Yes	73.8%	62.7%	61.7%	65.7%	
No	26.2%	37.3%	38.3%	34.3%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### $DISASTER\ RESILENCY\ BEFORE\ YOLANDA,\ BY\ CITY/MUNICIPALITY,\ 2016$

		Total		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	78.8%	69.2%	42.5%	64.0%
No	21.2%	30.8%	57.5%	36.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### DISASTER RESILIENCY POST-YOLANDA

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total	
	PALO	PALO TACLOBAN CITY TANAUAN			
Yes	56.2%	66.4%	75.8%	66.2%	
No	43.8%	33.6%	24.2%	33.8%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### CONFIDENCE IN FACING DISASTER AS A RESULT OF YOLANDA, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	71.1%	54.1%	89.2%	69.7%
No	28.9%	45.9%	10.8%	30.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

REASONS WHY TYPHOON IMPACTED THE REGION, BY CITY/MUNIIPALITY, 2016					
	С				
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	Total	
Fate	38%	19%	22%	25%	
Poverty	10%	12%	11%	11%	
Politics	2%	22%	2%	10%	
Geography/ Climate change	11%	34%	35%	27%	
Other	13%	2%	0%	5%	
No response	26%	12%	31%	22%	
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	

#### DUPLICATION IN AID, BY CITY/MUNICIPALITY, 2016

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	38.8%	41.2%		28.1%
No	61.3%	58.8%	100.0%	71.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### AID RECEVIED THAT WAS NOT NEEDED

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Yes	23.8%	11.2%	ı	11.6%
No	76.2%	88.8%	100.0%	88.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# AID OR TRAINING RECEVEID THAT ALLOWED RE-ESTABLISHING LIVELIHOOD, BY ${\it CITY/MUNICIPALITY}, 2016$

		Total		
	PALO			
Yes	17.5%	17.8%	16.8%	17.4%
No	82.5%	82.2%	83.2%	82.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### EXTENT THE AID OR TRAINING RECEIVED ALLOWED THEM TO RE-ESTABLISH LIVELIHOOD

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY		
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
A lot, it was an essential equipment or training	12.2%	13.3%	12.9%	12.9%
Not at all it was not useful for my livelihood	3.8%	2.5%	1.7%	2.6%
Training or equipment allowed me to change my livelihood	0.8%	3.5%	1.7%	2.1%
I did not receive any training or equipment	83.1%	80.7%	83.8%	82.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### EXTENT THE AID OR TRAINING RECEVEID INCREASED SELF-CONFIDENCE

	CITY/MUNICIPALITY			Total
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN	
Not apllicable	1.2%	1.6%		1.0%
A lot	14.2%	15.6%	15.0%	15.0%
Not at all	2.5%	2.8%	1.2%	2.2%
It made me more insecure and less confident		0.6%		0.2%
I did not receive any	82.1%	79.1%	83.8%	81.4%
Not much		0.3%		0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### PERCEPTION THAT THE COMMUNITY CAN DEAL WITH THE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY YOLANDA

		CITY/MUNICIPALITY				
	PALO	TACLOBAN CITY	TANAUAN			
Yes	76.7%	73.7%	99.6%	82.3%		
No	23.3%	26.3%	0.4%	17.7%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		