Welcome to our very first JBI Collaborating Centre Annual Report.

For those of you that have not heard of the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI), it was first established in 1996 as a joint initiative of Royal Adelaide Hospitals and the University of Adelaide. JBI is now an Institute of the University of Adelaide within the Faculty of Health Sciences. There are more than 70 Collaborating Centres across the world promoting evidence-based resources for service providers, healthcare professionals and consumers. The Joanna Briggs Collaborating Centres are self-governing collaborative entities, coordinated through the leadership of the Joanna Briggs Institute in Adelaide Australia. You can find out more about the JBI at www.joannabriggs.edu.au

The University of Nottingham Centre for Evidence Based Nursing and Midwifery (NCEBNM) was established in 2003 as the first European based Affiliate Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI). In 2012 our Centre changed from being an Affiliate Centre to a Collaborating Centre and in order to reflect the changes taking place in our School at the time, we changed our name to the Nottingham Centre of Evidence Based Healthcare (NCEBHC): a Collaborating Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute. JBI Collaborating Centres can decide to be involved with evidence synthesis, evidence appraisal or evidence utilization. Our Centre is mainly involved in evidence synthesis and is made up of health researchers, information scientists and practitioners who undertake systematic reviews following either the JBI or Cochrane approach. Our Centre works very closely with practice to ensure that we are undertaking systematic reviews that are addressing important clinical questions. In this way the evidence from our reviews can be fed directly back into practice either to inform guidelines and/or policy.

Systematic reviews not only inform practice but can also identify important gaps in the existing evidence base and may inform/support the need for more research. Further on in this report you will read about Question Drop – an initiative which enables practitioners to tell us their clinical questions which are then researched by students for their dissertations. By collaborating with our clinical colleagues in this way, we hope to build strong links between research and practice.

I welcome the opportunity to write this report as it has allowed me to highlight the excellent systematic reviews that students have undertaken and how these have informed practice guidelines and policy.

We also wish to highlight that the School has a JBI educational membership which allows all students to access the JBI Library and tools free of charge.

To date, our Centre has published five JBI systematic reviews and seven protocols in the JBI Library. Our team work very closely with a range of UK and international partners to deliver high quality systematic reviews, JBI accredited short courses in comprehensive systematic reviews, work based fellowship programmes in evidence based practice for healthcare professionals and we support newly established JBI Affiliate/ Collaborating Centres.

I hope you enjoy reading this report. Maybe it will inspire you to undertake a JBI review!

Finally I would like to thank everybody who has contributed to the JBI Centre. I would especially like to thank Davina Malcolm who has supported us for several years and without whom our JBI courses would not run. I would also like to welcome Lindsay McLoughlin who will take over the Centre’s administration from Davina at the end of August.

Fiona Bath-Hextall
Director of the University of Nottingham Centre of Evidence Based Healthcare: a Collaborating Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute
July 2013
Activities over the past year

In December 2012 our JBI Centre said goodbye to Dr Nick Allcock, one of the Centre co-directors who has now moved on to a Professorship at Glasgow Caledonian University. Nick had been with the Centre from the outset and we thank him for all his support over the years and wish him well in his new job.

Dr Fiona Bath-Hextall remains the Director and Dr Catrin Evans, who recently completed her ‘Train the Trainer’ course in Thailand, has taken on the role of Deputy Director. We are also very pleased to welcome Jo Cooper from Nottingham University Hospitals Trust (NUH) as a core member.

In March this year we secured free JBI membership for all NUH staff allowing staff at both Queen’s Medical Centre and City Hospital to have free access to the JBI Library and Evidence Based Practice tools. This is a major achievement which we hope will help towards achieving our mission of evidence informed decision making to improve health outcomes globally. We also hope that the membership will facilitate collaborative research between NUH and our School members. In May we ran a three day ‘Evidence Based Nursing’ course for 25 NUH staff and you can read more about this further on in the report.

In October of last year JBI officially launched their partnership with Wolters Kluwer Health (encompassing Lippincott Williams and Wilkins and OVID). This has meant that JBI resources and tools can now be accessed either through the Ovid platform or via Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins. You can find out more about how to access the JBI Library later on in this report.

Last year we established a JBI European Group in order to set up collaborative reviews and increase our opportunities to apply for EU funding. We had our first JBI European meeting in the University of Antwerp, School of Nursing and Midwifery and this was followed by a one day conference. As a direct result of this meeting the groups is now collaborating on three systematic reviews.

The second European meeting of the Joanna Briggs Collaboration took place in April this year at the Portugal Centre for Evidence Based Practice (PCEBP) in Coimbra, Portugal. A total of 27 members from ten Collaborating and Affiliate Centres and nine countries (Belgium, Denmark, England, Finland, Portugal, Romania, Scotland, Spain and Switzerland) participated. A public symposium was held on the second day of the event and attracted 130 participants, including health and education representatives, researchers, research fellows and health professionals from Portugal.

Last year the Joanna Briggs Institute International Convention was held in Chiang Mai, Thailand.

This year the JBI Colloquia is being held in Adelaide, South Australia (21-23 October). Three members of our JBI Centre will be giving oral presentations at this year’s convention themed ‘The 2013 campaign for getting evidence into policy’.
JBI Management Committee

Dr Fiona Bath-Hastall
Director of the Centre
Associate Professor and Reader
in Evidence-based Healthcare
and Honorary Associate Professor in the Centre of Evidence Based Dermatology

Fiona has been involved in systematic reviewing since 1997 and has authored more than 25 systematic reviews. Her reviews have informed NICE guidelines and identified gaps in research that have informed her research. She teaches evidence based practice to undergraduate, postgraduate and post registration students. She is a member of the JBI editorial board for the new online JBI Library and she represents the JBI European group on the JBI scientific committee.

Dr Catrin Evans
Deputy Director of the Centre
Catin qualified as a nurse in 1990. After working clinically in the field of HIV and infectious diseases, she obtained her PhD from the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine in 2000. Dr Evans’ research programme focuses on developing and evaluating community based initiatives for HIV prevention. She has a particular interest in implementation science, and has worked on several projects to explore and understand contextual factors that influence the development and potential transferability of intervention models. Much of Dr Evans’ work has been undertaken overseas, particularly in South East Asia and East Africa. Dr Evans has worked in the School of Health Sciences at the University of Nottingham since 2003 and feels strongly that education is a key route to personal empowerment and innovation in practice. She teaches courses related to evidence based practice and supervises many MSc and PhD research projects. Dr Evans’ research and educational practice is underpinned by a philosophy of working in partnership with all stakeholders, recognising that an enabling environment is critical for the implementation of new evidence, knowledge or skills.

Dr Joanne Cooper
Core member
Senior Research Fellow (visiting position) and Head of Nursing and Midwifery Research, Nottingham University Hospitals (NUH)

Jo holds a corporate nursing role at Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, leading the development of capacity and capability in nursing and midwifery research. She also leads on the evidence-based practice strategy for nursing within NUH. Her research interests are long-term conditions and self-management and decision-making, specifically linked to gastro-intestinal and liver disorders. She undertook the JBI Systematic Review Course in 2011 and is involved with two JBI systematic reviews.

Wendy Stanton
Core member

Wendy has over 30 years’ experience of working in medical and health science libraries, supporting both university and NHS professionals. She attended the first Evidence-Based Medicine for Librarians conference, held at Exeter University in September 1996. She has developed a series of training programmes to researchers undertaking for systematic reviews. She has also contributed to the integration of evidence based practice in the Graduate Nurse Curriculum.

Nicola Darlington
Core member

Nicola has worked in medical libraries for over 16 years, in both NHS and higher education settings. She has been the project manager for a current awareness service for staff working in acute trusts. Her current role is faculty team librarian and she is involved in the information skills training programme for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences. She finds supporting researchers who are conducting systematic reviews very rewarding.

JBI Systematic Reviewing Course

In July we are running another JBI systematic reviewing short course and we have 24 participants from India, Brazil, Ghana, Czechoslovakia and the UK. The course offers participants the opportunity to work collaboratively on reviews and is a wonderful opportunity for networking.

University of Sao Paulo, Brazil - EBP lectures and workshops

In August last year, Fiona was invited to the University of Sao Paulo to deliver a series of lectures and workshops on Evidence Based Practice. The University of Sao Paulo is a public university in the Brazilian state of Sao Paulo. It is the largest university and the country’s most prestigious educational institution. It has 11 campuses, four in Sao Paulo. The other campuses are in the cities of Bauru, Lorena, Piracicaba, Pirassununga, Ribeirao Preto and two in Sao Carlos.

The visit was a wonderful opportunity to strengthen our ties with the University of Sao Paulo. We are currently supporting and mentoring staff in the School of Nursing in Ribeirao Preto with two systematic reviews.

A tour of the United States of America highlighted the need for EBP knowledge for all Nottingham University Hospital Trust staff.

Emma Fizilamonos
Staff nurse, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust

Emma travelled to the USA, having secured a travel scholarship from the Florence Nightingale Foundation, to find out how evidence-based practice (EBP) was promoted and embedded within their nursing culture. She found that for the hospitals she visited, EBP was strongly embedded within the nursing culture. In these hospitals at staff received basic EBP training. Senior staff in these hospitals received more detailed training in EBP and acted as mentors for other staff in their clinical areas. Clinical staff were encouraged to question their practice and to identify small EBP projects. Support mechanisms and a system of ‘Shared Governance’ facilitated nurses in identifying and implementing EBP projects which led to improvements in patient and staff satisfaction and clinical outcomes for their patients.

Emma’s study tour of the USA highlighted four critical success factors for establishing a culture of EBP within nursing and midwifery: Shared Governance; EBP mentors and EBP education; visible support from leadership and feedback of audit and nursing outcomes. Emma’s tour of the USA highlighted the need to do something similar in her own hospital especially as the provision of education in the process of EBP is a priority for her Trust. After a number of meetings with the JBI Collaborating Centre at Nottingham a bespoke course was set up for nurses working within NUH to teach them about the essential steps in the EBP cycle and to implement an evidence-based change in their clinical areas.

In May we ran a three day ‘Evidence Based Nursing’ course for 25 NUH staff. The workshop was a hands-on highly experiential crash course in the principles and processes of evidence based practice. The participants were all senior, experienced nurses who quickly identified important clinical issues that required further research and development. The course enabled these practitioners to identify relevant evidence and to make a plan for further investigation resulting in a practice improvement project. The results from these projects will be disseminated after four months. Participants evaluated the course (and the ongoing mentoring provided by the NCEBHC) extremely positively. A common comment concerned a feeling of empowerment, of having additional knowledge and skills, but also the support of senior nursing management: ‘I now feel confident I can do something’ (renal nurse).
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Published Systematic Reviews in the JBI Library (2011-2013)


Published Systematic Review Protocols in the JBI Library


Benjamin Ellis, Marion Blackburn, Fiona Bath-Hextall. The effectiveness of balance training interventions in improving balance impairment and function in people with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review [Published 2013].


Kazi-Ampomah, Abigail, Nick Allcock, Fiona Bath-Hextall. Efficacy of music listening as a postoperative pain management intervention in adult patients: a systematic review [Published 2013].


Titles registered with JBI


The views and experiences of nurses in the provision and management of HIV testing: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. Carin Enarson.

The views and experiences of lay people in low income countries regarding health-related research and its associated processes: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. Carin Enarson.


Other published Systematic Reviews by Centre Members 2011 - 2013


Efficacy of music listening as a postoperative pain management intervention in adult patients: a systematic review [Published 2013].

Other published Systematic Reviews by Centre Members 2011 - 2013


Efficacy of music listening as a postoperative pain management intervention in adult patients: a systematic review [Published 2013].

Other published Systematic Reviews by Centre Members 2011 - 2013


The JBI Library

The Joanna Briggs Institute online library is a repository for publications and information for policy makers, health professionals, health scientists and others with a practical or academic interest in evidence-based healthcare. The first journal ‘The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports’, had its first issue for 2013 published recently and is currently receiving submissions. Two other online journals will soon be available: ‘The JBI Database of Best Practice Information Sheets and Technical Reports’ and ‘The JBI Database of Rapid Appraisals of Published Papers’.

The University of Nottingham’s JBI Centre offers educational membership for all of its students. This grants them access to the JBI Library and evidence based practice tools. In addition, as a collaborating centre of the JBI we have been able to secure free membership for all Nottingham University Hospital staff allowing them to have access to the JBI Library. This is a major achievement which we hope will help towards achieving our mission of evidence informed decision making to improve health outcomes globally. We also hope that the membership will facilitate collaborative research between the Trust and our School members.

How to find and search the JBI Library

Accessing the Joanna Briggs Institute Evidence Based Practice Data Base, University of Nottingham

If you are a member of University staff or a University of Nottingham student, log on to the eLibrary Gateway at http://metalib.library.nottingham.ac.uk/ and search by title

To use the EBP tools, you will need to first create a separate OVID personal account, then log on using this and you will see the following screen:

[Image]

University Hospitals NHS Trust staff

If you are a member of NUH staff you can access the database by logging on with your NHS Athens username and password to http://ovidsp.ovid.com/athens and selecting The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI). To access the EBP tools, you will need to have first created an OVID user account and you will see the same screen above.

[Image]

What are Search Filters?

Search filters are pre-tested strategies that identify the higher quality evidence from the vast amounts of literature indexed in the major medical databases. They are designed to retrieve records of research using a specific study design or some other feature of the research. Filters exist for systematic reviews, RCTs, diagnostic studies, economic studies, qualitative studies and many more. Links to the InterTasc search filter resource together with filters produced by SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network) and the HODGES project can be found on the centre’s site at www.nottingham.ac.uk/research/groups/cebhc/resources.aspx

Question Drop

Our Nottingham JBI Centre is working closely with our NUH colleagues to establish a system whereby NUH staff can propose important clinical questions for audit or systematic review by students and research staff in the School of Health Sciences.

How does it work?

When clinicians ask an important question that has arisen out of their clinical area, all they need to do is complete a form (available online) detailing their clinical question and then email it onto the JBI team. We will then advertise the question on the ‘Question Drop’ site to MSc students and staff. Depending on the question, the student may address the issue by conducting a systematic review or undertaking an audit. We hope this initiative will have two key mutually beneficial outcomes: (i) clinicians will get answers to their questions and (ii) students will have the opportunity to undertake relevant research, the results of which can be fed directly back into clinical practice.

Once a student has selected a question to work on, their details and the project title will be advertised to academic members of staff who can select the student and project they would like to supervise. We hope that there will be a clinical academic collaboration between the project supervisor and the clinician who will act as the clinical mentor on the project.

We are still in the process of designing the logistics for the ‘Question Drop’ system but we are confident that, once implemented, it will be of benefit to clinicians and the healthcare system. We aim to have the site up and running at the end of July 2013. More details will be available on the JBI Nottingham Centre’s website very soon.

Colleagues and students in our School are encouraged to register their systematic reviews with JBI. One of the advantages of registering a review with the JBI is that it provides a peer review process making publication of the final review much more likely. Completed JBI reviews, once approved, are published in the JBI Library. JBI also encourage authors to publish their reviews in other journals to widen dissemination of the findings.

For further information please contact either fiona.bath-hextall@nottingham.ac.uk or catrin.evans@nottingham.ac.uk
The University of Nottingham Centre of Evidence Based Healthcare: a Collaborating Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute

www.nottingham.ac.uk/research/groups/cebhc

The University of Nottingham Centre of Evidence Based Healthcare is keen to support innovation in teaching evidence based healthcare. The School is proud to be recognised as a national Centre of Excellence in teaching and Learning (CETL) for its work in developing Reusable Learning Objects – often referred to as ‘RLOs’. RLOs are small ‘chunks’ of interactive elearning that address just one specific learning objective. They involve text, visual and auditory delivery formats and include interactive exercises to reinforce learning.

It is well known that one of the main barriers for busy practitioners in engaging with EBP is time. For this reason, along with other colleagues, the NCEBHC team has been involved in the development of a series of RLOs to support teaching on all aspects of EBP. The EBP RLOs can be completed in 5-15 minutes – ideal for doing in a lunch break or as a quick reinforcement of key material covered in a course. Usage data shows that the EBP RLOs are extremely well used and are being accessed from all over the world.

In order to ensure that future development of RLOs is itself evidence-based, the NCEBHC is now undertaking a systematic review of the use and impact of RLOs!

The RLOs are open access. Go to www.nottingham.ac.uk/helm/resources/learning-objects/rlo-subject.aspx and then click on the EBP icon to see the list of evidence based practice RLOs.

Reusable Learning Objects on evidence based healthcare

This year, the NCEBHC was pleased to award two prizes to University of Nottingham doctoral students who have successfully completed JBI systematic reviews: Dr Louise Lansbury and John McLuskey. Well done to both.

The NCEBHC was also delighted to award three scholarships to nurse researchers from the Christian Medical College Vellore (South India) – one of India’s top nursing universities. They will be attending the Centre’s Systematic Review Short Course in July. We look forward to welcoming Vinitha Ravindran, Vathsala Sadan and Selva Titus Chacko.

The NCEBHC has also awarded a scholarship to Emma Fitzsimmons from the Nottingham University Hospital Trust, to attend the Systematic Review Course.

Scholarships

Train the Trainer Course

In November 2012, Dr Catrin Evans successfully completed the JBI Training of Trainers (ToT) Course held in Chiang Mai, Thailand. Below, she describes the experience:

“Having worked in many different countries, and seen at first hand the ‘know-do gap’, I am passionate about working with practitioners to help them to access, understand and implement best practice in healthcare. Having undertaken the JBI systematic review course, I was impressed with the organisation’s mission and vision and wanted to get more involved. I teach Evidence Based Practice in the School so I thought a deeper understanding of systematic reviewing would be beneficial for my research, my practice and also for my teaching.

The JBI has extremely high standards, and the ToT is designed to ensure that all trainers meet its specifications in terms of undertaking the material and teaching it in an engaging manner. The ToT has to be completed in stages – first, by undertaking a series of online exercises known as ‘part one’. These include a 100 question multiple choice exam and completion of various exercises using the JBI systematic reviewing software system ‘CREMS’. I initially felt rather daunted at the time involved in completing these exercises, but – as with everything else – I found that once I got down to it – it didn’t take me more than a day or two. Part two then involves participating in a four day workshop in which participants are randomly allocated different aspects of the JBI course to teach and must deliver these sessions in front of JBI’s most senior staff. Again - I thought this would be a rather stressful experience – but I found that my previous teaching experience stood me in good stead and it was actually fine. It was great to meet fellow ToT participants from all over the world (China, Kenya, India). We also rather enjoyed the venue (when we weren’t revising) - the beautiful Chiang Mai – and the good food!. If you are interested in becoming a JBI trainer, do get in touch!”

If you require this publication in an alternative format, please contact us:
t: +44 (0)115 951 4591
e: alternativeformats@nottingham.ac.uk