

RETAKE: **RE**Turn to work **A**fter stro**KE**. Pragmatic, multicentre RCT with internal pilot, cost-effectiveness evaluation and embedded process evaluation, comparing Early Stroke Specialist Vocational Rehabilitation (ESSVR) in addition to usual NHS Rehabilitation to usual NHS rehabilitation alone.

STUDY SUMMARY

SETTING: 20 acute stroke units with stroke rehab services.

POPULATION: Acute stroke: **INCLUSION:** Age >18; in paid/unpaid work pre-stroke; provision of consent.

EXCLUSION: People not intending to work; living > one hour from the Hospital of hospital admission; no capacity to consent.

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY: Up to 12 months manualised ESSVR, delivered by trained OTs (2 per site), starting within 8 weeks of stroke. ESSVR: early (acute stroke) specialist (stroke & VR specialist knowledge) health-based (by HS staff) mixed VR (work return & job retention) community-based case management (CM).

CONTROL: Usual NHS rehabilitation provided by UC team. May involve outpatient/community physio-, speech- or OT therapy, psychology, medical follow-up.

RANDOMISATION: Individually randomised within 6wks of stroke, via CTU, stratified by site, age, severity.

DATA COLLECTION: Baseline face-to-face assessment; postal follow-up at 3, 6 & 12m, maximised with phone/text prompts & phone interviews.

PRIMARY OUTCOME: Return to work & job retention (self-report at 12 m).

SECONDARY OUTCOMES: Work related outcomes; Functional ability (Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living); Social participation (Community Integration Questionnaire); Mood (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale); Health Related Quality of Life (EQ-5D); Carer (Carer Strain Index); Intervention compliance; resource use; work self-efficacy (Work Ability Measure); and confidence (Confidence after Stroke Measure)

ECONOMIC EVALUATION: Within trial cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analyses (NHS & PSS perspective); wider perspective reported separately.

PROCESS EVALUATION: Explore ESSVR implementation (intervention fidelity, content, adherence & deployment) and contextual factors linked to outcome variation across intervention & UC. To include analysis of routine process indicators (treatment records, mentoring feedback in ESSVR, resource use data from all participants) and focus groups & individual semi-structured interviews with stroke service users & NHS staff (manage, commission or deliver stroke rehabilitation)

SAMPLE SIZE: 760 (420 ESSVR; 340 control)

